RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 134 OF 2019 & APPEAL CASE NO. 137 OF 2019

Shri Rajwindr Singh S/o Sh. Mehar Singh, VPO: Kotla Nihang, Distt. Ropar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Kotla Nihang, Distt. Ropar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Ropar.

... Respondents

PRESENT: Adv Amandeep Singh is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Ms. Sarabjit Kaur, BDPO & Sh. Amritpal Singh, Panchayat Secretary.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

- 2. Information sought by the Appellant from the O/o Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Kotla Nihang, District Ropar & O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Ropar is similar, so AC:134 of 2019 & AC:137 of 2019 cases are clubbed together.
- 3. Adv Amandeep Singh appears on behalf of the appellant and states that the incomplete information has been provided to the appellant by the Respondent PIO.
- 3. Ms. Sarbajit Kaur, B.D.P.O. appears in person and states that the requisite information has already provided to the appellant by hand as well as by post also. She further states that as per last hearing of the Commission, she brought the pointwise information for the perusal of the Commission and the appellant, which is handed over to the representative of the appellant, during the hearing. Copy of the same is taken on record.
- 4. After going through the information, the representative of the appellant sought an adjournment for furnish the deficiencies.
- 5. Today, both the parties mutually agreed that the applicant shall visit the office of respondent on any working day with prior appointment, inspect the relevant records, identify the documents copies whereof are required by him; and the respondent shall provided copies thereof, according to his RTI application dated 27.08.2018, within a fortnight, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **03.07.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

ligarh 2019 State Informa

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 138 OF 2019

Shri Vivek Gupta S/o Sushil K.Gupta, House No. 1820, Randhawa Road, Near Pal Dairy, Kharar, Distt. Mohali.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Kharar, District S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Govt., Patiala.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Vivek Gupta, Appellant.

Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Draftsman on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

- 2. The appellant appears and states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO till date.
- 3. The respondent states that reply to the notice of the Commission has been submitted during the last hearing and copy of the same has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 01.03.2019 through registered post mentioning therein that the information sought is in shape of questions, therefore, information cannot be provided.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the record, it is ascertained that the RTI application vide 01.06.2018, the appellant has sought information is in shape of questions. It is further ascertained that the respondent has duly intimated the information seeker vide letter dated 01.03.2019 that the information sought by him is in the form of questions and therefore information cannot be provided.

Central Information Commission vide order dated 21.04.2006 in case no. CIC/AT/A/2006/00045 has decided that -

(The RTI Act does not cast on the public authority any obligation to answer queries, as in this case, in which a petitioner attempts to elicit answers to his questions with prefixes, such as, why, what, when and whether. The petitioner's right extends only to seeking information as defined in Section 2 (f) either by pinpointing the file, document, paper or record, etc., or by mentioning the type of information as may be available with the specified public authority.)

5. In wake of above the instant Appeal Case is hereby **Closed & Disposed of**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/der Sind

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 139 OF 2019

Shri Vivek Gupta S/o Sushil K.Gupta, House No. 1820, Randhawa Road, Near Pal Dairy, Kharar, Distt. Mohali.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Kharar, District S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Govt., Patiala.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Vivek Gupta, Appellant.

Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Draftsman on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

- 2. The appellant appears and states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO till date.
- 3. The respondent states that reply to the notice of the Commission has been submitted during the last hearing and copy of the same has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 01.03.2019 through registered post mentioning therein that the information sought is in shape of questions, therefore, information cannot be provided.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the record, it is ascertained that the RTI application vide 01.06.2018, the appellant has sought information is in shape of questions. It is further ascertained that the respondent has duly intimated the information seeker vide letter dated 01.03.2019 that the information sought by him is in the form of questions and therefore information cannot be provided.

Central Information Commission vide order dated 21.04.2006 in case no. CIC/AT/A/2006/00045 has decided that -

(The RTI Act does not cast on the public authority any obligation to answer queries, as in this case, in which a petitioner attempts to elicit answers to his questions with prefixes, such as, why, what, when and whether. The petitioner's right extends only to seeking information as defined in Section 2 (f) either by pinpointing the file, document, paper or record, etc., or by mentioning the type of information as may be available with the specified public authority.)

5. In wake of above the instant Appeal Case is hereby **Closed & Disposed of**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 140 OF 2019

Shri Vivek Gupta S/o Sushil K.Gupta, House No. 1820, Randhawa Road, Near Pal Dairy, Kharar, Distt. Mohali.

Versus

... Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Kharar, District S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Govt., Patiala.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Vivek Gupta, Appellant.

Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Draftsman on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

- 2. The appellant appears and states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO till date.
- 3. The respondent states that reply to the notice of the Commission has been submitted during the last hearing and copy of the same has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 01.03.2019 through registered post mentioning therein that the information sought is in shape of questions, therefore, information cannot be provided. He further handed over the partial information to the appellant during the hearing.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the record, it is ascertained that the RTI application vide 01.06.2018, the appellant has sought information is in shape of questions. It is further ascertained that the respondent has duly intimated the information seeker vide letter dated 01.03.2019 that the information sought by him is in the form of questions and therefore information cannot be provided.

Central Information Commission vide order dated 21.04.2006 in case no. CIC/AT/A/2006/00045 has decided that -

(The RTI Act does not cast on the public authority any obligation to answer queries, as in this case, in which a petitioner attempts to elicit answers to his questions with prefixes, such as, why, what, when and whether. The petitioner's right extends only to seeking information as defined in Section 2 (f) either by pinpointing the file, document, paper or record, etc., or by mentioning the type of information as may be available with the specified public authority.)

5. In wake of above the instant Appeal Case is hereby **Closed & Disposed of**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 160 of 2019

Versus

Shri Hakam Singh S/o Sh. Darshan Singh,

Village: Madhir, Tehsil & Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

...Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/O District Development & Panchayat Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Public Information Officer

O/O Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Divisional Deputy Director, Rural Development & Panchayats, Ferozepur.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None for the Parties.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

- 2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission and has also not attended the last hearings dated 07.03.2019 and 10.04.2019.
- 3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.
- 4. After examining the case file, it is revealed that the Respondent PIO (O/o DDPO, Shri Mukatsar Sahib) has transferred the RTI application of the appellant to the PIO O/o BDPO, Shri Mukatsar Sahib vide letter no. 551/D.A., dated: 07.09.2018 with a copy to the appellant.
- 5. The Respondent PIO (O/o DDPO, Shri Mukatsar Sahib) is directed to retrieve the complete information from the concerned department and supply the same to the appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which action would be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 6. Since, the information is to be provided by the PIO O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Shri Mukatsar Sahib. I, therefore, order that PIO O/o BDPO, Shri Mukatsar Sahib be impleaded as necessary party as Respondent No. 2.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE No. 160 of 2019

- 7. I further direct to the Respondent PIO O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Shri Mukatsar Sahib to appear personally and bring the original record relating to the RTI application of the Appellant, on the next date of hearing.
- 8. The appellant is advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.
- 9. The case is adjourned to **24.07.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.06.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN.

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 174 of 2018

Shri Sanjeev Goyal s/o Shri Ashok Kumar, # 148, Model Town, Phase-1, Near T.V. Tower. Bathinda.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry, Bhagu Road, Bathinda-151001.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Deputy Director. Animal Husbandry, Bhagu Road, Bathinda-151001.

...Respondents

None is present on behalf of the Appellant. PRESENT:

Dr. KPS Pasricha, APIO is present on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission, however, he has sent an Email in the Commission in which, he has furnished the deficiencies.
- Dr. KPS Pasricha, APIO appears on behalf of the Respondent PIO and states that 3. requisite information has already provided to the Appellant.
- In view of the above, the copy of the deficiencies furnished by the appellant handed over to the representative of the Respondent, during the hearing with the directions that to remove the discrepancies, before the next date of hearing.
- The Respondent PIO further directed to appear personally and supply the available information to the appellant, if there is no other information then the Respondent - PIO will file an affidavit duly attested in response to the information supplied, on the next date of hearing, failing which action would be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 6. The appellant is advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.
- The case is adjourned to 09.07.2019 at 12:30 PM. Copies of the order be sent to the 7. parties.

(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING. NEAR ROSE GARDEN.

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 900 of 2018

Shri Madan Lal S/o Shri Om Parkash. Jain Niwas, MCB Zone-2, H.No. 10803, Parinda Road 18, Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar, Bathinda-151001.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry, Bhagu Road, Bathinda-151001.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director, Animal Husbandry, Live Stock Complex, Sector-68, SAS Nagar.

...Respondents

None is present on behalf of the Appellant. PRESENT:

Dr. KPS Pasricha, APIO is present on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission, however, he has sent an Email in the Commission in which, he has furnished the deficiencies.
- Dr. KPS Pasricha, APIO appears on behalf of the Respondent PIO and states that 3. requisite information has already provided to the Appellant.
- In view of the above, the copy of the deficiencies furnished by the appellant handed over to the representative of the Respondent, during the hearing with the directions that to remove the discrepancies, before the next date of hearing.
- The Respondent PIO further directed to appear personally and supply the available information to the appellant, if there is no other information then the Respondent - PIO will file an affidavit duly attested in response to the information supplied, on the next date of hearing, failing which action would be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 6. The appellant is advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.
- The case is adjourned to 09.07.2019 at 12:30 PM. Copies of the order be sent to the 7. parties.

(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 178 OF 2019

Shri Hardeep Singh S/o Sh. Harnam Singh, Mandi Hazoor Singh, Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O District Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Hardeep Singh, Appellant.

None is present on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

- 2. The Appellant appears and states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO till date.
- 3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 4. After hearing the Appellant and perusing the record, it is observed that the respondent has not filed reply to the Notice of the Commission. The respondent has not attended the previous two consecutive hearings of the Commission. Even today, none is present on behalf of the respondent. As such, it is deemed appropriate to issue show cause notice to PIO-cum- B.D.P.O. Fazilka under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for wilful delay/denial in providing the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the appellant under Section 19 (8) (b) of the Act for detriment suffered. The PIO is directed to file his reply to the show cause notice in writing in the form of affidavit before the next date of hearing.
- 5. In addition to the written submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) proviso, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE No. 178 of 2019

- 6. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.
- 7. The case is adjourned to **24.07.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.06.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 179 OF 2019

Shri Hardeep Singh S/o Sh. Harnam Singh, Mandi Hazoor Singh, Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O District Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Hardeep Singh, Appellant.

None is present on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

- 2. The Appellant appears and states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO till date.
- 3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 4. After hearing the Appellant and perusing the record, it is observed that the respondent has not filed reply to the Notice of the Commission. The respondent has not attended the previous two consecutive hearings of the Commission. Even today, none is present on behalf of the respondent. As such, it is deemed appropriate to issue show cause notice to PIO-cum- B.D.P.O. Fazilka under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for wilful delay/denial in providing the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the appellant under Section 19 (8) (b) of the Act for detriment suffered. The PIO is directed to file his reply to the show cause notice in writing in the form of affidavit before the next date of hearing.
- 5. In addition to the written submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) proviso, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE No. 179 of 2019

- 6. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.
- 7. The case is adjourned to **24.07.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.06.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 285 of 2019

Advocate Anil Kumar Singh, Office B-1/0002, B-1/0003, Bus Stand, VPO Rahon, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Municipal Council, RAHON,

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Jalandhar.

District Nawanshahar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Adv Anil Kumar Singh, Appellant.

Sh. Raman Manro, Accountant is present on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

02.05.2019.

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 05.03.2019 &

- 2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the RespondentPIO till date.
- 3. Sh. Raman Manro, Accountant appears on behalf of the Respondent PIO and states that appellant is asked to inspect the record in Respondent office on 21.05.2019 but he did not turned up.
- 4. Today, both the parties mutually agreed that the applicant shall visit the office of respondent on 14.06.2019, inspect the relevant records, identify the documents copies whereof are required by him; and the respondent shall provided copies thereof, according to his RTI application dated 20.02.2019, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **24.07.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.06.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 574 of 2019

Advocate Anil Kumar Singh, Office B-1/0002, B-1/0003, Bus Stand, VPO Rahon, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Municipal Council, Rahon, District Nawanshahar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Jalandhar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Adv Anil Kumar Singh, Appellant.

Sh. Raman Manro, Accountant is present on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 02.05.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the RespondentPIO till date.
- 3. Sh. Raman Manro, Accountant appears on behalf of the Respondent PIO and states that appellant is asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 200/- and he has sent the Demand Draft in the name of PIO, M.C., Rahon instated of E.O., M.C. Rahon.
- 4. In view of the above, the appellant is advised to visit the office of the Respondent to get the information after depositing the requisite fees.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **24.07.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 03.06.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 575 of 2019

Advocate Anil Kumar Singh, Office B-1/0002, B-1/0003, Bus Stand, VPO Rahon, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Municipal Council, Rahon, District Nawanshahar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Jalandhar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Adv Anil Kumar Singh, Appellant.

Sh. Raman Manro, Accountant is present on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 02.05.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the RespondentPIO till date.
- 3. Sh. Raman Manro, Accountant appears on behalf of the Respondent PIO and states that appellant is asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 730/- but he did not turn up.
- 4. After examining the case file, it is revealed that the Respondent PIO has asked to the appellant to deposit the documentation fee, but he has not turned up. Therefore, the appellant is advised to visit the office of the Respondent to get the information after depositing the requisite fees.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **24.07.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 798 of 2019

Advocate Anil Kumar Singh, Office B-1/0002, B-1/0003, Bus Stand, VPO Rahon, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Tehsildar, Nawanshahar District SBS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Adv Anil Kumar Singh, Appellant.

None is present on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 02.05.2019.

- The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the RespondentPIO till date.
- 3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.
- 4. In view of the above, the Respondent PIO is directed to appear personally alongwith complete information, on the next date of hearing, failing which action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **24.07.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 992 OF 2019

Sh. Darshan Singh Kang (9464363290), Anti Corruption Wing, Samrala, District Ludhiana-141114.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Block Primary Education Officer, Khanna-II (Ludhiana).

Public Information Officer.

O/o Block Primary Education Officer, Khanna-II (Ludhiana)

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Darshan Singh Kang, Appellant.

Sh. Manpreet Singh, Clerk is present on behalf of the Respondent - PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 02.05.2019.

2. The appellant appears and states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO till date.

3. Sh. Manpreet Singh, Clerk appears on behalf of the Respondent - PIO and states that the complete information has been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 407, dated: 30.05.2019 through registered post. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. In view of the above, the appellant is advised to point out the deficiencies in writing to the Respondent – PIO within a week, under intimation to the Commission and the Respondent – PIO is directed to remove the same, after receiving the discrepancies from the appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which action would be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The case is adjourned to **24.07.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.06.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING. NEAR ROSE GARDEN.

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1286 of 2017

Shri Shekhar Arvind Lonkar. Z-1, Megha Tarrace Wireless Colony, Audh. Pune-67.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/O Registrar, Birth & Deaths, Municipal Council, Adampur, District Jalandhar.

...Respondent

None on behalf of complainant. PRESENT:

Shri Sukhdev Singh Randhawa, PIO -cum- Executive Officer, Municipal Council,

Adampur.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

2. The Complainant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission and he has also not attended the last hearings 10th consecutive times dated: 06.02.2018, 14.03.2018, 25.04.2018, 11.09.2018, 11.10.2018, 21.11.2018, 02.01.2019, 05.02.2019, 07.03.2019 and 10.04.2019.

Shri Sukhdev Singh Randhawa, PIO -cum- Executive Officer, Municipal Council, 3. Adampur appears in person and files a reply in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to the PIO mentioning therein that states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Complainant vide letter no. AD-2018/473, dated: 30.04.2018.

After perusal of the case file, it is observed that the Respondent - PIO has filed a reply in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him. He has provided the requisite information to the Complainant. Keeping in view all the facts mentioned in the reply are found satisfactory, therefore, the Show Cause Notice is hereby, dropped. The Complainant has not attended the Commission consequently 10th times entailing thereby that he does not want to follow up his case further. As the Complainant is absent and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. No further action is required. Hence this Complaint Case is closed and disposed off. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.06.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2064 of 2018

Shri Ranjit Singh S/o Shri Charan Singh, # 491, Phase-2, Urban Estate, Patiala.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation, Sub Division No.8, Phase-5, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority,

O/o SE, Water Supply & Sanitation, SCO -158-159, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Harbir Singh, S.D.O. is present on behalf of the Respondent-PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

- 2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission, however he has sent an Email in the Commission mentioning therein that he is unable to attend today's hearing in the Commission and requests for an adjournment.
- 3. Sh. Harbir Singh, S.D.O. appears on bhelaf of the Respondent PIO and states that the remaining information has already supplied to the appellant.
- 4. In view of the above, the appellant is advised to point out the deficiencies in writing to the Respondent PIO within a week, under intimation to the Commission and the Respondent PIO is directed to remove the same, after receiving the discrepancies from the appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which action would be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **24.07.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.06.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2865 of 2017

Dr. Anil Pannikker (92552-25642), House No. 188, Lajpat Nagar, Hisar-125001.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry,

Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director, Animal Husbandry, Punjab Livestock Complex, Sector-68, S.A.S. Nagar. (Mohali).

...Respondents

PRESENT: Shri Surendra M Bhanot is present on behalf of Appellant.

Dr. KPS Pasricha, APIO on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 10.04.2019.

- 2. The representative of the appellant states that he is not satisfied with the provided information.
- 3. Dr. KPS Pasricha, APIO appears on behalf of the Respondents and files a written submission mentioning therein that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant except the point no. 2 to 8 of the RTI application of the appellant, which cannot be supplied under section 8(i) j of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 4. Thus, information as per the record of the Respondents was duly furnished. Once information stood furnished, it required no other action by the State Information Commission. If the appellant is dissatisfied with the non-supply of information, the remedy open to him is to approach either the higher authorities or to move an appropriate judicial forum for directions to the authorities.
- 5. In view of the above, therefore, no further action is required in this Appeal case, hence this case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.06.2019