

(Partner - Rupali Wine, Nabha), R/o House No. 14248, Street No. 2-A, Ganesh Nagar, Bathinda – 151001 (94173-13900 & 93562-10000)

Versus

Appellant

BH HUR ART

)formati(

Public Information Officer

O/o Assistant Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala, Jail Road, Patiala.

#### First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner (Division), Anaaz Mandi, Sirhind Road, Patiala.

#### Appeal Case No.: 2507 of 2019 Sh. Daizy Mohan, the appellant in person.

Respondent

# Present:

- For the respondent: Sh. Sandeep Singh (Clerk) along with Sh. Vijay Kumar (Steno). ORDER
- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.12.2019.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan states that requisite information has been supplied by the

respondent and he is satisfied with the supplied information.

- 3. Respondents, Sh. Sandeep Singh and Sh. Vijay Kumar are present for today's hearing.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is left in this case. Therefore,

the instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.02.2020

# (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



(Partner - Rupali Wine, Nabha), R/o House No. 14248, Street No. 2-A, Ganesh Nagar, Bathinda – 151001 (94173-13900 & 93562-10000)

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Assistant Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala, Punjab -147001.

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Assistant Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala, Punjab -147001.

# Appeal Case No.: 3263 of 2019

Present:Sh. Daizy Mohan, the appellant in person.<br/>For the respondent: Sh. Sandeep Singh (Clerk) along with Sh. Vijay Kumar (Steno).OPDER

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.12.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan states that requisite information has been supplied by the

respondent and he is satisfied with the supplied information.

- 3. Respondents, Sh. Sandeep Singh and Sh. Vijay Kumar are present for today's hearing.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is left in this case. Therefore,

the instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.02.2020

#### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Appellant

Respondent

HUR PSIC Usissium

# Sh. Daizy Mohan S/o Hukum Chand

(Partner - Rupali Wine, Nabha), R/o House No. 14248, Street No. 2-A, Ganesh Nagar, Bathinda - 151001 (94173-13900 & 93562-10000)

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Assistant Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala, Punjab -147001.

#### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Assistant Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala, Jail Road, Patiala, Punjab.

### Appeal Case No.: 3267 of 2019

Present:

Sh. Daizy Mohan, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Sandeep Singh (Clerk) along with Sh. Vijay Kumar (Steno). ORDER

- This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.12.2019. 1.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan states that requisite information has been supplied by the

respondent and he is satisfied with the supplied information.

- 3. Respondents, Sh. Sandeep Singh and Sh. Vijay Kumar are present for today's hearing.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is left in this case. Therefore,

the instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.02.2020

### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**



Appellant

# AT HUR ARA

Appellant

### Sh. Daizy Mohan S/o Hukum Chand

(Partner - Rupali Wine, Nabha), R/o House No. 14248, Street No. 2-A, Ganesh Nagar, Bathinda - 151001 (94173-13900 & 93562-10000)

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Division Patiala, Punjab -147001.

### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Division Patiala, Punjab -147001. Appeal Case No.: 3268 of 2019

Respondent

Sh. Daizy Mohan, the appellant in person. Present: For the respondent: Ms. Shobha Rani (SA) (98725-84009).

# ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.12.2019. 1.

2. Respondent, Ms. Shobha Rani states that requisite information has already been sent to the

appellant.

Appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan pointed out deficiencies in the supplied information relates with 3. point no. 3. He added that information of year 2016-2017 relates with point no. 3 of June, September and October month is pending from the respondent PIO.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that on the previous hearing held on 19.11.2019, respondent Sh. Upkar Singh was directed to supply the attested copy of information relates with point no. 3 to the appellant along with directions to appear in person on the next date of hearing which was 17.12.2019 to clear the facts of this case.

On hearing held on 17.12.2019, last opportunity was given to the respondent, Sh. Upkar Singh to comply with the order of the Commission dated 19.11.2019 and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 04.02.2020 i.e. today but he failed to comply with the orders of the Commission.

5. I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan.

Hence, I award an interim compensation of Rs. 1,500/- (Fifteen Hundred Only) to the 6. appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of Daizy Mohan from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.

Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next 7. date of hearing.

# Appeal Case No.: 3268 of 2019

8. Respondent PIO is directed to supply the pending information to the appellant by or on the

next date of hearing. He is also directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this

case. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post.

#### 9. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.03.2020 at 11:00 AM.**

10. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

#### Chandigarh 04.02.2020

#### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)(No Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Division Patiala, Punjab -147001.



Sh. Dilbag Chand (Naib Subedar Retd.)
S/o Sh. Ramji Das, Village Hayatpur,
PO Habowal, Tehsil Samrala,
District Ludhiana. (98144-14473 & 94632-62669)

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o DDPO Ludhiana.

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Rural Development and Panchayat Office, Vikas Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali (Branch RDI-1 and RDI-4)

First Appellate Authority

O/o DDPO Ludhiana.

#### Appeal Case No.: 2263 of 2019

Versus

Respondent

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Bhupinder Singh (SA, RDI-Branch-1) (98760-25549).

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Bhupinder Singh states that requisite information relates with RDI-Branch 4. He submits a reply vide letter no. 700 dated 03.02.2020 showing that reply was sent to the appellant through letter no. 122 dated 04.04.2019 stating that information relates with RDI-Branch4 (point no. 1, 2 and 3) and information relates with point no. 4 is not available in RDI-Branch1 office. This reply is taken on record.

3. Appellant, Sh. Dilbagh Chand is not present for today's hearing but a letter dated 29.01.2020 has been received in the Commission from appellant vide diary no. 2289 dated 03.02.2020 stating that he is unable to attend today's hearing. This letter is taken on record.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, respondent PIO, O/o Rural Development and Panchayat Office, Vikas Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali (Branch-RDI-4) is directed to supply the information to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post.

5. Respondent PIO, O/o Rural Development and Panchayat Office, Vikas Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali (Branch-RDI-1) is also directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post.

6. Request of the appellant is granted; another opportunity is given to him to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case.

- Appeal Case No.: 2263 of 2019 Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 04.03.2020 at 11:00 AM. 7.
- 8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

### Chandigarh 04.02.2020

#### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**

Public Information Officer (By Name) (Regd. Post) O/o Rural Development and Panchayat Office, Vikas Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali (Branch RDI-1)

Public Information Officer (By Name) O/o Rural Development and Panchayat Office, Vikas Bhawan, Sector-62, (Regd. Post) Mohali (Branch RDI-4)

Sh. Surjeet Singh (98035-10960) House No. 548/A, Main Bazar,

Sidhu Avenue, Vairowal Roaad, Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o EO, MC, Jandiala Guru, Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Director, Local Govt. Department, Punjab, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No.:3281 of 2019Present:Nobody on behalf of the appellant.<br/>For the respondent: Sh. Sukhdev Singh (Clerk).

Respondent

#### ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Sukhdev Singh states that appellant, Sh. Surjeet Singh visited the respondent's office on 20.01.2020 and reply was supplied to him as per his queries. He submits a reply vide letter no. 140 dated 03.02.2020 along with copy of supplied reply vide letter no. 139 dated 03.02.2020, which is taken on record.

3. Neither the appellant is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that supplied reply is

sufficient and no further cause of action is left in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is

#### disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.02.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Appellant

THE PSIC usissifut



**Ms. Darshan Kaur (94637-71266)** W/o S. Charan Singh Ward No. 4, Hariyana-144208 District Hoshiarpur (Punjab)

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

**Public Information Officer** O/o Secretary, School Education, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, School Education, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No.: 1618 of 2019

Present:Ms. Darshan Kaur, the appellant in person.<br/>For the respondent: Ms. Sudesh Kumari (PIO).ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Ms. Sudesh Kumari handed over the requisite information to the appellant during the hearing vide letter no. 786 dated 04.02.2020. She submits a copy of the same vide letter no. 787 dated 04.02.2020 along with supporting documents, which is taken on record.

3. After gone through the appellant, Ms. Darshan Kaur states that information relates with point no. 4 is still pending.

4. On this, respondent, Ms. Sudesh Kumari states that as per Court Orders benefits are given to the concerned persons. She presented a copy of supplied reply to the appellant vide letter no. 1603297/1 dated 25.10.2019, which is already on record in the case file. She added that whatever information was available has alaredy been supplied to the appellant and nothing is left to be supplied.

5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the information relates with point no. 4 as demanded by the appellant in her RTI application before the next date of hearing along with directions to bring a copy of the same to present before the Commission on the next date of hearing positively. Respondent PIO, Ms. Sudesh Kumari is also directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action as per RTI Act will be initiated against her.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.03.2020 at 11:00 AM.** 

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.02.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Advocate Ravinder Singh (93175-61575) H.No. 2220/2, Gali Arian, (94654-77771) Mahan Singh Gate, Amritsar.

Versus

#### Public Information Officer cum Superintendent O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

Public Information Officer

**cum Municipal Town Planner** O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

# First Appellate Authority

O/o Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3138 of 2019Present:Nobody on behalf of the appellant.<br/>For the respondent: Sh. Dinesh Kumar (Head Draftsman).

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.12.2019.

2. Respondents, Sh. Dinesh Kumar states that requisite information has already been supplied

to the appellant and he is satisfied with the supplied information. He submits a copy of letter no. 425

dated 17.01.2020 signed by APIO-cum-ATP, MC, Amritsar with the remarks of the appellant

"received" dated 03.02.2020, which is taken on record.

- 3. Neither the appellant is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is left in this case. Therefore,

the instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.02.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant

**Ms. Bimla Devi W/o Sh. Gian Chand Uppal** R/o Mohaalla Kailash Puri, (75289-25513) Alohran Gate, Nabha, District Patiala.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o DEO (SE), Patiala.

#### First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (SE), Chandigarh.

Present: Sh. Nares

Appeal Case No.: 3150 of 2019 Sh. Naresh Kumar on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Rabinder Kumar (JA).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Rabinder Kumar states that concerned official is unable to attend today's hearing. He added that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant.

3. On this, representative of the appellant, Sh. Naresh Kumar states that attested copy of the requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Ms. Bimla Devi.

5. Hence, I award an interim compensation of Rs. 1,000/- (One thousand Only) to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of **Bimla Devi** from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.

6. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.

7. Respondent PIO, Sh. Tarsem Chand is directed to comply with previous order of the Commission dated 18.12.2019 along with directions to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post.

8. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.03.2020 at 11:00 AM.** 

9. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

#### Chandigarh 04.02.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

**Sh. Tarsem Chand (PIO)** (Regd. Post) O/o DEO (SE), Patiala. A BIT HER OF ARTHON UNISSING

Appellant



Sh. Jitender Singh S/o Joginder, Singh # 32 – B.N. 90, Odeon Street No.2, Majitha Road, Amritsar (88476-53832).

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o The Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

Complaint Case No.: 770 of 2019

Present:Sh. Jitender Singh, the complainant in person.For the respondent: Sh. Ashwani Kumar (SDO).

# <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Ashwani Kumar states that he has brought the requisite information after collecting form the compeered office to hand it over to the complainant to hand it over to the complainant. He handed over the requisite information to the complainant during the hearing. He submits a letter 4/C dated 24.01.2020 signed by him, which is taken on record.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Jitender Singh states that he is satisfied with the supplied information.

4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is left in this case. Therefore,

the instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

### Chandigarh 04.02.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

# Appellant



**Sh. Narinder Kumar (98153-55440)** S/o Sh. Raghbir Parkash,

R/o Anaj Mandi Road, Street No. 9, Barnala, Tehsil & District Barnala

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o SSP, Roopnagar

**First Appellate Authority** O/o IGP, Roopnagar Range, Roopnagar

**Present:** 

Appeal Case No.: 3317 of 2019 Sh. Karanvir on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Bal Krishan (ASI) (94174-07264) Respondent

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.11.2019.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Karanvir states that requisite information is still pending

from the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, Sh. Bal Krishan states that requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant. He presented documents before the Commission during the hearing.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that complete information is not exempted as per the RTI Act. Respondent PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant except point no. 1 (relates with service book) before the next date of hearing.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) who is well aware about this case on the next date of hearing positively.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.03.2020 at 11:00 AM**.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh	
04.02.2020	

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

**Sh. Hardeep Singh (83602-22905)** S/o Sh. Jasmer Singh R/o Village Daba, Near PO Daba, Ludhiana-141014

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o SSP, Roopnagar

**First Appellate Authority** O/o SSP, Roopnagar

Appeal Case No.: 3323 of 2019

Nobody on behalf of the appelaInt. For the respondent: Sh. Bal Krishan (ASI) (94174-07264)

### <u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.11.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Bal Krishan states that requisite information comprising copy of complaint,

statements and report has already been supplied to the appellant.

3. Neither the appellant is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is

given to the appellant to go through the supplied information and point out the deficiency specifically in writing to the respondent PIO with a copy to the Commission. He is also given opportunity to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) who is well aware about this case on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.03.2020 at 11:00 AM.** 

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 04.02.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant