**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) – 0172 – 2864100-01

Shri Ranjit Singh, Advocate,

Chamber No.122, Mahatma Gandhi Complex,

District Courts, Patiala. --------Appellant  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o PUDA, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o PUDA, Patiala. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1229 of 2017**

Present:- None for the appellant.

 Shri Jivan Singh, Clerk, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 25.01.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information regarding surrender of plot No. 17 in PUDA Enclave, Nabha. On receiving no information, he filed first appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 21.03.2017 and then approached the Commission vide letter dated 24.04.2017, which was received in the Commission on 26.04.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents submits a Memo. No. P.D.A./E.O./2017/6702, dated 02.06.2017 from the PIO vide which it has been informed that the requisite information/reply has been sent to the appellant vide Memo. No. P.D.A./E.O./2017/1559, dated 02.03.2017. The appellant is not present without any intimation. Accordingly, he is directed to revert back to the authorities in case of any deficiency in the provided information, before the next date of hearing.

3. To come up on **05.07.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 05.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) – 0172 – 2864100-01

Shri Daljit Singh s/o Shri Amrik Singh,

r/o House No.8/395, Noordi Mohalla,

Tarntaran, Tehsil and Distt. Tarntaran. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Department of Home Affairs and Justice (Home II Branch),

Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o District Magistrate, Tarn Taran. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1280 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Daljit Singh, Appellant, in person.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur, Senior Assistant, Home-2 Branch; Shri Karwinder Singh, Senior Assistant and Shri Aj;itpal Singh, Clerk, office of Deputy Commissioner, Tarn-Taran, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Shri Daljit Singh, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 31.12.2014, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information regarding 30 MI Carbine Rifle No. 1093327. On receiving no information, he filed first appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 26.12.2016 and then approached the Commission vide letter dated 02.05.2017, which was received in the Commission on 05.05.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents hands over requisite information to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission, which is taken on record. The appellant, after perusing the provided information, expresses satisfaction.

3. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 05.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) – 0172 – 2864100-01

Shri H.S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chamber No.82, District Courts, Mohali. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Station House Officer, Phase-1, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Inspector General of Police, Punjab,

Zonal, Patiala. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1209 of 2017**

Present:- Shri H. S. Hundal, Appellant, in person.

Shri Sukhwinder Singh, Inspector and Shri Pritpal Singh, ASI, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Shri H.S. Hundal, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 03.02.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought copies of certain documents relating to Right to Service Act. On receiving no information, he filed first appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 03.03.2017 and then approached the Commission vide letter dated 03.05.2017, which was received in the Commission on 04.05.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, the appellant informs that no information has been supplied to him so far. Shri Sukhwinder Singh, Inspector, appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits that most of the sought information is not available in his office. Consequently, after hearing both the parties, the respondent is directed to send a detailed point-wise reply to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission.

3. To come up on **05.07.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 05.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) – 0172 – 2864100-01

Shri Navdeep Gupta

s/o Shri D.C. Gupta,

K. No.455, Gillco Valley,

Kharar, District SAS Nagar. --------Complainant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,

Sector: 9, Chandigarh. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 401 of 2017**

Present:- None for the complainant.

Shri Gurnam Singh, Senior Assistant and Shri Parshotam Kumar, Head Constable, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Shri Navdeep Gupta, complainant, vide his RTI application No. NG/13/2017, dated 28.01.2017, addressed to Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, North Block, New Delhi sought certain information on 4 points in respect of Shri Simaranjit Singh Mann, Ex-IPS Officer. The Ministry of Home Affairs transferred the RTI application to Chief Secretary to Government of Punjab, Chandigarh vide letter No. 45020/14/2017-IPS.II, dated 16.03.2017. On receiving no information, Shri Navdeep Gupta filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 24.04.2017, which was received in the Commission on 26.04.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. The representative of the respondent informs that a Speaking Order has been passed by AIGP-cum-PIO, Admn. Wing vide letter No. 1864-1865/RTI-2, dated 01.06.2017 vide which information has been denied under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act,2005 as per the judgement of Hon’ble Supreme Court of India dated 03.10.2012 in
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**CC - 401 of 2017 -2-**

SLP(Civil) No. 27734 of 2012. The respondent further informs that the complainant has not filed First Appeal against this order. A copy of the Speaking Order has also been received in the Commission, which has been taken on record.

3. Since the instant case is a complaint case, the conduct of the PIO is to be observed as per the judgement of the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011(arising out of SLP(C) No. 32768-32769/2010) – Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another. Therefore, the PIO is directed to file a detailed reply, before the next date of hearing, as to wherefrom and when the RTI application of the complainant was received in their office and how promptly they have dealt with it, to facilitate the Commission to ascertain the conduct of the PIO.

4. To come up on **05.07.2017at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 05.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) – 0172 – 2864100-01

Shri Balvir Singh Saini,

H.No.349, St. No.3,

Dashmesh Nagar, Digana Road,

Hoshiarpur. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Punjab State Board of Technical Education and

Industrial Training, Sector 36-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Punjab State Board of Technical Education and

Industrial Training, Sector 36-A, Chandigarh. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 221 of 2015**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Shri Daljinder Singh, PIO alongwith his counsel Shri Amrinderjit Singh, Advocate, on the behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 This case was last heard by Shri Satinder Pal Singh, SIC, on 10.07.2015, when the appellant was directed to deposit an amount of Rs. 2560/- as document charges and the respondent assured to supply the complete information within fifteen days of the deposit of the requisite fee. On the assurance given by the respondent, the case was closed and disposed off.

2. Now, the appellant, vide his letter dated 05.04.2017, has brought to the notice of the Commission that despite depositing Rs. 2560/- as documents charges with the PIO as per the directions of the Commission, the requisite information has not been provided to him till date. Accordingly, a fresh Notice of Hearing has been issued to the parties for today.

3. Today, the appellant is not present without any intimation. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. He further submits that the appellant is in the habit of filing RTI applications, which he does not pursue in the right perspective.
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**AC - 221 of 2015 -2-**

4. Accordingly, one last opportunity is afforded to the appellant to visit the office of the PIO on a mutually agreed date and time to obtain the information after inspection of record and the authorities will ensure that the information, available in their office record, is supplied to him on the spot and in case any information is not available or is exempted as per the provisions of RTI Act, 2005, then a detailed reply be filed for the perusal of the Commission, on the next date of hearing.

5. To come up on **02.08.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 05.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

6. After the hearing in this case is over and the respondents have left, Shri Balvir Singh Saini, appellant, appears before the Commission. He explains reasons for his late arrival. Accordingly, he is apprised of the proceedings taken place during hearing of the case and is advised to contact the PIO on a mutually agreed date and time to obtain the requisite information after inspection of record.

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 05.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) – 0172 – 2864100-01

Sh. Jaspal Singh,

S/o Sh. Ramesh Arora,

H.No.319/3, Gurdeep Nagar,

Jagraon, Ludhiana. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Executive Officer,

Nagar Council, Jagroan,

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

o/o Regional Deputy Director,

Local Govt., Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1777 of 2016**

Present:- Shri Jaspal Singh, Appellant, in person.

Shri Balwinder Singh, E.O.-cum-PIO, Nagar Council Jagraon and Shri Vijay Kumar, Accountant, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 This case was last heard by the undersigned on 02.11.2016 when, after hearing both the parties, the appellant was advised to visit the office of the respondent PIO to identify the documents, required by him, after inspection of record and the respondent PIO was directed to supply the same. Liberty was given to the appellant to approach Commission again, after one month, in case the requisite information is not supplied to him. With these directions, the case was disposed of and closed.

2. Later, the appellant vide his letter dated 27.01.2016 brought to the notice of the Commission that incorrect and incomplete information had been supplied to him. Consequently, the Commission vide letter No. 128-129, dated 03.03.2017 and letter No. 210, dated 24.03.2017 directed the PIO to supply complete and correct information to the appellant and send a compliance report to the Commission. After receiving no reply from the PIO, a fresh Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today for further hearing.
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**AC - 1777 of 2016 -2-**

3. Today, the appellant reiterates that the provided information is incorrect and incomplete. Shri Balwinder Singh, E.O.-cum-PIO, Nagar Council Jagraon informs that the information, available on record, has already been supplied to the appellant. However, he assures that he will make the whole record available for inspection by the appellant on a mutually agreed date and time to identify the documents required by him and will supply the same to him on spot, after identification. He further assures that compliance report will be filed with the Commission within 15 days.

4. On the assurance given by the respondent PIO, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 05.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner**