Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Sukhchain Singh

Sonam Mohalla, Fatehgarh Churian, Tehsil Batala, Distt. Gurdaspur.

.....Appellant

...Respondents

Versus **Public Information Officer**

None.

O/o Deputy Commissioner.

Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority-cum-.

Addl. Deputy Commissioner,

Gurdaspur.

AC No.4182/2019

ORDER

Present:

1. The RTI application is dated 31.07.2019 vide which the appellant has sought

information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First

Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 25.10.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the

Commission on 08.11.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005

(hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 03.02.2020 by the

Commission.

3. None is present on behalf of the PIO. A letter dated 23.01.2020 has been

received from the District Revenue Officer/PIO, O/o D.C. Gurdaspur informing that the RTI

application was transferred to Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian under Section 6(3) of

RTI Act. It has also been intimated that the information has been supplied by PIO-cum-

Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian vide letter dated 20.11.2019 to the

appellant.

4. The appellant is absent. He has sent letter dated 03.02.2020 whereby he has

expressed his dissatisfaction over the information supplied by the Municipal Council,

Fatehgarh Churian at Sr.No.1 to 4. The appellant also disagrees with the PIO on the plea that

certain old records are not available in their office.

....2

PSIC PSIC

-2- <u>AC No.4182/2019</u>

5. The PIO-cum- Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian is now directed to make available the official record for perusal of appellant before next date of hearing and also make available photocopy of documents needed by him. The appellant is advised to visit the office of PIO before the next date of hearing with prior intimation to the PIO. The PIO will file an affidavit, duly attested by the Notary Public, before this Bench on the next date of hearing to the effect that the information as available in the records has been supplied to the Appellant and no additional information is available in their records.

6. The matter is adjourned to next date of hearing. To come up for hearing on **02.03.2020 at 11.30 AM.** Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.02.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com PSIC Supposed to the state of t

Sh.Rohit Sabharwal

Kundan Bhawan, 126, Model Gram Ludhiana.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Improvement Trust Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

Regional Dy.Director Urban Local Bodies, Ludhiana.

....Respondent

AC No.4191 of 2019

Present: (i) Smt. Sukhjinder Kaur, Advocate for the Appellant

(ii) Sh.Parveen Kumar, Clerk, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana on behalf of PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 27.07.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 12.09.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 13.11.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 03.02.2020 by the Commission.
- 3. Smt. Sukhjinder Kaur, Advocate appears on behalf of the Appellant and produces her authority letter. She states that no information has been received by the appellant.
- 4. On behalf of the PIO, Sh. Parveen Kumar, Clerk comes present and produces letter dated 31.01.2020 addressed to the appellant informing that no list of defaulter cases has been prepared separately by their Sales Branch so far. However, if the appellant wants to get information with regard to a specific plot, he can have the same by specifying the number of that plot.

 Cont....2



-2-

AC No. 4191/2019

- 5. The PIO is advised to be present on the next date of hearing. He is advised to file an affidavit duly attested by the Notary Public that the information as sought by the appellant is not available in their records. Available information has been shared and nothing has been concealed.
- 6. The matter is adjourned to next date of hearing. **To come up for hearing on 03.03.2020 at 11.30 AM.** Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.02.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Balvir Singh Saini

H.No.N-349, Street No.3, Dashmesh Nagar, Digana Road, Hoshiarpur

.....Appellant

Versus Public Information Officer

O/o Industrial Training Institute, Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Industrial Training Institute, Hoshiarpur.

...Respondents

AC No.4135/2019

Present:

- (i) Appellant is absent.
- (ii) Sh.Balbir Singh, Group Instructor, PIO and Sh.Dalbir Singh, Group Instructor, ITI Hoshiarpur.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 09.05.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 22.06.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 05.11.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 03.02.2020 by the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent. He has sent e.mail dated 03.02.2020 that he is not in a position to attend the hearing today due to ill health. He has pointed out deficiencies in the information supplied by the PIO vide letter dated 01.02.2020.
- 4. The PIO Sh.Balbir Singh comes present and states that information has been supplied to the appellant consisting of 54 pages vide letter dated 01.02.2020 and appellant has also acknowledged the same. The deficiencies pointed out by the appellant were brought to the notice of PIO. The PIO observed that all available information as per official records has been shared with the appellant.

Cont....2



-2- <u>AC No. 4135/2019</u>

5. The appellant was contacted on phone. He states that the information supplied is inadequate and expresses his dissatisfaction over the information. Now, the Appellant is advised to visit I.T.I. Hoshiarpur and contact the PIO on any working day with prior intimation to the PIO. The PIO will produce documents containing information related to the RTI application for perusal of the appellant. Photocopy of the identified documents will be given to the Appellant. This is to be done before the next date of hearing. The appellant is also advised to come present on the next date of hearing, otherwise the case will be disposed of in absentia.

6. The hearing is adjourned. To come up for hearing on **24.02.2020** at **11.30 AM.** Copies of the orders be given to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.02.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rupinder Singh

S/o Sh.Surinder Singh R/o H.No.81, Phase-I, Urban Estate, Durgi,Ludhiana

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Secretary, Punjab Board of Technical Education, Sector-36A. Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Secretary, Punjab Board of Technical Education, Sector-36A. Chandigarh.

...Respondents

AC No.4145/2019

Present:

- (i) Sh.Rupinder Singh, Appellant along with his father Sh.Surinder Singh
- (ii) Smt. Sarabjit Kaur, Section Officer, Punjab State Board of Technical Education, Chandigarh, APIO on behalf of PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 09.08.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 13.09.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 05.11.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 03.02.2020 by the Commission.
- 3. The appellant along with his father Sh.Surinder Singh comes present. The father of the appellant states that no action has been taken by the PIO to provide information within the stipulated time schedule..
- 4. Smt. Sarabjit Kaur, APIO comes present. She states that as far as the information sought by the appellant is concerned, she produced letter dated 30.01.2020 from the PIO stating that the information asked for by the appellant is third party information and

Cont...2

PSIC PSIC

-2- <u>AC No.4145/2019</u>

that the employee concerned Ms. Kiran has also given in writing that her case of divorce with the appellant Sh.Rupinder Singh is going on in the Court and as such, she has requested not to disclose her personal information to anybody. The letter is taken on record. The contents of letter produced by the APIO were brought to the notice of appellant.

- 5. The case was deliberated upon at length. The Bench clearly established before the appellant that the information sought is third party and personal information serving no public cause or interest. Moreover, third party in question also does not want her information to be disclosed. Thus the information is denied under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 6. **The appeal is disposed off and the case is closed.** Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.02.2020

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Versus



Sh.Sukhwinder Singh

President, Anti Corruption Front, Machhiwara, Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o The Secretary,, School Education Deptt., Punjab Civil Sectt.-2,,Sec-9, Chandigarh..

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Secretary,, School Education Deptt., Punjab Civil Sectt.-2,,Sec-9, Chandigarh..

...Respondents

AC No.4175/2019

Present: None.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 06.08.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 07.09.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 07.11.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 03.02.2020 by the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent. He has sent an e.mail dated 03.02.2020 that he has to attend a court case at Ludhiana and as such, is unable to attend the hearing. He has requested for another date of hearing.
- 4. Nobody has come present on behalf of the PIO. However, a letter dated 21.01.2020 is available on record addressed to the appellant. As per this letter, the appellant has been informed by the Superintendent-cum-PIO, O/o Secretary, School Education, Punjab

Cont...2

PSIC Signature of the Antonial of the Antonial

-2-

AC No.4175/2019

that the concerned school has been given 15 days time by issuing notice vide Govt. letter dated 08.01.2020 to put forth their version with regard to the notice in question and if the reply is not received from the school within the stipulated period, further action will be taken to cancel the NOC of the said school.

- 4. The PIO and the Appellant are advised to be present for the next date of hearing.
- 5. The case is adjourned. To come up for hearing on **02.03.2020** at **11.30 AM**. Copies of the orders be given to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.02.2020



Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh.Rakesh Kumar

B-1/860, Street B-7, Ram Nagar, Sunam Udham Singh Wala, District Sangrur.

..Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director, Cultural Affairs, Archaeology, Museum Deptt. Punjab, Sector-38, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director, Cultural Affairs, Archaeology, Museum Deptt. Punjab, Sector-38, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

AC No.4146/2019

Present:

- (i) Appellant is absent.
- (ii) Sh.Sunil Sachdeva, PIO, O/o Director, Cultural Affairs, Archaeology, Museum Deptt.Punjab, Sector-38,Chandigarh.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 06.08.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 07.09.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 07.11.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 03.02.2020 by the Commission.
- The appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
- 4. The PIO Sh.Sunil Sachdeva, O/o Director, Cultural Affairs, Archaeology, Museum Department, Punjab, comes present. On the asking of the Court, he states that information on similar points vide his RTI applications dated 15.10.2019 and 19.11.2019 has already been provided vide letters dated 11.12.2019 and 23.01.2020 respectively.

Cont...2



AC No.4146/2019

5. After perusing the said letters, the appellant was contacted on phone. He was informed regarding the information already having been provided to him and was asked if he is satisfied with the same. He states that he has certain reservations and he specifically wants a copy of the sketch plan which the PIO assured to give him.

-2-

6. The case is adjourned to next date of hearing. **To come up for hearing on 24.02.2020 at 11.30 AM.** Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.02.2020

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Versus

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

PSIC Solder Information

Sh.Tilak Raj

Retd. Lecturer, English Village Tanda Churian PO Chanour, Tehsil Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur.

.. Complainant

Public Information Officer

O/o District Education Officer (Sec.Edu.) Mini Secretariat, Hoshiarpur.

...Respondents

CC No.961/2019

Present: (i) Sh.Tilak Raj, Appellant is present.

(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 14.11.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Appeal was filed in the Commission on 07.11.2019 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 03.02.2020 by the Commission.
- 3. The complainant comes present and states that even after a lapse of more than one year, he has not been provided the information.
- 4. Neither the PIO has come present nor any intimation has been received from him. The absence of the PIO as well as such an inordinate delay in supply of information is viewed adversely. The PIO is directed to explain his absence as also the reasons for not supplying the information to the complainant before the next date of hearing, failing which the penal consequences shall follow.
- 5. The case is adjourned to next date of hearing. **To come up for hearing on 24.02.2020 at 11.30 AM.** Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 03.02.2020