

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gora Lal, S/o Sh Manohar Lal,
R/o H No-1, Street No-1, Professor Colony,
Sirhind Mandi, Fatehgarh Sahib.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o XEN, PSPCL,
Sirhind, Distt Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 345 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Gurpal Singh, EO-MC Sirhind for the Respondent

ORDER: The case was first heard on 07.08.2019. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant vide letter dated 22.03.2019. Regarding delay in attending to the RTI application, the respondent stated that the RTI application was received in City Sub Division on 18.01.2019 and City Sub Division submitted reply to the Xen on 31.01.2019 for approval which sent it to the appellant vide letter dated 22.03.2019.

The Commission was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO and directed the respondent to file a detailed reply giving sequence of events that why delay has been occurred.

The case was last heard on **03.12.2019**. Sh.Parvinder Singh, AE-PSPCL Sirhind appeared and pleaded that the information concerning them has already been provided to the appellant. Regarding the delay, the respondent informed that the complaint of the complainant was forwarded by the DC Fatehgarh Sahib to the Estate Officer, MC Sirhind and Xen, PSPCL Sirhind and they have already provided the information to the appellant. The appellant has not raised any objection. The respondent further informed that the EO-MC Sirhind has not provided the information.

The EO-MC Sirhind was absent. The EO-MC Sirhind was issued a **show cause** notice under **Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to** file reply on an affidavit. The PIO was again directed to provide the information within 10 days of the receipt of this order.

Hearing dated 04.03.2020:

The EO-MC Sirhind is present and pleaded that the information has already been supplied to the complainant in an earlier complaint case No.344 of 2019 which was filed by the complainant for seeking exactly the same information and disposed off by Sh.Yashvir Mahajan, State Information Commissioner on 11.06.2019. The respondent has submitted a copy of RTI application filed in appeal case No.344 of 2019 and the order dated 11.06.2019.

Since the information has already been provided in an earlier case, I accept the plea of the respondent and drop the show cause.

The appellant is absent on 3rd consecutive hearing. The appeal case is dismissed for non perusal as well as the information already stands provided.

The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:04.03.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to :PIO-Estate Officer,
Municipal Committee,
Sirhind.



Regd Post

Sh.NareshGoel, S/o Sh Hans Raj,
501/62/1, Shastri Nagar, Street No-3,
Jagraon, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
Tehsildar, Village Gill,
Ludhiana(South)

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 986 of 2018

Present: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

Order:

The case was first heard on **27.11.2018**. The complainant informed that he has not received any communication from the PIO. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act and be present on the next date of hearing personally or through a representative.

The case was again heard on **16.01.2019**. The PIO was again absent nor sent any communication. The complainant was present and informed that no information was received. The respondent was given one more opportunity to provide the information to the appellant and be present on the next date of hearing failing which the Commission will be constrained to take action as per RTI Act.

The case again came up for hearing on **13.03.2019**. The complainant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent on 3rd consecutive hearing and nor sent any communication. The PIO –Tehsildar, Village Gill(Ludhiana) was issued a **show cause notice under section 20 of the RTI Act for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time, and** directed to file an affidavit in this regard.

On the next date of hearing which was held on 14.05.2019, the complainant informed that no information has been provided. The respondent was again absent nor had sent any reply to the show cause notice. The PIO was given one last opportunity to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and be present on the next date of hearing alongwith the reply to the show cause notice on an affidavit.

The case was again heard on 03.07.2019. The PIO however in spite of the orders of the Commission to be personally present did not turned up nor had sent any reply to the show cause notice. Invoking section 20 of the RTI Act, a penalty of **Rs.15,000/-** was imposed upon the PIO, Tehsildar, under whose jurisdiction the Village Gill (Ludhiana) falls, which was be deposited in the Govt. Treasury.

Further, PIO, Tehsildar, Village Gill (Ludhiana) was directed to duly inform the Commission of the compliance of the orders by producing a copy of the challan justifying the deposition of the penalty in the Govt Treasury. The PIO was again directed to provide the information within 10 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Complaint Case No. 986 of 2018

A copy of the order was sent to the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana to ascertain the PIO – Tehsildar, under whose jurisdiction Village Gill(Ludhiana) falls. To get the order served to the concerned PIO and to ensure compliance of this order, as well as ensure that the concerned PIO appears personally at the next date of hearing.

The case was again heard on **13.08.2019**. **Sh.Harvinder** Singh, reader to Tehsildar(South) Ludhiana appeared and pleaded that neither the RTI application nor any order of the Commission was received by them and they only received the order dated 03.07.2019 through the office of DC Ludhiana. The respondent also submitted a letter dated 09.08.2019 signed by the PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Ludhiana(West) whereby the Tehsildar Ludhiana(South) informed that he has just joined on 05.07.2019 and as per their record, no RTI application pertaining to this appeal case was pending nor any order of the Commission was received. A copy of the RTI application was provided to the respondent with a direction to look at the RTI application and provide the information to the appellant.

The respondent was also directed to inform the name of the PIO when the RTI application was filed and his period of stay. The concerned PIO was directed to be present personally at the next date of hearing.

The case was last heard on **29.11.2019**. **Sh.Gurdev Singh, Tehsildar(South)Ludhiana** appeared and informed that the available information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant had received the information and was satisfied.

Regarding deposit of penalty amount in the Govt treasury, the respondent pleaded that he had taken the charge as PIO-Tehsildar(South) recently in Oct. 2019 and delay had happened on the part of earlier Tehsildar Sh.Kanwal Narinder Singh who was the PIO-cum-Tehsildar (South) when the RTI application was filed. The respondent also submitted a list of PIOs posted from the date of filing of RTI application till date. As per the list submitted, Sh.Kanwal Narinder Singh was the PIO from June,217 to 14.03.2019. The respondent informed that Sh.Kanwal Narinder Singh is now posted as Sub-Registrar Ludhiana(West).

Sh.Kanwal Narinder Singh, Tehsildar Ludhiana(West) was hereby directed to appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing and plead his case. The present PIO was also directed to appear on the next date of hearing.

Hearing dated 04.03.2020:

Both the parties are absent. Sh.Kanwal Narinder Singh, SR Ludhiana vide email has sought exemption and requested for hearing of the case on 11.03.2020.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **11.03.2020 at 01.00 PM**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 04.03.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to : 1. Sh.Kanwal Narinder Singh,
Tehsildar Ludhiana(West).

2. PIO-Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana



Smt.Sukhvinder Kaur, W/o Lt.Sh.Baldev Singh,
VPO HardoJhande, Tehsil Batala.
Distt.Gurdaspur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
SDO-Grid Construction Sub-Division,
PSPCL Batala.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1143 of 2018

Present: None for the Complainant
Sh.Navjot Singh, SDO-Grid Construction Sub-Division, PSPCL Batala
and Sh.Amrik Singh, Sr.Assistant O/o Xen-PSPCL (City) Batala for the
Respondent

Order:

The case was first heard on 04.02.2019. The Complainant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. Due to delay in providing the information, the PIO was issued a **show cause notice** and directed to file reply on an affidavit. The PIO was again directed to provide the information within 10 days.

The case was again heard on 19.03.2019. The complainant informed that no information has been provided. The respondent was again absent nor had sent any reply to the show cause notice. The PIO was given one last opportunity to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and be present on the next date of hearing alongwith the reply to the show cause notice on an affidavit.

The case came up for hearing again on 15.05.2019. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned. A copy of the order was sent to the Xen-PSPCL, Batala to determine the PIO under whose custody the information exists and to direct the concerned PIO to provide the information and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

The case was again heard on 17.07.2019. The PIO however in spite of the orders of the Commission to be personally present had not turned up nor had sent any reply to the show cause notice. A penalty of **Rs.25,000/-** was imposed upon the PIO-SDO PSPCL, Batala and the PIO was directed to duly inform the Commission of the compliance of the orders by producing a copy of the challan justifying the deposition of the penalty in the Govt Treasury. The PIO was again directed to provide the information within 10 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

The PIO-SDO PSPCL, Batala was also directed to pay an amount of **Rs.5000/-** via demand draft drawn through Govt. Treasury as compensation to the appellant for the loss and detriment suffered by him of having to file the appeals and not getting information in time. The PIO was directed to duly inform the Commission of the compliance of the order and submit proof of having compensated the complainant.

Complaint Case No. 1143 of 2018

A copy of the order was sent to the Xen, PSPCL Batala to get the order served to the concerned PIO and to ensure compliance of this order, as well as ensure that the concerned PIO to provide the information and appears personally at the next date of hearing.

The case was again heard on **28.08.2019**. The respondent present from the office of Xen-City PSPCL Batala informed that there are six SDOs of PSPCL in Batala and no RTI application had been received by them. According to the complainant, Sh.Baldev Singh was working as work charge employee in the office of SDO-Grid, PSPCL- Gurdaspur Road, Batala. A copy of the RTI application was handed over to the respondent.

The Chief Engineer, Border Zone, PSPCL Amritsar was impleaded in the case and directed to get the order served to the concerned PIO-SDO Batala under whose custody the information lies. The concerned PIO was directed to provide the information and to appear personally on the next date of hearing. The matter of penalty and compensation to be taken at the next date of hearing.

The case was last heard on **29.11.2019**. **Sh.Navjot Singh**, SDO Grid Construction Sub-Division, PSPCL Batala appeared and pleaded that they received the RTI application only on 14.10.2019 from the office of Additional SE Grid Construction Division PSPCL Amritsar and the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 23.10.2019. The appellant was absent.

Hearing dated 04.03.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant. The respondent has however, not deposited the amount of penalty nor has paid compensation amount to the complainant as per order of the Commission.

As per respondent, Dy.Chief Engineer, Sub-Station (Designs), PSPCL Patiala is the PIO in this case. The PIO is directed to file a complete reply in the case for delay in providing the information.

To come up for further hearing on **06.05.2020 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 04.03.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

- CC to 1. Chief Engineer, Border Zone,
PSPCL Amritsar.**
- 2. The Xen, PSPCL, Batala.**
- 3. Dy.Chief Engineer, Sub-Station (Designs),
PSPCL Patiala**



Sh.Ujagar Singh, S/o Sh Lt Sh.Bant Singh,
R/o Street No-1, Mann Colony,
Daba, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o ADGP, (Crime),
Police Headquarters, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DGP, (Crime),
Police Headquarter, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 157 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Ujagar Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Gursimranjit Singh, ASI for the Respondent

Order:

The case was first heard on 26.02.2019 by Sh.S.S.Channy, Chief Information Commissioner. The respondent Sh.Prem Singh was present who informed that as per letter dated 05.02.2019 from AIGP Bureau of Investigation, Punjab, the letter of the appellant dated 18.09.2018 was not received by them and the appellant was asked vide letter dated 11.12.2018 to furnish details of the information sought by him but no reply was received from him. The appellant stated that he has not received the letter dated 11.12.2018. The appellant was directed to hand over a copy of letter dated 18.09.2018 to the respondent and the respondent was directed to provide the information.

The case was again heard by Sh.S.S.Channy, Chief Information Commissioner on 02.04.2019. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent stated that the FIR in the matter has been registered and information asked for by the appellant is in question form, which cannot be provided. After hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to supply the information to the appellant and in case any of the information is not available, then a written submission to this effect be made on the next date of hearing.

The case again came up for hearing before this bench on 08.07.2019. The appellant claimed that despite order of the Commission, the PIO has not supplied the information. The PIO was directed to respond all the points of the RTI application, and whatever the information is available on record, the same be provided. If any of the information is not available in the record, the same be given in writing on an affidavit. If the information is in the custody of other persons, the same be informed. The information be provided to the appellant within 15 days.

The case was again heard on **02.09.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 11.05.2019. The appellant was not satisfied and stated that he has sought information regarding certain documents submitted by him during investigation. The respondent stated that the complete record is available with the police station, Mehal kalan. The DSP-Mehal Kalan was impleaded in the case and directed to allow inspection of the complete record to the appellant and provide the information to the best possible extent as per the RTI act.

Appeal Case No. 157 of 2019

The case was last heard on **03.12.2019**. The respondent present claimed that they tried to contact the appellant to fix a mutually convenient date of inspection but the appellant did not respond. The appellant was absent and vide email sought exemption. The appellant in the same email informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

In the earlier order, inspection rights had been provided which were valid for two months. The appellant was directed to contact the office of PIO by fixing a mutually convenient date and time for inspection of record and get the relevant information. The PIO-DSP, Mehal Kalan was also directed to allow inspection of the complete record to the appellant and provide the information.

Hearing dated 04.03.2020:

The appellant claims that he visited the office of PIO On 02.03.2020 but the PIO was not available in the office and no information has been provided.

Hearing both the parties, the commission observes that the appellant wants the enquiry report of the enquiry conducted by DSP on his complaint dated 18.09.2018. The PIO is directed to provide enquiry report on the action taken by the DSP Crime on the application of the appellant dated 18.09.2018. The information be provided within 15 days.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **06.05.2020 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh
Dated:04.03.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to : DSP-Mehal Kalan(Barnala)



Sh. Kishan Lal, S/o Sh.Bephati Ram,
VPO Nawan Pind,
Mehta Road, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SE, Operational,
City Circle, PSPCL,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o CE, Operational,
Border Zone, PSPCL,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 949 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Krishan Lal as the Appellant
Sh.Amrik Singh for the Respondent

ORDER: The case was first heard on 18.06.2019. The respondent brought some information and handed over to the appellant. However, since the RTI was not legible, there was no way that the Commission could scrutinize the information sought and the information provided. The appellant was directed to bring a legible copy of the RTI application.

The case was again heard on **02.09.2019**. The appellant submitted legible copy of RTI application. The respondent present informed that point-wise information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 02.11.2018 and a copy submitted to the Commission. The appellant was not satisfied. Having gone through the RTI application, reply of the PIO and hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

- Point-2- The PIO to provide information that under whose investigation, the meter was recovered.
- Point-8 PIO to provide copy of PDC
- Point-9 To provide information
- Point-10 Provided during the hearing.

The case was last heard on **03.12.2019**. The Commission received a letter on 22.11.2019 from the PIO stating that the information on points, 2,8,9 & 10 has been provided to the appellant on 10.10.2019 and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information vide receipt dated 18.10.2019.

The appellant was not satisfied and stated that the information has not been provided as sought in the RTI application. The PIO was directed to relook at points 2, 8 & 9 and provide the information and resolve the matter within 15 days.

Hearing dated 04.03.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that the complete information has been supplied to the appellant. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 04.03.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Simranjit Singh, S/o Sh Jagdish Singh,
93/2, Adarsh Nagar,
Jalandhar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o JDA,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o JDA,
Jalandhar

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1043 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Sanjeev Sharma PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on 27.06.2019. Hearing both the parties, the respondent was directed to provide the information to the appellant within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

During the hearing, the appellant also raised the point regarding section 4 of the RTI Act which pertains to the obligations of the Public Authorities, whereby, the public authorities create a system to maintain records, as well disseminate them suo-motto in easily accessible form, preferably electronic and the Internet, so that the public have minimum resort to use this Act to obtain information.

As per powers vested Under Section 19(8)(a)(iii) of the RTI Act, which empowers the Central Information Commission or State Information Commission to require the public authority to take any such steps as may be necessary to secure compliance with the provisions of this Act, including by publishing certain information or categories of information, the Chief Administrator, JDA Jalandhar was impleaded and directed to prepare a roadmap for implementation of the Section 4 of this Act and present it at the next date of hearing.

The case was again heard on **02.09.2019**. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

The case was last heard on **03.12.2019**. The respondent present informed that the appellant was asked vide letter dated 14.11.2019 and 21.11.2019 to inspect the record and get the relevant information. Regarding uploading of the information, the respondent informed that their Nodal Officer-IT has sent communication to all different departments of the JDA to provide data that it could be uploaded on the website of the department as per order of the Commission under section 4 of the RTI Act. The PIO was directed to ensure the compliance of the order before the next date of hearing.

Hearing dated 04.03.2020:

The respondent present informed that in compliance with the order of the Commission, they have uploading entire information on the website of the department. The Commission went on the website of the JDA and see that the JDA has tried to fulfill the mandate of the Commission. The Commission is satisfied that the order has been complied with by the department.

The appellant is absent on 3rd consecutive hearing nor has sent any observations.

Since the department has implemented section 4 of the RTI Act and order has been complied with by the PIO, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 04.03.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to PIO-Chief Administrator, JDA Jalandhar



Sh Azad Kumar, S/o Sh B.N Sharma,
49-B, Partap Nagar, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Chief Engineer/ HR & Admin,
The Mall, Opp Kali Devi Mandir,
Shakti Sadan, PSTCL, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer/TS. PSTCL,
Patiala.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1070 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Azad Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.H.S.Bindra, SE(Communication), PSTCL-Ludhiana and Smt.Manjit Kaur,
O/o PSPCL(Personnel) for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on 27.06.2019. The respondent present pleaded that the available information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied and stated that the information is incomplete. Having gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the respondent and hearing both the parties, following was concluded:

- Regarding point-1 & 4, the respondent pleaded that the information is not available since the record is not traceable. However, the Commission will not consider the record missing or destroyed until an enquiry is conducted which establishes that the record is missing or destroyed. The respondent to conduct an enquiry and submit complete enquiry report on an affidavit.
- The information on points 2 & 3 stands provided to the best possible extent.
- Regarding points 5 to 8, the appellant to inspect the record on the date fixed i.e. on 16.07.2019 at 11.00 AM. The PIO to allow the inspection and provided the information.
- Regarding point-9, the PIO to provide the information.

The case was again heard on **27.08.2019**. The respondent present pleaded they have done correspondence with the concerned officer but the record is not traceable. However, the available information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied. The Commission also received a letter diary No.15729 on 19.08.2019 of the PIO whereby the PIO had given point-wise reply which was taken on the file of the Commission. The case was adjourned.

Appeal Case No. 1070 of 2019

The case was last heard on **02.12.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the available information has been provided to the appellant and no other document is available in their record since the file is not traceable. As per appellant, the information might lie in the file bearing No.CE/TS/ME-137 and in the custody of Superintending Engineer, Personnel, PSTCL Patiala.

The Superintending Engineer, Personnel, PSTCL Patiala was impleaded in the case and directed to make this file available at the next date of hearing in the Commission. If the file is not traceable as suggested in the earlier communication, appropriate reply be given to the Commission as to the reasons for not traceable of this file.

Hearing dated 04.03.2020:

The respondents present pleaded that the file is not traceable and they are trying to locate the same. Both the respondents have sought some more time to trace the file. The plea is accepted and the case is adjourned. The Commission however, makes it clear that if the file is not traced, the Commission will be constrained to order a full-fledged enquiry to trace the record.

To come up for further hearing on **29.04.2020 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh
Dated 04.03.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to : 1. Superintending Engineer, Personnel,
PSPCL Patiala.

2. Superintending Engineer, Communication,
PSTCL,Ludhiana.



Sh. Karan Singh, S/o Sh. Shyam Singh,
79, High Land Society, Baltana, Zirakpur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
State Transport Commissioner,
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
State Transport Commissioner,
Sector-17,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1751 of 2018

Present: None for the Appellant
Sh.Payara Singh-PIO-STC for the Respondent

ORDER: This order should be considered in continuation to the earlier order.

At the last hearing which was held on **29.11.2019**, the respondent PIO-STC, Punjab brought a reply in which they stated that the appellant has inspected the record on 05.09.2019. The appellant who was absent at the hearing turned up late and informed that he is yet to receive the information that he had detailed during the inspection.

The order of the Commission was in two parts. The first part of the order was regarding the log books, which had been settled as the appellant had inspected the record and the department was to send the detailed information to the appellant, which the department was directed to send within 10 days. The second part of the order to the Transport Department was to proactively publish and upload the information available under its custody regarding monthly fuel expenses, kilometers, travelled of all the ministers of Punjab, in the format in which they are maintained by the public authority, subject to the proviso of the exemptions to be applicable to the vehicles used by the security wing as notified by the Govt. under section 24 of the RTI Act. The information was to be uploaded from 1st of January 2012 onwards to the present, with a provision to upgrade it every quarterly.

The department was ordered to provide a roadmap, which it failed to provide in the last two hearings. I hereby direct the State Transport Commissioner to ensure compliance of this order before the next date of hearing.

Hearing dated 04.03.2020:

The respondent present informed that the complete information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information on 14.02.2020. The respondent has submitted a copy of acknowledgement of the appellant.

Regarding part-2 of the information, the respondent has submitted a reply which has been taken on the file of the Commission for consideration.

The appellant is absent. The case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **06.05.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 04.03.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - sicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Karan Singh, S/o Sh.Shyam Singh,
79, High Land Society, Baltana, Zirakpur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
State Transport Commissioner,
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
State Transport Commissioner,
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1752 of 2018

Present: None for the Appellant
Sh.Payara Singh-PIO-STC for the Respondent

ORDER:

This order should be considered in continuation to the earlier order.

At the last hearing which was held on 29.11.2019, the respondent PIO-STC, Punjab brought reply where they stated that the appellant had inspected the record on 05.09.2019 but the appellant had not submitted any objection or discrepancies. The appellant was absent. The advocate appeared on behalf of the appellant was without authority letter.

Sh.Karan Singh, appellant appeared late and informed that he has completed the inspection and had specified the information to the respondent that he wants. As per appellant, he had not received the information that he specified.

Since the appellant had raised point that he had not received the information that he specified, the respondent was directed to provide the information within 15 days.

Hearing dated 04.03.2020:

The respondent present has informed that complete information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information on 14.02.2020. The respondent has submitted a copy of acknowledgement of the appellant.

The appellant is absent nor has communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that he has received the information and is satisfied.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 04.03.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - sicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Karan Singh, S/o Sh.Shyam Singh,
79, High Land Society, Baltana, Zirakpur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
State Transport Commissioner,
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
State Transport Commissioner,
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1753 of 2018

Present: None for the Appellant
Sh.Payara Singh-PIO-STC for the Respondent

ORDER:

This order should be considered in continuation to the earlier order.

At the last hearing which was held on **29.11.2019**, the respondent brought a reply where they stated that the appellant had inspected the record on 05.09.2019 but the appellant had not submitted any objection or discrepancies. The appellant was absent. The advocate appeared on behalf of the appellant was without authority letter.

Sh.Karan Singh, appellant appeared late and informed that he has completed the inspection and specified the information to the respondent that he wants. As per appellant, he had not received the information that he specified.

Since the appellant had raised point that he had not received the information that he specified, the respondent was directed to provide the information within 15 days.

Hearing dated 04.03.2020:

The respondent present has informed that complete information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information on 14.02.2020. The respondent has submitted a copy of acknowledgement of the appellant.

The appellant is absent nor has communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that he has received the information and is satisfied.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 04.03.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner