

***PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh.

Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail – psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Manjit Singh (76962-82813),
S/o Shri Sohan Singh,
H.No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,
Patiala.

.....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/O Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Sangrur.

.....Respondent.

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 17 of 2018

Present: Shri Manjit Singh, complainant, in person.
None on behalf of respondent.

ORDER:

The complainant, who is present in the court, states that he has received the sought for information and requests the court to close the case.

2. As the information stands supplied, the case is **disposed of and closed**.
3. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh
Dated: 05-12-2018

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh.

Phone. No. i) [0172-2864118](tel:0172-2864118) & FAX ii) [0172-2864125](tel:0172-2864125) E-mail – psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Surinder Singh (94651-00092),
S/o Shri Jagdev Singh,
R/o Dhani Kundan Singh, Tehsil Malout,
District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/O State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 772 of 2018

Present: None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER:

Today both the parties are absent.

2. On the last date of hearing on 21-06-2018, Shri Gurpal Singh Sidhu, respondent-APIO had stated that the sought for information had already been supplied to the appellant by registered post on 25-04-2018. As the appellant was not present in the court, the case had to be adjourned with the direction to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, to the provided information.

3. On the next date of hearing the appellant did not attend the court. The respondent-APIO again reiterated his earlier stand that the information had already been supplied to the appellant.

4. Today the appellant is absent for the fourth time consecutively. It is assumed that he might have received the information and might be satisfied with the supplied information, as nothing has been heard from him about the provided information.

5. Accordingly, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed**.

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh
Dated: 05-12-2018

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB

Red Cross Bhawan, Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh.

Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail – scic@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Nachhatter Singh s/o Shri. Chaggar Singh,
R/o Niamiwala Road, Sada Patti,
Jaitu, District Faridkot.

.....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/O General Manager, Punjab
Roadways, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O Director State Transport Punjab,
Jeevandeep Building, Sector-17,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondents.

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1361 of 2017

Present: Shri Nachhatter Singh, complainant in person.
Shri Balbir Singh and Shri Darshan Singh, Senior Assistants office of DST along
with Shri Sanjeev Kumar, Assistant, office of G.M., Sri Muktsar Sahib on behalf
of respondents.

ORDER:

Today, both the parties are present in the court.

2. The appellant states that the respondents have supplied vague and incomplete information to him.

3. The representative of respondents, Shri Sanjeev Kumar, states that the information has been supplied as per record available in the office.

4. The RTI application is discussed point-wise. After discussion, the respondents are directed to provide the information relating to points No. 1 and two, as per discussion in the court. In case, there is no other information available on the record of their office, other than the already supplied information, the respondent-PIO is directed to submit a duly attested affidavit stating clearly that the information, as available on the record of public authority has been supplied and no more information is there on their record. Adjourned.

5. To come up for further hearing on **15-01-2019 at 12.30 PM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Place: Chandigarh
Dated: 05-12-2018

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh.

Phone. No. i) [0172-2864118](tel:0172-2864118) & FAX ii) [0172- 2864125](tel:0172-2864125) E-mail – psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Satnam Singh s/o Shri Jagat Singh,
Village Jandoli, Tehsil Gharshankar,
Jalandhar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/O Sub- Divisional Magistrate,
Jalandhar-2, -cum- Electoral Registration Officer,
Vidhan Sabha, Election Block-33,
Kararpur, Distt. Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3595 of 2017

Present: None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER:

Today both the parties are absent. However, an e-mail is received from the respondents in which they have shown helplessness in reaching the court due to farmers' agitation and has sought adjournment.

2. On the last date of hearing on 13-09-2018, the respondents had submitted the duly attested affidavit in the court which was placed in the case file to be handed over to the appellant in today's hearing as he was not present in the court.

3. The appellant, who is absent today also, is contacted on phone. He submits that the original affidavit may be sent to him along with the orders passed today by the court.

4. The affidavit is clear that the relevant record relating to the period for which the appellant has sought information, has been destroyed and no information is there on their record.

5. However, the original affidavit from the respondent-PIO is also enclosed with the orders passed today.

6. In view of the affidavit, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed**.

7. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh
Dated: 05-12-2018

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

(Telephone No. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-Email:- psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Website :- www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Anil Walia (97807-16998),
House No. 191/19, Bawian Mohalla,
Kapurthala.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/O Registrar DAV University,
Pathankot Bye-pass, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O Registrar, DAV University,
Village Sarmastpur, Jalandhar-144012.

...Respondents.

APPEAL CASE NO. 2418 of 2017

Present: None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER:

Today both the parties are absent. However, a letter dated 04-12-2018, from the appellant is received in the Commission office which is placed in the case file.

2. In the above referred letter, the appellant has pointed out deficiencies in the provided information. As none is present on behalf of respondents, a photocopy of the letter of appellant is also enclosed with the orders. The respondents are directed to provide the information as per deficiencies pointed out by the appellant. Adjourned.

3. To come up for further hearing on **15-01-2019 at 12.30 PM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Place: Chandigarh
Dated: 05-12-2018

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh.

Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail – psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Tejinder Singh, (90410-04313)
Village Bholapur, P.O. Ramgarh,
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123.

.....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/O Municipal Council,
Sri Machiwara Sahib, District-Ludhiana.

.... Respondent.

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1341 of 2016

Present Sh. Tejinder Singh, complainant in person.
Shri Harbans Lal Sharma, Advocate on behalf of respondent.

ORDER

Today, both the parties are present.

2. The advocate appearing on behalf of respondent states that the compensation amounting to Rs. 4,000/- awarded to the complainant has been paid.

3. The complainant agrees that he has received the compensation and requests the court to close the case.

4. As the orders of the court stand complied with, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh
Dated: 05-12-2018

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC:- Regional Deputy Director, Local Government,
Ludhiana

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh.

Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail – psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Tejinder Singh, (90410-04313)
Village Bholapur, P.O. Ramgarh,
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/O Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 257 of 2018

Present: Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant, in person.
None on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant, states in the court that on the last date of hearing on 13-09-2018, the respondents had supplied incomplete information. After that no information has been provided by the respondents.

2. None is present on behalf of respondents. They are directed to be present in the court along with the information to be supplied to the appellant on the next date of hearing.
Adjourned.

3. To come up on **15-01-2019 at 12.30 PM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh,
Dated: 05-12-2018

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PS: After the hearing is over, Ms. Pooja Maan, Building Inspector appears in the court. She is apprised of the court proceedings.

2. She states that the information has been supplied, first on 30-04-2018 and second time 10-08-2018.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

(Telephone No. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-Email:- psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Website :- www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Tejinder Singh,
Village: Bholapur, PO: Ramgarh,
Distt. Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/O Municipal Council, Phillaur,
Distt. Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O Deputy Director, Local Govt,
Jalandhar.

... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3127 of 2017

Present: Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant in person.
None on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

The appellant is present in the court who does not say anything whether he has supplied the deficiencies, if any, to the respondents in the information handed over to him in the court on the last date of hearing.

2. The respondents are also absent. They are directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

3. To come up on **15-01-2019 at 12.30 PM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Place: Chandigarh,
Dated: 05-12-2018

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh.

Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail – psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate (90410-04313),
R/o Village Bholapur,
P.O Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road,
Ludhaina-141123.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/O Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 797 of 2018

Present: Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant, in person.
None on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

On the last date of hearing on 13-09-2018, a show cause notice under Section 20(1) of the RTI was issued to the respondent-PIO to explain the reasons as to why a penalty be not imposed upon him for the delay in supply of sought for information. The respondent-PIO was also afforded an opportunity to be present in person in the court.

2. The appellant states that no information has been provided till date.

3. Today, neither the respondent-PIO nor his any representative is present in the court. The show cause notice issued to the respondent-PIO still stands. The respondent-PIO is directed to supply the information and also to be present, in person, in the court on the next date of hearing.

4. Adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **15-01-2019 at 12.30 PM.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Place: Chandigarh,
Dated: 05-12-2018

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

(Telephone No. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-Email:- psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Website :- www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Tejinder Singh,
Village: Bholapur, PO: Ramgarh,
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Licensing & Registration Authority,
Pathankot.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary Regional Transport Authority,
Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO No. 177-78, Sector 17C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondents

Appeal Case No.2590 of 2017

Present: Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant, in person.
None on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

The appellant who is present in the court states that despite the clear orders of the court directing the respondents to supply the complete information to the appellant, no information has been provided till date.

2. The respondents are absent. They are directed to supply the sought for information to the appellant before the next date of hearing and the case is adjourned.
4. To come up for further hearing on **15-01-2019 at 12.30 PM.**
5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Place: Chandigarh,
Dated: 05-12-2018

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

(Telephone No. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-Email:- psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Website :- www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate,
Village: Bholapur, PO: Ramgarh,
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/O Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda.

Public Information Officer
O/O Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-
Licensing & Registering Authority,
Mansa.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO No. 177-78, Sector 17C, Chandigarh.

... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2496 of 2017

Present: Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant in person.
None on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

The appellant, who is present in the court, states that he has received the information from the PIO of Secretary, RTA, Bathinda. However, the Licensing & Registering Authority, Mansa has not supplied any information till date.

2. As none is present on behalf of respondents, the respondent-PIO of Licensing & Registering Authority, Mansa is directed to supply the complete information before the next date of hearing. They are also directed to be present in the court on the hearing date. Adjourned.

3. To come up for further hearing on **15-01-2019 at 12.30 PM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Place: Chandigarh,
Dated: 05-12-2018

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

(Telephone No. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-Email:- psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Website :- www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Simranjeet Singh s/o Sh. Jagdish Singh,
H.No. 93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Basti Mithu Road,
Jalandhar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/O DTO-cum- Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO No. 177-178, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh.

Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1562 of 2017

Present: None on behalf of appellant.
Shri Jaswinder Singh, Clerk on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

On the last of hearing on 12-09-2018, the appellant was directed to go to the office of respondents for the inspection of record.

2. The representative of respondents submits a letter dated 22-10-2018 from the Assistant Transport Officer, Jalandhar stating therein that the appellant did not attend their office on the given dates, therefore no information could be supplied to the appellant.

3. On the other, the appellant communicated that he had gone to the office of respondents but they did not cooperate with him.

4. In the prevailing circumstances, both the parties are directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

5. To come up on **15-01-2019 at 12.30 PM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh,
Dated: 05-12-2018.

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

(Telephone No. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-Email:- psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Website :- www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Simranjeet Singh s/o Sh. Jagdish Singh,
H.No. 93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Basti Mithu Road,
Jalandhar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/O Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO No. 177-178, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh.

Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1563 of 2017

Present: None on behalf of appellant.
Shri Jaswinder Singh, Clerk on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

On the last of hearing on 12-09-2018, the appellant was directed to go to the office of respondents for the inspection of record.

2. The representative of respondents submits a letter dated 22-10-2018 from the Assistant Transport Officer, Jalandhar stating therein that the appellant did not attend their office on the given dates, therefore no information could be supplied to the appellant.

3. On the other, the appellant communicated that he had gone to the office of respondents but they did not cooperate with him.

4. In the prevailing circumstances, both the parties are directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

5. To come up on **15-01-2019 at 12.30 PM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh,
Dated: 05-12-2018.

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH
(Telephone No. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-Email:- psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Website :- www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Jaswant Singh Dhillon,
22, New Dashmesh Avenue,
Opp. Khalsa College, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/O Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO No. 177-78, 1st floor, Sector-17C, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 775 of 2017

Present: None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER:

On the last date of hearing on 21-11-2018, the respondents were not present in the court. They were directed to provide the complete and correct information to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

2. Today, the respondents are again absent without any intimation to the Commission.

3. Though the appellant is also not present in the court, however, an e-mail has been received in the Commission office from the appellant in which he has stated that the respondents have not supplied the complete information till date.

4. A perusal of record available in the case file reveals that the appellant, Shri Jaswant Singh Dhillon, filed his RTI application with the PIO on 11-08-2016. On getting no response from the PIO as well as the first appellate authority, the appellant filed a second appeal with the Commission on 06-03-2017. Accordingly notice of hearing was issued to the parties.

5. On 03-05-2017, both the parties did not attend the court and the case was adjourned. On 24-05-2017, the representative of respondents stated in the court that the RTI application of the appellant was not as per RTI rules and the information could not be supplied.

Contd..p/2

On the next date on 28-06-2017, respondents were absent and they were directed to supply the complete information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. On 24-07-2017, the respondents were absent again and the case had to be postponed to 23-08-2017.

6. On 23-08-2017, the respondents were again absent and a show cause notice under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, was issued to the respondent-PIO to explain the reasons for delay in the supply of sought for information and as to why a penalty at the rate of Rs.250/- per day subject to a maximum of Rs. 25,000/- be not imposed upon the PIO. The PIO was also directed to be present in the court in person.

7. On the next date of hearing on 02-11-2017, the respondents did not attend the court. Therefore, the respondent-PIO was given last and final opportunity to be present in the court along with the information to be supplied to the appellant in the court as also with a reply to already issued show cause notice.

8. On 07-12-2017, Shri Wassan Singh, Clerk appeared in the court on behalf of respondents, who stated that some of the information has been traced out and the same will be provided to the appellant and had sought adjournment. Accordingly, case was adjourned. However, on 18-01-2018, the respondents did not attend the court. The appellant, who was present in the court, stated that incomplete information has been supplied by the respondents. On the next dates of hearing on 22-02-2018 and 11-04-2018, the respondents were again absent. The respondent-PIO was directed to be present in the court along with the duly attested affidavit explaining the reasons for delay in providing the information.

9. On 30-05-2018, Shri Roshandeep Singh, Data Entry Operator, appeared on behalf of respondents and submitted information in the court. The same was handed over to the representative of appellant on the same day, who appeared in the court after the hearing was over. On the next date on 04-09-2018, the respondents were absent. The representative of appellant was directed to ask the appellant to point out deficiencies in the provided information, if any, to the respondents before the next date of hearing. The respondents were directed to provide the complete information, as per deficiencies pointed out by the appellant, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned to 21-11-2018.

10. On 21-11-2018, the respondents were again absent. The representative of appellant submitted letter from the appellant stating therein that the provided information is incomplete and the appellant is not satisfied with it. The respondents were directed to provide the complete information before the next date of hearing and the case was adjourned for today.

11. Today the respondents are again absent without any intimation to the Commission. Neither they have supplied the information to the appellant despite the fact that the appellant has pointed out deficiencies in the provided information.

12. The show cause notice issued under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, issued vide orders dated 23-08-2017 still stands as the respondent-PIO has not bothered to submit a written reply to the show cause notice issued nor he has appeared in the court. The court takes this adamant attitude of the respondent-PIO very seriously for not obeying the orders of the court. However, taking a lenient view, a last and final opportunity is again afforded to the respondent-PIO to be present in the court, in person, along with his written reply to the show cause notice on the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

13. To come up for further hearing on **20-12-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

14. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh
Dated: 05-12-2018

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner