#### Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313)

R/o Village Bholapur, PO-Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123

**Public Information Officer** O/o MC, Amritsar.

\_\_\_\_\_

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

#### Appeal Case No.: 2901 of 2018

Versus

Nobody present on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Varinder Mohan (Head draftsman-cum-Additional Charge Building Inspector) along with Sh. Vishal (Nodal PIO).

#### <u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 19.08.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Varinder Mohan states that his designation was mentioned as ATP in the previous order of the Commission. He added that penalty amounting Rs. 15,000/- has already been deposited in the Government Treasury. He submits a reply signed by APIO-Cum-Building Inspector, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar along with copy of challan dated 03.09.2019, which is taken on record.

3. Neither the appellant, Sh. Tajinder Singh is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard.

4. After examining the case file, it is observed that this case was only pending for the penalty amount to be deposited and respondent, Sh. Varinder Mohan submitted copy of challan of penalty deposited as per the previous order of the Commission dated 10.06.2019. Therefore, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant

#### PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in



Appellant

Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.co</u>			

 (Regd. Post)
 Sh. Rakesh Sharma (98884-01973)
 R/o 182/12, Main Bazar, Katra Parja, I/s Hathi Gate, Amritsar
 Versus
 Public Information Officer
 (Regd. Post)
 O/o Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue,

Amritsar First Appellate Authority (Regd. Post) O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam,

Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar

Respondent

#### Appeal Case No.: 3298 of 2018

Present: Nobody present.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 19.08.2019.

2. Neither the appellant, Sh. Rakesh Sharma is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard. He was also absent on the previous hearing held on 02.01.2019, 18.03.2019, 24.07.2019 and 19.08.2019.A last and final opportunity is given to the appellant to represent this case in person or through her representative(s) to clear the facts of this case on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis. A copy of this order be sent to him through <u>registered post</u>

# 3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard. He was also absent on the previous hearings held on 02.01.2019, 06.02.2019, 18.03.2019, 29.04.2019, 12.06.2019 and 19.08.2019.

Due to irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO, following are the directions of the Commission to the respondent PIO:-

- Show Cause notice was issued to the respondent PIO vide order dated 18.03.2019.
- Compensation amounting Rs. 1,500/- was awarded to the appellant vide order dated 29.04.2019.
- Penalty amounting Rs. 10,000/- was imposed on the concerned respondent PIO vide order dated 12.06.2019.

A copy of previous order was sent to the Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar through registered post to comply of the previous order of the Commission dated 12.06.2019 but the respondent PIO failed to represent this case.

#### Appeal Case No.: 3298 of 2018

4. Due to continuous absence of the PIO despite of due notice(s) and directions under RTI Act, the concerned PIO O/o MTP, Amritsar i.e. **Sh. Jagdev Singh, ATP-Cum-Nodal PIO, MTP, Amritsar has** not complied with the orders of the undersigned, therefore in exercise of powers vested in the Commission under section 18(3) of RTI Act read with relevant provisions of Civil Procedure Code, <u>I here by direct that Bail-able Warrant be issued for appearance of Sh.</u> <u>Jagdev Singh ATP Cum Nodal PIO, MTP, MC, Amritsar</u> to produce the record and give evidences pertaining to RTI queries. <u>A copy of this order shall be endorsed to The</u> <u>Commissioner of Police, Amritsar for execution of the bail-able warrant to Sh. Jagdev Singh.</u>

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.10.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through registered post.

Chandigarh Dated: 04.09.2019

#### **BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION**

#### Before DR. PAWAN KUMAR SINGLA, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB at CHANDIGARH

#### In Appeal Case 3298 of 2018

#### Sh. Rakesh Sharma Singh Vs. PIO O/o Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar <u>Under Section 18 (3) of RTI Act 2005</u>

#### Next Hearing: 14.10.2019 at 11.00 AM

To,

# The Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

Whereas the concerned Respondent PIO, MC, Amritsar, has failed to appear before the undersigned despite of issuance of notice/summon in the above mentioned appeal case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this Bail-able Warrant on Sh. Jagdev Singh, ATP Cum Nodal PIO, MTP, MC, Amritsar to appear before the undersigned at Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh, on 14.10.2019 at 11.00 A.M. to submit compliance report in respect of the orders of the Commission.

Chandigarh Dated: 04.09.2019



#### Sh. Rajesh Gupta (94639-90768)

C/o Civil Vet Hospital, Village Humayupur Tasimbli, Tehsil Derabassi District-SAS Nagar-140501

Versus

Appellant

#### Public Information Officer

O/o Director, Dept. of Animal Husbandry, Punjab, Livestock Complex, Sector-68, SAS Nagar

### **First Appellate Authority** O/o Director,

O/o Director, Dept. of Animal Husbandry, Punjab, Livestock Complex, Sector-68, SAS Nagar

#### Appeal Case No.: 3467 of 2018

Respondent

**Present:** Sh. Rajesh Gupta, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Dr. Parampal Singh (APIO) along with Sh. S.S. Rana (SA).

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 24.07.2019.

2. Respondent, Dr. Parampal Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied

to the appellant and information relates with point no. 11 is not available in the official record. He added that respondent, Sh. K.P.S. Pasricha is unable to attend today's hearing.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Rajesh Gupta states that attested copy of the information and information relates with point no.11 is still pending from the respondent PIO as per the previous order of the Commission dated 19.08.2019.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 19.08.2019.

5. Both the parties are advised/directed to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which case will be decided on the available evidences.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.10.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019



#### Sh. Rajesh Gupta (94639-90768)

C/o Civil Vet Hospital, Village Humayupur Tasimbali, Tehsil Derabassi, District SAS Nagar-140501

**Public Information Officer** O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Department of Animal Husbandry, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh

#### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Department of Animal Husbandry, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh

#### Respondent

Appellant

#### Appeal Case No.: 3852 of 2018

Versus

**Present:** Sh. Rajesh Gupta, the appellant in person. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 24.07.2019.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Rajesh Gupta states that requisite information relates with point no. 6 is still

pending form the respondent PIO.

- 3. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.
- 4. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, both the parties are directed to
- comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 24.07.2019 along with advice to represent

this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively, failing to

which case will be decided on the available evidences.

- 5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.10.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019

#### Sh. R.P. Gupta (94639-90768)

#738/41-A, Chandigarh

#### **Public Information Officer**

O/o The Chief Secretary, Mini Secretariat, Chandigarh

#### **Public Information Officer**

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Department of Animal Husbandry, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh

#### **Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Dept. of Animal Husbandry, Punjab, Livestock Complex, Sector-68, SAS Nagar

#### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab Mini Secretariat, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No.: 3995 of 2018

Versus

Respondent

#### Sh. Rajesh Gupta on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Kuldeep Singh (APIO, O/o General Branch).

#### <u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 24.07.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Rajesh Gupta states that requisite information is still pending form the

respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, Sh. Kuldeep Singh states that requisite information relates with the office of Animal Husbandry as his office sent received letters to the concerned offices/branches.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that on the previous hearing held on 24.07.2019, Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha intimated that requisite information relates with the office of the Chief Secretary and in today's hearing Sh. Kuldeep Singh intimated that requisite information relates with the office of Animal Husbandry.

5. Respondent, Sh. Kuldeep Singh is directed to file a proper reply in this regard on the next date of hearing before the Commission.

6. Respondent PIO, O/o Animal Husbandry is also directed to file a reply relates with RTI application on the next date of hearing before the Commission.

7. Both the parties are advised/directed to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which case will be decided on the available evidences.

8. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.10.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

9. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

#### Chandigarh 04.09.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant



#### Sh. Harish Chander Gandhi (96461-11048) House No. 1400/50, Street No. 13,

Guru Nanak Nagar, Patiala

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Civil Surgeon, (Birth and Death Record Office), Near Head Post Office, Distt. Patiala.

#### **First Appellate Authority**

ORDER

O/o Civil Surgeon, (Birth and Death Record Office), Near Head Post Office, Distt. Patiala. Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3811 of 2018

Present: Nobody present on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Ranjeet Singh (EO-Cum-PIO) along with Sh. Raj Kumar.

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.08.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Ranjeet Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant as he files same RTI repeatedly. He mentioned that he has brought the reply and self declaration to hand it over to the appellant but he is not present. He submits a reply vide letter no. 2994 dated 03.09.2019 along with a self declaration, which are taken on record. He added that same information has already been supplied to the appellant in Appeal Case No. 1876 of 2018, which was disposed of & closed by the bench of Ld. SIC, Sh. N.S. Brar dated 11.09.2018.

3. Appellant, Sh. Harish Chander Gandhi is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 03.09.2019 has been received from the appellant by the bench of undersigned stating that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case.

4. I have heard the PIO and discussed the RTI application and the information was sent to the appellant in the present case and in another case (AC: 1876 of 2018). I have minutely gone through the case file. The PIO has supplied the information to the appellant which he sought through his RTI application to the best possible extent. He assures that he will send the brought reply along with self declaration to the appellant through registered post. Therefore no cause of action is left. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019



#### Sh. Ghansham Goyal (94175-11232)

S/o Sh. Jagdish Rai, R/o Ward No. 2, Kamalu Road, Raman Mandi, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda.

Versus

Complainant

**Public Information Officer** O/o President, Hindu Education Society, Ramma Mandi, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda.

#### Complaint Case No.: 303 of 2019

**Present:** Nobody present on behalf of the complainant. For the respondent: Advocate, Neelam Garg.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.08.2019.

2. Respondent, Advocate, Neelam Garg states that she has brought the reply to hand it over to the complainant but he is not present. She submits a reply dated 04.09.2019 signed by her and Advocate, Shrery Kumar, which is taken on record.

3. Neither the complainant is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard. He was also absent on the previous hearing held on 14.08.2019, which shows that no regard to the Notice and Order of the Commission.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to send the brought reply to the complainant through registered post. I am of the considered view that submitted reply is satisfactory and no cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

#### Chandigarh 04.09.2019

#### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

**Note:** After the hearing was over, complainant, Sh. Ghansham Goyal along with Advocate, Balraj Singh Sidhu appeared and they were apprised with the proceedings of this hearing and agreed on it.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019

#### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



#### Sh. Ghansham Goyal (94175-11232)

S/o Sh. Jagdish Rai, R/o Ward No. 2, Kamalu Road, Raman Mandi, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda.

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

**Public Information Officer** O/o President, Hindu Education Society, Ramma Mandi, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda.

#### Complaint Case No.: 304 of 2019

**Present:** Nobody present on behalf of the complainant. For the respondent: Advocate, Neelam Garg.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.08.2019.

2. Respondent, Advocate, Neelam Garg states that she has brought the reply to hand it over to the complainant but he is not present. She submits a reply dated 04.09.2019 signed by her and Advocate, Sherry Kumar, which is taken on record.

3. Neither the complainant is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard. He was also absent on the previous hearing held on 14.08.2019, which shows that no regard to the Notice and Order of the Commission.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to send the brought reply to the complainant through registered post. I am of the considered view that submitted reply is satisfactory and no cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019

#### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

**Note:** After the hearing was over, complainant, Sh. Ghansham Goyal along with Advocate, Balraj Singh Sidhu appeared and they were apprised with the proceedings of this hearing and agreed on it.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019

**Sh. Ghansham Goyal (94175-11232)** S/o Sh. Jagdish Rai, R/o Ward No. 2, Kamalu Road, Raman Mandi, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda.

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

#### **Public Information Officer** O/o President, Hindu Education Society, Ramma Mandi, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda.

#### Complaint Case No.: 305 of 2019

Present:Nobody present on behalf of the complainant.<br/>For the respondent: Advocate, Neelam Garg.ORDER

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.08.2019.

2. Respondent, Advocate, Neelam Garg states that she has brought the reply to hand it over to the complainant but he is not present. She submits a reply dated 04.09.2019 signed by her and Advocate, Sherry Kumar, which is taken on record.

3. Neither the complainant is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard. He was also absent on the previous hearing held on 14.08.2019, which shows that no regard to the Notice and Order of the Commission.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to send the brought reply to the complainant through registered post. I am of the considered view that submitted reply is satisfactory and no cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

#### Chandigarh 04.09.2019

#### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

**Note:** After the hearing was over, complainant, Sh. Ghansham Goyal along with Advocate, Balraj Singh Sidhu appeared and they were apprised with the proceedings of this hearing and agreed on it.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019

#### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

A THE A PRIC Wissue

#### Sh. Vishal Joshi (99140-59768)

1697/12, Street Chhaju Mishar, Chowk Farid, Amritsar

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o MC, Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Commissioner, MC, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar

#### Appeal Case No.: 219 of 2019

**Present:** Sh. Rajinder Singh on behalf of the appellant. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.08.2019.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Rajinder Singh states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. After examining the case file, both the parties were not present for hearing held on 14.08.2019 and both the parties were advised/directed to represent this case and matter was adjourned for today itself.

4. A last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to appear in person to clear the facts of this case along with directions to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing, failing to which bailable warrant will be issued to him. A copy of this order be sent to him through <u>registered post</u>

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.10.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019

#### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Public Information Officer (By Name)(Regd. Post)O/o MC, Amritsar



Appellant

**Sh. Amir Chand** S/o Sh. Beli Ram, House No. 589, Street No. 2, Ram Vihar, PO Basti, Jodhewal, Raho Road, Ludhiana

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

Cum Superintendent, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar

Public Information Officer O/o DDPO, Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Jalandhar

Appeal Case No.: 383 of 2019

**Present:** Sh. Amir Chand, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Ranjit Singh (BDPO) along with Sh. Jashanpreet Singh (DRO, Jalandhar)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.08.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Amir Chand states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, Sh. Ranjit Singh states requisite information relates with the office of DDPO, Jalandhar

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that Show Cause notice was issued to the PIO-cum-Superintendent (Revenue) and DDPO, Jalandhar to appear in person to clear the facts of this case. It is also observed that as per letter no. 4458 dated 27.08.2019 signed DDPO, Jalandhar stating that requisite information does not relate with the office of DDPO.

5. Respondent PIO, O/o Superintendent, DC, Jalandhar and PIO, O/o DDPO, Jalandhar are directed to appear in person to clear the facts, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him. A last and final opportunity is given to them and a copy of this order be sent to them through **registered post** for their ready reference.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.10.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

#### Chandigarh 04.09.2019

#### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)	<b>Public Information Officer (By Name)</b> Cum Superintendent, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar
(Regd. Post)	Public Information Officer (By Name) O/o DDPO, Jalandhar

Appellant

Respondent

BIT BUT BRIE UNISSIUL



#### Sh. Amar Dass Mann (80544-11287)

S/o Sh. Sampooran Singh, R/o Village Dharpura, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka.

**Public Information Officer** O/o Principal, GSSS Dharampura, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

#### Appeal Case No.: 691 of 2019

Versus

**Present:** Nobody present on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Sudhir Kumar (Computer Faculty).

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.08.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Sudhir Kumar states that requisite information (inquiry) has already been sent to the higher authority and now nothing is left to be supplied on their part. He requested to exempt the respondent School.

3. Neither the appellant is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After examining the case file, Respondent, Sh Kulwant Singh, DEO (SE) Fazilka was directed to appear in person before the Commission along with relevant case related documents on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20 (1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 04.09.2019 i.e. today but he failed to represent this case.

5. A last and final opportunity is given to Respondent, Sh Kulwant Singh, DEO (SE) Fazilka to comply the previous order of the Commission 14.08.2019, failing to which case will be decided on the available evidences.

6. Respondent PIO, O/o Principal, GSSS Dharampura, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka is exempted till further orders.

7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.10.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Sh. Kulwant Singh (By Name)(Regd. Post)O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

Appellant

	JNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>	at Just Price unissing
(Regd. Post)	<b>Sh. Sukrit Sharda (98881-20963)</b> #50/186, Old Shahpur Road, Pathankot-145001 Versus	Appellant
Show Cause	Dublic Information Officer (Dubleme)	
(Regd. Post)	Public Information Officer (By Name) O/o Improvement Trust, C-Block, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001	
Encl. RTI Req	uest.	
(Regd. Post)	<b>Public Information Officer</b> O/o Deputy Controller (F & A), Improvement Trust, Amritsar-143001	
(Regd. Post)	First Appellate Authority O/o Chairman, Improvement Trust, C-Block, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001 <u>Appeal Case No.: 1152 of 2019</u>	Respondent
Present:	Sh. Sukrit Sharda, the appellant in person. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.	

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 19.08.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Sukrit Sharda states that he has visited twice to the respondent's office but no response from the respondent PIO.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard. He was also absent on the previous hearings held on 23.07.2019 and 19.08.2019. An email dated 28.08.2019 has been received from the respondent PIO-Cum-EO comprising a letter no. 4175 dated 28.08.2019 through which he invites the appellant to visit the respondent's office to inspect the official record.

4. <u>In view of the above, respondent PIO, O/o Improvement Trust, C-Block, Ranjit Avenue,</u> <u>Amritsar will Show Cause under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed</u> <u>upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the</u> <u>compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.</u>

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. <u>A copy of this order to be sent to him through **registered post** along with RTI request.</u>

He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

Both the parties are advised/directed to represent this case in person or through their 5. representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which case will be decided on the available evidences.

- 6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 09.10.2019 at 11:00 A.M.
- 7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019

# BIT HER DUISSING

## Ms. Renu Jain (94179-38979) (Regd. Post) W/o Sh. S.P. Garg, #12, Preet Vihar,

Opposite GNDU, Amritsar

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o DEO (SE), Amritsar

#### First Appellate Authority

O/o Circle Education Officer, Jalandhar

#### Appeal Case No.: 1013 of 2019

**Present:** Nobody present on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Balwinder Singh (Clerk).

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.08.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh Balwinder Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant dated 03.09.2019 through registered post. He submits a letter no. 3344-45 dated 03.09.2019 signed by the DEO (SE), Amritsar, which is taken on record.

3. Neither the appellant, Ms. Renu Jain is present for today's hearing nor did she file reply in this regard. She was also absent on the previous hearing held on 18.06.2019, 10.07.2019 and 14.08.2019.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is given to the appellant to represent this case in person or through her representative(s) to clear the facts of this case on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis. A copy of this order be sent to him through <u>registered post</u>

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.10.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.09.2019

#### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Appellant