

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan**  
H.No. 78/8, Park road,  
New Mandi, Dhuri,  
Tehsil & District Sangrur (Punjab)

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Punjab State Information Commission,  
Red Cross Building, Sector-16,  
Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Punjab State Information Commission,  
Red Cross Building, Sector-16,  
Chandigarh

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 983 of 2018**

**Present** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Sh. Sudhir Kumar (PIO-cum-SO).

**ORDER**

1. This above mentioned case was last heard by the bench of Ld. SIC, Sh. A.S. Chanduraian dated 20.03.2019 and this case file was sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for the appropriate orders.
2. The Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. S.S.Channy vide Office Order dated 15.04.2019 has allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by the Division Bench consisting of Ld. SICs; Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Khushwant Singh. Accordingly the case is fixed for today's hearing.
3. Appellant, Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 28.08.2019 has been received from the appellant by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla stating that requisite information has been received and requested to close this case. This mail is taken on record.
4. Respondent, Sh. Sudhir Kumar is present for today's hearing.
5. As the information stands supplied and on the request of the appellant, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of closed**.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Khushwant Singh)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 04.09.2019 (12:00 Noon).**

**Copy to:**

- PS to SIC (KS) for kind information.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



**Sh. Darshan Singh Kang**

(Anti Corruption Wing), Samrala,  
Ludhiana (94643-63290).

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary (Schools),  
Punjab Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority cum**

O/o Principal Secretary (Schools),  
Punjab Chandigarh

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2674 of 2018**

**Present**

Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Ms. Sudesh Kumari (APIO) along with Sh. Amit Kumar (SA).

**ORDER**

1. This above mentioned case was last heard by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla dated 03.06.2019 and this case file was sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.

2. The Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan vide Office Order dated 20.06.2019 has re-allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by the Division Bench consisting of Ld. SICs; Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Khushwant Singh. Accordingly the case is fixed for today's hearing.

3. Appellant, Sh. Darshan Singh Kang is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 04.09.2019 has been received by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla requesting therein for an adjournment, which is taken on record.

4. Respondent, Sh. Sudesh Kumari states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant many times as the appellant filed many RTI applications through which he demanded the same information. She submits a copy of order dated 08.02.2017 passed by Ld. SIC, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan in Complaint Case No. 1352 of 2016 showing that Commission directed that the PIOs need not answer any RTI question if filed by this appellant in the coming days for the information. She submits a reply in connection with AC: 2674, 2675, 2805, 3267 and 3399 of 2018 signed by her dated 03.09.2019, which is taken on record.

5. We have heard the respondent and discussed RTI in detail; following are the observations as per the case file:

- On hearing held on 30.10.2018, respondent, Sh. Jang Singh requested for an adjournment in this case to file point-wise reply and/or requisite information to the appellant during the hearing.

- On hearing held on 27.11.2018, respondent, Sh. Jang Singh handed over point-wise information to the appellant during the hearing.
- On hearing held on 19.12.2018, respondent, Sh. Jang Singh handed over point-wise information to the appellant during the hearing.
- On hearing held on 28.01.2019, respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that available requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and requested the Commission that the appellant may visit the respondent's office on working hours and may inspect the record. Appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office on 18.02.2019 and inspect the record with respect to RTI application.
- On hearing held on 27.02.2019, appellant verbally pointed out deficiencies in connection with point no. 2, 3, 4 and 5. Respondent was directed to supply the requisite information and/or file a written reply satisfying the RTI application relates with point no. 2, 3, 4 and 5.
- On hearing held on 22.04.2019:
  - i. Respondent, Sh. Jang Singh handed over a copy of Letter no. ਮੀਐ ਨੰ:4/237/2016-5 ਜਿਐ/SPL-I dated 22.04.2019 along with supporting documents to the appellant during the hearing.
  - ii. Respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh handed over 128 pages to the appellant during the hearing in connection with three cases (AC: 2674, 2675 and 2805 of 2018). He added that he has brought all the original record relates with all three cases of the appellant.
  - iii. Appellant was advised to go through the supplied requisite information and point out the deficiency.
  - iv. Respondent PIO was directed to supply the attested copy of the 128 pages with forwarding letter along with page numbering to the appellant before the next date of hearing.
- On hearing held on 03.06.2019, respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been supplied. Appellant shows his dissatisfaction.

An email was taken on record in the order dated 03.06.2019 in which Ms. Jasbir Kaur Principal of Shaheed Gurinder Singh GSSS Bassian Ludhiana states that Hon'able CIC Sh. Yashvir Mahajan has directed respondent PIOs need not answer any RTI question to Sh. Darshan Singh Kang for the information relating directly or indirectly to the respondent.

**Appeal Case No. 2674 of 2018**

6. The perusal of the file shows that RTI application has been sufficiently replied and information has been supplied to the best possible extent. The appellant is failed to represent this case before the division bench today. It is also observed that respondents were directed by the Commission not to answer any RTI application of the appellant vide order dated 08.02.2017.

7. In view of above, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of closed.**

8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Khushwant Singh)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 04.09.2019 (12:00 Noon).**

**Copy to:**

- PS to SIC (KS) for kind information.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



**Sh. Darshan Singh Kang**

(Anti Corruption Wing), Samrala,  
Ludhiana (94643-63290).

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary (Schools),  
Punjab Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority cum**

O/o Principal Secretary (Schools),  
Punjab Chandigarh

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2675 of 2018**

**Present**

Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Ms. Sudesh Kumari (APIO) along with Sh. Amit Kumar (SA).

**ORDER**

1. This above mentioned case was last heard by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla dated 03.06.2019 and this case file was sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.

2. The Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan vide Office Order dated 20.06.2019 has re-allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by the Division Bench consisting of Ld. SICs; Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Khushwant Singh. Accordingly the case is fixed for today's hearing.

3. Appellant, Sh. Darshan Singh Kang is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 04.09.2019 has been received by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla requesting therein for an adjournment, which is taken on record.

4. Respondent, Sh. Sudesh Kumari states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant many times as the appellant filed many RTI applications through which he demanded the same information. She submits a copy of order dated 08.02.2017 passed by Ld. SIC, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan in Complaint Case No. 1352 of 2016 showing that Commission directed that the PIOs need not answer any RTI question if filed by this appellant in the coming days for the information. She submits a reply in connection with AC: 2674, 2675, 2805, 3267 and 3399 of 2018 signed by her dated 03.09.2019, which is taken on record.

5. We have heard the respondent and discussed RTI in detail; following are the observations as per the case file:

- On hearing held on 30.10.2018, respondent, Sh. Jang Singh requested for an adjournment in this case to file point-wise reply and/or requisite information to the appellant during the hearing.

- On hearing held on 27.11.2018, respondent, Sh. Jang Singh states that requisite information is maintained by DEO office and reply has already been sent to the appellant. Respondent PIO was directed to collect the requisite information from the concerned office and supply it to the appellant.
- On hearing held on 19.12.2018, respondent, Sh. Jang Singh handed over point-wise information to the appellant during the hearing. Appellant was advised to point out deficiencies specifically, if any.
- On hearing held on 28.01.2019, respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that available requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and requested the Commission that the appellant may visit the respondent's office on working hours and may inspect the record. Appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office on 18.02.2019 and inspect the record with respect to RTI application.
- On hearing held on 27.02.2019, appellant verbally pointed out deficiencies in connection with point no. 2 and 3. Respondent was directed to supply the requisite information and/or file a written reply satisfying the RTI application relates with point no. 2 and 3.
- On hearing held on 22.04.2019:
  - v. Respondent, Sh. Jang Singh handed over a copy of Letter no. મોમે  
નં:4/237/2016-5 જિ4/SPL-I dated 22.04.2019 along with supporting documents to the appellant during the hearing.
  - vi. Respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh handed over 128 pages to the appellant during the hearing in connection with three cases (AC:2674, 2675 and 2805 of 2018). He added that he has brought all the original record relates with all three cases of the appellant.
  - vii. Appellant was advised to go through the supplied requisite information and point out the deficiency.
  - viii. Respondent PIO was directed to supply the attested copy of the 128 pages with forwarding letter along with page numbering to the appellant before the next date of hearing.
- On hearing held on 03.06.2019, respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been supplied. Appellant shows his dissatisfaction.

An email was taken on record in the order dated 03.06.2019 in which Ms.

Jasbir Kaur Principal of Shaheed Gurinder Singh GSSS Bassian Ludhiana states that Hon'able CIC Sh. Yashvir Mahajan has directed respondent PIOs need not answer any RTI question to Sh. Darshan Singh Kang for the information relating directly or indirectly to the respondent.

6. The perusal of the file shows that RTI application has been sufficiently replied and information has been supplied to the possible extent. The appellant is failed to represent this case before the division bench. It is also observed that respondents were directed by the Commission not to answer any RTI application of the appellant vide order dated 08.02.2017.

7. In view of above, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of closed.**

8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Khushwant Singh)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 04.09.2019 (12:00 Noon).**

**Copy to:**

- PS to SIC (KS) for kind information.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Darshan Singh Kang**  
(Anti Corruption Wing), Samrala,  
Ludhiana (94643-63290).

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Principal Secretary (Schools),  
Punjab Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority cum**  
O/o Principal Secretary (Schools),  
Punjab Chandigarh

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2805 of 2018**

**Present** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Ms. Sudesh Kumari (APIO) along with Sh. Amit Kumar (SA).

**ORDER**

1. This above mentioned case was last heard by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla dated 03.06.2019 and this case file was sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.

2. The Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan vide Office Order dated 20.06.2019 has re-allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by the Division Bench consisting of Ld. SICs; Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Khushwant Singh. Accordingly the case is fixed for today's hearing.

3. Appellant, Sh. Darshan Singh Kang is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 04.09.2019 has been received by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla requesting therein for an adjournment, which is taken on record.

4. Respondent, Sh. Sudesh Kumari states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant many times as the appellant filed many RTI applications through which he demanded the same information. She submits a copy of order dated 08.02.2017 passed by Ld. SIC, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan in Complaint Case No. 1352 of 2016 showing that Commission directed that the PIOs need not answer any RTI question if filed by this appellant in the coming days for the information. She submits a reply in connection with AC: 2674, 2675, 2805, 3267 and 3399 of 2018 signed by her dated 03.09.2019, which is taken on record.

5. We have heard the respondent and discussed RTI in detail; following are the observations as per the case file:

- On hearing held on 27.11.2018, respondent, Sh. Jang Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. He added that requisite information is similar to Appeal Case No. 2674 of 2018. Appellant stated that respondent mixing two different cases and another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information to the appellant.



- On hearing held on 19.12.2018, respondent, Sh. Jang Singh handed over point-wise information to the appellant during the hearing. Appellant was advised to point out deficiencies specifically, if any.
- On hearing held on 28.01.2019, respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that available requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and requested the Commission that the appellant may visit the respondent's office on working hours and may inspect the record. Appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office on 18.02.2019 and inspect the record with respect to RTI application.
- On hearing held on 27.02.2019, appellant verbally pointed out deficiencies in connection with point no. 2, 3 and 4. Respondent was directed to supply the requisite information and/or file a written reply satisfying the RTI application relates with point no. 2, 3 and 4.
- On hearing held on 22.04.2019:
  - ix. Respondent, Sh. Jang Singh handed over a copy of Letter no. ਮੀਐ ਠੰ:4/237/2016-5 ਸਿ4/SPL-I dated 22.04.2019 along with supporting documents to the appellant during the hearing.
  - x. Respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh handed over 128 pages to the appellant during the hearing in connection with three cases (AC:2674, 2675 and 2805 of 2018). He added that he has brought all the original record relates with all three cases of the appellant.
  - xi. Appellant was advised to go through the supplied requisite information and point out the deficiency.
  - xii. Respondent PIO was directed to supply the attested copy of the 128 pages with forwarding letter along with page numbering to the appellant before the next date of hearing.
- On hearing held on 03.06.2019, respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been supplied. Appellant shows his dissatisfaction.

An email was taken on record in the order dated 03.06.2019 in which Ms. Jasbir Kaur Principal of Shaheed Gurinder Singh GSSS Bassian Ludhiana states that Hon'able CIC Sh. Yashvir Mahajan has directed respondent PIOs need not answer any RTI question to Sh. Darshan Singh Kang for the information relating directly or indirectly to the respondent.

6. The perusal of the file shows that RTI application has been sufficiently replied and information has been supplied to the possible extent. The appellant is failed to represent this case before the division bench. It is also observed that respondents were directed by the Commission not to answer any RTI application of the appellant vide order dated 08.02.2017.

7. In view of above, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of closed.**

8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Khushwant Singh)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 04.09.2019 (12:00 Noon).**

**Copy to:**

- PS to SIC (KS) for kind information.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



**Sh. Darshan Singh Kang (94643-69290)**

(Anti Corruption Wing)

Samrala, Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),

Punjab Govt., Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),

Punjab Govt., Chandigarh

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3267 of 2018**

**Present**

Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Ms. Sudesh Kumari (APIO) along with Sh. Amit Kumar (SA).

**ORDER**

1. This above mentioned case was last heard by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla dated 10.06.2019 and this case file was sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.

2. The Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan vide Office Order dated 27.06.2019 has re-allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by the Division Bench consisting of Ld. SICs; Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Khushwant Singh. Accordingly the case is fixed for today's hearing.

3. Appellant, Sh. Darshan Singh Kang is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 04.09.2019 has been received by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla requesting therein for an adjournment, which is taken on record.

4. Respondent, Sh. Sudesh Kumari states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant many times as the appellant filed many RTI applications through which he demanded the same information. She submits a copy of order dated 08.02.2017 passed by Ld. SIC, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan in Complaint Case No. 1352 of 2016 showing that Commission directed that the PIOs need not answer any RTI question if filed by this appellant in the coming days for the information. She submits an acknowledgement regarding the compensation received by the appellant along with signature of the appellant dated 10.06.2019, which is taken on record. She also submits a reply in connection with AC: 2674, 2675, 2805, 3267 and 3399 of 2018 signed by her dated 03.09.2019, which is taken on record.

5. We have heard the respondent and discussed RTI in detail; following are the observations as per the case file:

- On hearing held on 02.01.2019, respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh handed over the requisite information to the appellant during the hearing. Appellant stated that supplied information is incomplete. Appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office and inspect the record with respect to RTI application.

- On hearing held on 06.02.2019, appellant stated that he has not visited the respondent's office for inspection. Last opportunity was given to the appellant to visit the respondent's office as per the order of the Commission dated 02.01.2019.
- On hearing held on 18.03.2019, respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that appellant visited the respondent's office and identified information cannot be supplied to the appellant as it is confidential. Appellant stated that no inspection has been done at respondent's office. A last and final opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information to the appellant.
- On hearing held on 29.04.2019, appellant stated that partial information has been received as information relates with CEO is still pending. Respondent, Sh. Jang Singh assures that he will supply the rest of the information. A compensation amounting Rs. 1,500- was awarded to the appellant.
- On hearing held on 10.06.2019, respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that requisite information relates with point no. 1 will be provided and rest all the requisite information has already been supplied. Appellant shows his dissatisfaction.

6. The perusal of the file shows that RTI application has been sufficiently replied and information has been supplied to the possible extent. Appellant received the compensation dated 10.0.2019. The appellant is failed to represent this case before the division bench. It is also observed that respondents were directed by the Commission not to answer any RTI application of the appellant vide order dated 08.02.2017.

7. In view of above, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of closed**.

8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Khushwant Singh)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 04.09.2019 (12:00 Noon).**

**Copy to:**

- PS to SIC (KS) for kind information.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Darshan Singh Kang (94643-69290)**  
(Anti Corruption Wing)  
Samrala, Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),  
Punjab Govt., Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),  
Punjab Govt., Chandigarh

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3399 of 2018**

**Present** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Ms. Sudesh Kumari (APIO) along with Sh. Amit Kumar (SA).

**ORDER**

1. This above mentioned case was last heard by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla dated 10.06.2019 and this case file was sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.

2. The Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan vide Office Order dated 27.06.2019 has re-allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by the Division Bench consisting of Ld. SICs; Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Sh. Khushwant Singh. Accordingly the case is fixed for today's hearing.

3. Appellant, Sh. Darshan Singh Kang is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 04.09.2019 has been received by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla requesting therein for an adjournment, which is taken on record.

4. Respondent, Sh. Sudesh Kumari states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant many times as the appellant filed many RTI applications through which he demanded the same information. She submits a copy of order dated 08.02.2017 passed by Ld. SIC, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan in Complaint Case No. 1352 of 2016 showing that Commission directed that the PIOs need not answer any RTI question if filed by this appellant in the coming days for the information. She submits an acknowledgement regarding the compensation received by the appellant along with signature of the appellant dated 10.06.2019, which is taken on record. She also submits a reply in connection with AC: 2674, 2675, 2805, 3267 and 3399 of 2018 signed by her dated 03.09.2019, which is taken on record.

5. We have heard the respondent and discussed RTI in detail; following are the observations as per the case file:

- On hearing held on 02.01.2019, Appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office and inspect the record with respect to RTI application.

- On hearing held on 06.02.2019, appellant stated that he has not visited the respondent's office for inspection. Last opportunity was given to the appellant to visit the respondent's office as per the order of the Commission dated 02.01.2019.
- On hearing held on 18.03.2019, respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that appellant visited the respondent's office and identified information cannot be supplied to the appellant as it is confidential. Appellant stated that no inspection has been done at respondent's office. A last and final opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information to the appellant.
- On hearing held on 29.04.2019, appellant stated that requisite information is still pending from the respondent. Respondent, Sh. Jang Singh states that concerned official is unable to attend today's hearing. A compensation amounting Rs. 1,500- was awarded to the appellant. Respondent PIO was directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant.
- On hearing held on 10.06.2019, respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that all the available requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant.

6. The perusal of the file shows that RTI application has been sufficiently replied and information has been supplied to the possible extent. Appellant received the compensation dated 10.0.2019. The appellant is failed to represent this case before the division bench. It is also observed that respondents were directed by the Commission not to answer any RTI application of the appellant vide order dated 08.02.2017.

7. In view of above, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of closed**.

8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**(Sh. Khushwant Singh)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 04.09.2019 (12:00 Noon).**

**Copy to:**

- PS to SIC (KS) for kind information.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Ms. Prabhdeep Kaur (98785-00082)**  
(Regd. Post) S/o Sh. Harsharanbir Singh,  
#673, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Phase 6, Mohali.  
Versus

Appellant

**Public Information Officer**  
(Regd. Post) O/o SSP SAS Nagar (Mohali).

**First Appellate Authority**  
(Regd. Post) O/o Inspector General of Police,  
Roopnagar Range, Roopnagar.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 351 of 2019**

**Present:** Sh. H.S. Hundal on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Sh. Gurminder Singh (SA) along with Sh. Gurnam Singh.

**ORDER**

1. The above mentioned case was last heard by the bench of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and appellant requested the Commission to take an action on the concerned respondent PIO. This case file was sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner to constitute a Larger/Division Bench to hear and decide this case.

The Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan vide Office Order dated 20.05.2019 has re-allocated the above mentioned case for hearing by Division Bench consisting of Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla and Ld. SIC, Sh. Khushwant Singh. Accordingly the case was fixed for hearing today.

2. Respondent, Sh. Gurnam Singh states that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant. He presented a copy of the sent information, during the hearing.

3. On this, representative of the appellant, Sh. H.S. Hundal he has received only 2 pages in Jan. 2019 (second week) and postal stamp of Rs. 5 was on that envelope, which is irrelevant and explained as per the para 3 of the previous order of the Commission dated 07.05.2019. He states that an email dated 04.09.2019 has been sent by the appellant to the Commission regarding her submission. He submits a copy of that email, which is taken on record.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, we are of the view that gross negligence in this case by the respondent PIO. One opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to file a proper detailed reply to present before the Division Bench why RTI application was not entertained within the time period as per the provision of RTI Act.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on the available evidences. A copy of this order be sent to respondent PIO, O/o SSP, SAS Nagar (Mohali) by name through **registered post** for his ready reference along with directions to appear in person.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **16.10.2019 at 12:00 Noon.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through **registered post.**

**(Sh. Khushwant Singh)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**(S.I.C.)**

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 04.09.2019 (12:00 Noon).**

**Public Information Officer (By Name)**  
**(Regd. Post) O/o SSP SAS Nagar (Mohali).**

**Copy to:**

- PS to SIC (KS) for kind information.