Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Prem Kumar Rattan H.No.78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi, Dhuri, District Sangrur.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director, Technical Education &. Industrial Training Deptt., Punjab Sector-36, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal Secretary to the. Govt. of Puniab. Department of Tech. Education & Indl. Training, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

AC No.1593 of 2020

Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant Present:

Shri Rupinder Singh, Deputy Director, O/o Director, Tech. Education & (ii) & Industrial Training, Punjab/PIO, in person before the Bench.

ORDER

Heard through Video Conferencing

- 1. The RTI application is dated 12.09.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 28.10.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Sangrur.
- 3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Sangrur. Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, appellant comes present for the hearing. He states that he has not been supplied any information.
- 4. Shri Rupinder Singh, Deputy Director, O/o Director, Tech. Education & Industrial Training, Punjab/PIO, comes present in person before the Bench. He states that 50% information has been compiled and the remaining 50% is being collected from different branches of their office. He prays for additional time to supply the entire information.
- 5. The Bench observes that the RTI application of the appellant is dated 12.09.2020 and First Appeal is of dated 28.10.2019. But in spite of lapse of more than nine months, the appellant has not been

Cont..P-2



-2- <u>AC No.1593/2020</u>

supplied any information. The Bench views it adversely. However, as requested by the PIO, another date is given for the supply of information and as such, the Bench directs the PIO to ensure that the entire information is supplied to the appellant before the next date of hearing failing which the penal consequences shall follow.

6. With the above directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 09.09.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Sangrur. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned

Chandigarh 04.08.2020

parties.

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Ravi Kumar S/o Shri Dharminder Kumar R/o H.No.107, Near Santpura Gurdwara Sahib,Patiala Gate, Sangrur.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur..

First Appellate Authority,

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Pb. Sector-17, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

AC No.1126 of 2020

Versus

Present: (i) Shri Ravi Kumar, Appellant

(ii) None on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 04.12.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 08.01.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 16.03.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C.Sangrur.
- 3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Sangrur. Shri Ravi Kumar, appellant attends the hearing through V.C.. He states that he has not been supplied any information.
- 4. Neither the PIO himself has come present to attend the hearing nor he has deputed any official to attend the hearing on his behalf. The PIO has also not supplied any information to the appellant.
- 5. The Bench views the absence of the PIO as well as the non-supply of requisite information to the appellant adversely. The PIO O/o Secretary, R.T.A. Sangrur is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and to explain as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for not supplying the information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

PSIC PSIC

-2-

AC No.1126/2020

- 6. In addition to the written reply, PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.
- 7. The PIO is directed to supply complete information to the appellant before the next hearing.

 The PIO is also directed to come in person on the next date of hearing.
- 8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 09.09.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Sangrur. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 04.08.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in



Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Prem Kumar Rattan H.No.78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi, Dhuri, District Sangrur.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Regional Dy. Director, Urban Local Govt.. Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.1592 of 2020

Versus

Present: (i) Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant

(ii) None on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 02.09.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 03.10.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Sangrur.
- 3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Sangrur. Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, appellant attends the hearing through V.C.. He states that he has not been supplied any information.
- 4. Neither the PIO himself has come present to attend the hearing nor he has deputed any official to attend the hearing on his behalf. The PIO has also not supplied any information to the appellant.
- The Bench views the absence of the PIO as well as the non-supply of requisite information to the appellant adversely. The PIO O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and to explain as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for not supplying the information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

PSIC

AC No.1592/2020

6. In addition to the written reply, PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.

-2-

7. The PIO is directed to supply complete information to the appellant before the next hearing.

The PIO is also directed to come in person on the next date of hearing.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 09.09.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Sangrur. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 04.08.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in





Shri O.P. Garg, Secretary, R/o # 478, Phase-II, Urban Estate, Patiala.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

AC No.1130 of 2020

Present:

- (i) Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant
- (ii) Shri Gurpal Singh, APIO O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh in person before the Bench.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 21.09.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 19.12.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 16.03..2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Patiala.
- 3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Patiala. Shri O.P. Garg, appellant comes present for the hearing. He states that he has not been supplied any information.
- 4. Shri Gurpal Singh, APIO, O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh comes present in person before the Bench. He states that the appellant has already been supplied information vide letter dated 04.02.2020 by registered post as well as through e.mail on the same day. He also shows the copy of letter to the Bench and reads out its contents.
- 5. The Bench apprises the appellant about the version of the APIO. He, however, denies having received any information. He is read out the information supplied to him. The appellant observes that he has requested for file nothings also vide his RTI application which don't seem to be enclosed.



-2-

AC No.1130/2020

- 6. The Bench directs the APIO present to again send the information already supplied along with the copies of relevant file notings to the appellant by registered post as well as by e-mail earliest. On receipt of information, the appellant will scrutinize the information and point out deficiencies, if any and the same will be addressed by the PIO before the next date of information. After the information is supplied to the appellant, the PIO will file an affidavit duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.1130 of 2020, has been supplied to the appellant. Nothing has been concealed therein. The statement made is correct and authentic. The original affidavit be sent to the appellant before the next date of hearing and photocopy of thereof be sent to the Commission for record.
- 7. With the above directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 09.09.2020** at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Patiala. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 04.08.2020

RDEN,

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Sarvan Kumar, S/o Shri Jaman Dass R/o Street No.2, Near Sri Ram Mandir, Patiala Gate, Distt:Sangrur.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Pb. Sector-17, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

AC No.861 of 2020

Versus

Present: (i) Appellant- absent

(ii) Shri Karanvir Singh, Secretary, RTA Sangrur/PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 03.10.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 25.11.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.02.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Patiala.
- 3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Patiala. Shri Sarvan Kumar, appellant has not come present for the hearing.
- 4. Shri Karanvir Singh, Secretary, R.T.A. Sangrur/PIO comes present to attend the hearing through V.C. He states that the appellant has already been informed that the information sought by him is available on the website of the department and he can access the information from the said website.
- 5. Since the appellant is absent, he is contacted through mobile phone. He states that he has not received any information. Then he is apprised of the version of the PIO. However, he states that he is not conversant with the computer functioning and will not be able to access the website and needs a hard copy of the information.

PSIC

-2- <u>AC No.861/2020</u>

6. After hearing the appellant, the PIO is apprised of the inability of the appellant to access the website and he is directed to call the appellant to his office. He assures that the appellant will be called to his office by tying up with him and he will also depute an official to help the appellant to access the website and thereafter, the scanned copy of the information will be supplied to the appellant. He is directed to ensure that the requisite information is provided to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

7. With the above directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 09.09.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Sangrur. Copies of the orders be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 04.08.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in





Shri Vikramjit S/o Late Shri Babu Ram R/o Bharat Nagar(Basti Bhatia Wali) Near Surinder Cable Wala, Ferozepur-152002

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Controller (Finance & Accounts) Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Municipal Corporation.

Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.976 of 2020

Versus

Present: (i) Shri Vikramjit Singh, Appellant

(ii) None on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

Heard through Video Conferencing

- 1. The RTI application is dated 21.11.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 04.01.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 03.03.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ferozepur.
- 3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ferozepur The PIO has not come present to attend the hearing through V.C. nor he has deputed any of his officials to attend the hearing. However, a letter dated 24.07.2020 has been received from the PIO vide which the appellant has been supplied the requisite information.
- 4. Shri Vikramjit Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing at O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ferozepur. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant confirms having received the information to his satisfaction.
- 5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the matter. The PIO however, is warned to be careful and more responsive in dealing with RTI application and not take undue time in dealing with RTI applications and appeals. **The appeal is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 04.08.2020 St

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

PSIC

Shri Manjinder Singh R/o # 2469, Sunny Enclave, Sector-125, Kharar,

Distt. SAS Nagar(Mohali)-140301Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority-cum-

State Transport Commissioner, Pb. Sector-17, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

AC No.1141 of 2020

Present: (i) Appellant- absent

(ii) Shri Sukhjinder Singh, Clerk O/o Secretary, R.T.A. Ferozepur on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

Heard through Video Conferencing

- 1. The RTI application is dated 27.11.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 08.01.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 17.03.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ferozepur.
- 3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ferozepur Shri Sukhjinder Singh, Clerk O/o Secretary, R.T.A. Ferozepur comes present to attend the hearing through V.C. He states that the appellant has been supplied information vide letter dated 27.01.2020.
- 4. Shri Manjinder Singh, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing. He has, however, sent an e.mail today vide which he has confirmed the receipt of information and has also requested to dispose off the case.
- 5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the matter. **The appeal is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 04.08.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Bakshish Singh POA Sukhjit Sandhu R/o # 88, New Jawahar Nagar, Jalandhar-144001.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Director, Local Government, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.1218 of 2020

Versus

Present: (i) Shri Bakshish Singh, Appellant

(ii) None on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

Heard through Video Conferencing

- 1. The RTI application is dated 30.10.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 04.12.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.04.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Jalandhar.
- 3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Jalandhar. Shri Bakshish Singh, appellant comes present and states that he has asked for the copy of letter on the basis of which penalty for non-construction of about Rs.1.51 lacs has been imposed on him, but he has not been supplied any information.
- 4. Neither the PIO himself has come present to attend the hearing through V.C. nor he has deputed any official to attend the hearing on his behalf.
- 5. The Bench, after perusing the file, finds that RTI application is of 30.10.2019, but no information has been supplied to the appellant even after a lapse of about more than nine months.

....Cont..P-2

PSÍC PSÍC

-2-

AC No.1218/2020

6. The Bench views the absence of the PIO as well as the non-supply of requisite information to the appellant adversely. The PIO O/o Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and to explain as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for not supplying the information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

- 7. In addition to the written reply, PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.
- 8. The PIO is directed to supply complete information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO is also directed to come in person on the next date of hearing.
- 9. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 26.08.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Jalandhar. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 04.08.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Bakshish Singh R/o # 88, New Jawahar Nagar, Jalandhar-144001.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Director, Local Government, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.1257 of 2020

Versus

Present: (i) Shri Bakshish Singh, Appellant

(ii) None on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

Heard through Video Conferencing

- 1. The RTI application is dated 27.12.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 03.02.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 01.05.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Jalandhar.
- 3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Jalandhar. Shri Bakshish Singh, appellant comes present and states that he has asked for the copy of Rules/Regulations/instructions of the Govt. on the basis of which penalty for non-construction of about Rs.1.51 lacs has been imposed on him, but he has not been supplied any information.
- 4. Neither the PIO himself has come present to attend the hearing through V.C. nor has he deputed any official to attend the hearing on his behalf.
- 5. The Bench, after perusing the file, finds that RTI application is of 27.12.2019, but no information has been supplied to the appellant even after a lapse of about more than seven months.

....Cont..P-2

PSÍC PSÍC

-2-

AC No.1218/2020

6. The Bench views the absence of the PIO as well as the non-supply of requisite information to the appellant adversely. The PIO O/o Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and to explain as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for not supplying the information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

- 7. In addition to the written reply, PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.
- 8. The PIO is directed to supply complete information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO is also directed to come in person on the next date of hearing.
- 9. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 26.08.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Jalandhar. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 04.08.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in





Shri Nitin Kumar Garg C/o Police Public Dairy, 15A, Shastri Nagar, Model Town, Ludhiana. PSIC

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Amritsar.

....Respondents

AC No.1461 of 2020

Present: (i) Appellant- absent

(ii) None on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 12.01.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 16.02.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 10.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Jalandhar.
- 3. Shri Nitin Garg, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing through V.C.. He has, however, sent an e.mail dated 04.08.2020 vide which he has informed that he has not been provided any information and further states that due to COVID-19, it is not possible for him to visit Jalandhar.
- 4. Nobody has come present on behalf of the PIO to attend the hearing. However, a letter dated 03.08.2020 has been received through e-mail to the effect that the appellant has already been supplied information vide their letter dated 03.03.2020.

Cont...P-2

PSIC PSIC

AC No.1461/2020

5. Since the appellant is absent, he is contacted on mobile phone and apprised of the letter dated 03.03.2020 vide which he has been supplied the information by the PIO. He denies having received any such letter. The PIO is thus directed by the Bench to send another copy through registered post to the appellant.

-2-

6. The PIO is also directed to file an affidavit before next hearing duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.1461 of 2020, has been supplied to the appellant. Nothing has been concealed therein. The statement made is correct and authentic. The original affidavit be sent to the appellant before the next date of hearing and photocopy of thereof be sent to the Commission for record.

7. With the above directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 16.09.2020 at 11.30 AM through CISCO Webex meet. Code No.919774811. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 04.08.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Paramjit Singh R/o H.No.83, Mohalla Ahulwalia, Mukerian, Distt. Hoshiarpur.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Senior Supdt. Of Police, Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director General of Police,
Punjab, Punjab Police HQs,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

AC No.1056 of 2020

Present: (i) Shri Paramjit Singh, Appellant

(ii) Shri Harmesh Kumar, ASI O/o SSP Gurdasour, on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 13.12.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 20.01.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 09.03.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Hoshiarpur.
- 3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Hoshiarpur. Shri Paramjit Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing. He states that he has sought information relating to the action taken by the Police regarding recovery of Motor Cycles and two cars from one Ravi Kumar which were stolen from Mukerian, the business place of his father.
- 4. Shri Harmesh Kumar, ASI O/o SSP Gurdaspur comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. He states that the appellant has already been supplied information vide letter 22.07.2020 enclosing therein a letter dated 30.12.2019 from CIA Branch, Gurdaspur. As per letter dated 30.12.2019, the father of the appellant is Transporter at Mukerian and one Ravi Kumar was also working with them. Shri Ravi Kumar and his brother had taken away two motor cycles and two cars from their business place. Whereas the motor cycles have been recovered by the Police Station, Mukerian but two cars are yet to be recovered from Shri Ravi Kumar. It has also been stated therein that since incident relates to Police Station, Mukerian, it is to be looked into by the concerned Police Station.

PSÍC PSÍC

-2-

AC No.1056/2020

6. Post deliberations with the appellant and representation of the PIO, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit, within seven days, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.1056 of 2020, has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter 22.07.2020 enclosing therein a letter dated 30.12.2019 from CIA Branch, Gurdaspur and also incorporating the facts contained in letter dated 30.12.2019 mentioned above. Nothing has been concealed therein. Information beyond what has been supplied may be obtained from Police Station, Mukerian. The statement made is correct and authentic. The original affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy be sent to the Commission for record.

7. With the above directions, **the appeal is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 04.08.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in





Shri Surinder Kumar S/o Shri Bhagwan Dass, Partap Nagar Welfare Society, Ward No.8, Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, Amritsar.

....Respondents

AC No.1222 of 2020

Versus

Present: (i) Appellant- absent

(ii) None on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 08.11.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 12.12.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.04.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Gurdaspur.
- 3. Nobody has come to attend the hearing through V.C. on behalf of the PIO. However, a letter has been received in the Commission wherein the PIO has stated that the appellant Shri Surinder Kumar is filing RTI applications repeatedly almost seeking similar information with minor alterations in the wording and the instant case AC No.1222 of 2020 is also such case. The appellant has been sent reply on similar lines as sent to him earlier in AC No.1502 of 2020 filed by him vide letter dated 14.07.2020. It is further stated in the letter that Shri Surinder Kumar is intentionally doing so and wasting the time of Department as also to demoralize the employees and has requested to black list the appellant.
- 4. On the other hand, Shri Surinder Kumar, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing through VC. However, a letter has been received from him through e.mail that he does not want to pursue the appeal case further and requests for its closure.



-2- <u>AC No.1222/2020</u>

5. In view of the above, it emerges that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the instant appeal case and also requests for closing the same. **As such, the appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 04.08.2020