**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Tejinder Singh**

R/o Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SHO, Police Station, Civil Lines,

Bathinda Respondent

**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1235 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 23.08.2017**

**Date of Institution: 04.04.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh, the complainant in person.

Nobody on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Complainant, Sh. Tejinder Singh is present for today’s hearing states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After discussing with the complainant and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant whenever the inquiry will be completed. No further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Amar Nath, (97803-62953)**

33159, Street No. 1, Partap Nagar,

Bathinda. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Tehsildar, Bathinda.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Assistant Commissioner,

(Complaints), Bathinda.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DC, Bathinda. Respondent

**Complaint Case no. 1381 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 23.08.2017**

**Date of Institution: 04.04.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Vinod Goyal on behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Sukhbir Singh (Tehsildar)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Sukhbir Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant. He also mentioned that a similar case (Complaint Case No. 13 of 2018) is pending before the undersigned bench and fixed for hearing today.
2. Representative of the complainant, Sh. Vinod Goyal shows dissatisfaction with the supplied information.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to supply a proper written reply in the shape of an affidavit to the complainant along with a copy to the Commission. Respondent, Sh. Sukhbir Singh agreed on it and he assures that he will supply the affidavit to the complainant.
4. On the assurance of the respondent, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM State Information Commissioner**

**Note:** After the hearing was over, respondent, Sh. Sukhbir Singh appeared and submits an affidavit signed by him as Tehsildar, Bathinda dated 04.04.2018 along with supporting documents, which are taken on record.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate),**

Kothi No. 585, Phase 2, Mohali (94639-50619). Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Secretary, Punjab Govt.,

Department of Civil Aviation,

Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Complaint Case no. 1388 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 11.12.2017**

**Date of Institution: 04.04.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma, the complainant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Puran Chand, Senior Assistant.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Puran Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant.
2. Complainant, Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma states that he had received the requisite information yesterday and pointed out the deficiencies in the supplied information. He also mentioned that he has sent an email in this regard to the respondent and to the Commission as well.
3. After hearing and discussing with both the parties and examining the case file, complainant, Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma requested to close this case. I found that a letter from the respondent vide diary no. 6861 dated 03.04.2018 signed by Adviser Civil Aviation dated 30.03.2018 and an email from the complainant dated 04.04.2018 is on record. No further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sanjeev Thakur**

Village Gudha Khurd,

PO Madhopur Cantt.-145024 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o BDPO,

Sujjanpur

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DDPO,

Pathankot Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3066 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 31.10.2017**

**Date of Institution: 04.04.2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Parmesh Kumar (PIO cum Secretary).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Parmesh Kumar states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant.
2. Applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Thakur is not present for today’s hearing but an email has been received from the applicant (Email ID [kumarkamal73@gmail.com](mailto:kumarkamal73@gmail.com)) stating that information has been received from the PIO. It is taken on record.
3. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Vinod Kumar**

S/o Sh. Sri Ram,

R/o Village Bishanpura, Tehsil Abohar

District Fazilka Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o BDPO, Abhohar,

District Fazilka

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DDPO,

Fazilka Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3073 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 31.10.2017**

**Date of Institution: 04.04.2018**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Vinod Kumar is absent for today’s hearing without any intimation to the Commission.
2. Respondent PIO is not present for today’s hearing but a letter has been received from the respondent in the Commission vide diary no. 6825 dated 03.04.2018 signed by PIO cum DDPO, Fazilka assign duty to PIO cum BDPO, Abohar to represent this case in the SICP office, which is taken on record.
3. After examining the case file, I found that copy of last order was sent to the applicant through registered post has returned back to the Commission with remarks “Wrong Address” but after checking from the case file, it is found address is correct as notice of hearing and previous orders were sent on the same address.

It is also found that a letter has been received from the PIO cum BDPO, Abohar in the Commission vide diary no. 5490 dated 16.03.2018 addressed to Sh. Sushil Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, PIO Gram Panchayat, Bishanpura, Block Amloh to represent this case in person at the SICP Office but the respondent, Sh. Sushil Kumar failed to do so.

It is observed that the applicant, Sh. Vinod Kumar was absent on first hearing held on 17.01.2018. A copy of order was sent to him through registered post and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 21.02.2018.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 3073 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 31.10.2017**

**Date of Institution: 04.04.2018**

On hearing held on 21.02.2018, applicant was again absent and copy of order was sent to him through registered post and matter was adjourned for 04.04.2018 i.e. today but the applicant failed to represent this case.

1. I am of the view that after giving various opportunities to the applicant, applicant failed to represent his case. It is presumed that applicant; Sh. Vinod Kumar either satisfied with the supplied information or lost his interest in this case. No further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Tejinder Singh**

R/o Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Municipal Corporation,

Moga

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Municipal Corporation,

Moga Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3126 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 06.11.2017**

**Date of Institution: 04.04.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh, the complainant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Pavitra Singh (Assistant Town Planner)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Pavitra Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant.
2. On this, applicant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that on the previous hearing held on 21.02.2018, he provided the photographs to the respondent during the hearing and information is still pending.
3. After discussing with the parties, applicant, Sh. Tejinder Singh requested to close this case. On the request of the applicant, No further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. P.K. Gupta (94173-42111)**

#277, Industrial Area A,

Ludhiana-141003 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Commissioner,

Patiala Division, Patiala

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner,

Patiala Division, Patiala Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3138 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. P.K. Gupta, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Harmesh Singh (Junior Assistant) (99142-77700)

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. P.K. Gupta is present or today’s hearing states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent. He submits his written submission dated 04.04.2018 along with supporting documents, which are taken on record.
2. Respondent, Sh. Harmesh Singh submits a written reply memo no. 2184 dated 27.03.2018 signed by Ms. Veena Rani, Superintendent Grade-2 cum APIO, Office of Commissioner Patiala Division, Patiala along with a copy of letter no. 310 dated 12.01.2018 signed by Sh. Narinder Singh Bajwa, Superintendent Grade-2 cum APIO, Office of Commissioner Patiala Division, Patiala stating that requisite information cannot be supplied to the applicant as it relates with third party, which is taken on record.
3. After discussing with both the parties and examining the case file, I found that information in connection with point no. 1 can be supplied to the applicant. Respondent PIO is directed to supply the information relates with point no. 1 to the applicant by the next date of hearing positively.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **02.05.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sant Ram (95305-83588)**

S/o Sh. Jyoti Ram,

R/o Village Tepla,

Tehsil Rajpura, District Patiala Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Divisional Engineer,

Water Supply & Sanitation,

Sub Division, Ghanaur, Rajpura

District Patiala

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sarpanch,

GPWSC, Tepla.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Sub Divisional Engineer,

Water Supply & Sanitation,

Sub Division, Ghanaur, Rajpura

District Patiala Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3586 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sant Ram, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Satnam Singh (Assistant Engineer) along with Sh. Pardeep Kumar (Sarpanch)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Pardeep Kumar requested for an adjournment in this case.
2. Applicant, Sh. Sant Ram is present or today’s hearing.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, I found that on the last hearing held on 20.02.2018 PIO O/o Sarpanch, GPWSC, Tepla was impleaded as necessary party in this case and a copy of this order was sent to him through registered post along with copy of RTI request.
4. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information to the applicant by the next date of hearing positively, failing to which action under Section 20 (1) will be initiated against him.
5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **02.05.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Madan Lal S/o Sh. Om Parkash,**

Jain Niwas, MCB-Zone-2,

H. No. 10803, St. No. 18,

Parinda Road 18, GTB Nagar,

Bathinda – 151001 (7009709515). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Local Bodies,

Punjab Municipal Bhawan,

Sector 35 A - Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director Local Bodies,

Punjab Municipal Bhawan,

Sector 35 A - Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3698 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Madan Lal, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Chetan Singh (Senior Assistant)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Chetan Singh requested for an adjournment in this case to trace the record as requisite information is so old.
2. Applicant, Sh. Madan Lal is present or today’s hearing.
3. Request of the respondent is granted; another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information to the applicant by the next date of hearing positively.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **03.05.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh (98724-86992)**

S/o Sh. Davinder Singh, Ms. Ranbir

Electronics, Sh. Guru Nanak

Dev Ji Market, Behind Singh Studio,

Bhucho Mandi, District Batinda -151101 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Registrar,

Adesh University, VPO Bhuchi Kalan,

Tehsil Nathana, District Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Registrar, Adesh University,

VPO Bhuchi Kalan, Tehsil Nathana,

District Bathinda. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3738 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Advocate S.S. Brar, (98144-27660).

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Sukhjeet Singh states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO till date. He has not received any call from the respondent in this regard.
2. On this, respondent, Advocate S.S. Brar states that communication gap was there in between the applicant and respondent. He further requested for an adjournment in this case.
3. After hearing the parties and examining the case file, hearing held on 28.02.2018, respondent PIO was directed to provide the requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant, by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant was also advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the pending information, in written to the respondent PIO and also a copy of it to the Commission but the respondent failed to provide requisite information to the applicant.

The applicant, Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh is advised to visit the respondent’s office to inspect the official record as per his RTI application.
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**Appeal Case No. 3738 of 2017**

1. On this, applicant, Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh agreed and respondent PIO is directed to make sure that applicant inspected the official record as per his RTI application on his visit to the respondent office on **12.04.2018 at 11.30 am**, failing to which action will be initiated against the concerned respondent PIO.
2. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **02.05.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM State Information Commissioner**

2/2

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Amar Nath**

H. No. 33159, St. No.1, Partap Nagar,

Bathinda. (97803-62953) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DC, Bathinda. Respondent

**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 13 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 27.12.2017**

**Date of Institution: 04.04.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Vinod Goyal on behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Sukhbir Singh (Tehsildar)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Sukhbir Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant.
2. Representative of the complainant, Sh. Vinod Goyal shows dissatisfaction with the supplied information. He submits a written reply signed by the applicant, Sh. Amar Nath dated 04.04.2018, which is taken on record.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to supply a proper written reply in the shape of an affidavit to the complainant along with a copy to the Commission. Respondent, Sh. Sukhbir Singh agreed on it and he assures that he will supply the affidavit to the complainant.
4. On the assurance of the respondent, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM State Information Commissioner**

**Note:** After the hearing was over, respondent, Sh. Sukhbir Singh appeared and submits an affidavit signed by him as Tehsildar, Bathinda dated 04.04.2018 along with supporting documents, original is taken on record in appeal no. 1381 of 2017, a copy of the same is taken on record in this case.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 04.04.2018 State Information Commissioner**