**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)**, Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Rajesh Gupta, President,

Social Reformers, Chamber No.5033,

Lawyers Chamber Complex,

District Courts, Ludhiana. ----------Complainant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o President, Lodhi Club-cum-

Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o President, Lodhi Club-cum-

Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 1099 of 2017**

Present: Shri Rajesh Gupta, Complainant, in person along with his Counsel Shri Harjot Singh Bains, Advocate.

Shri Resham Singh, Superintendent, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

Shri Rajesh Gupta, Appellant, vide an RTI application dated 12.06.2017, addressed to PIO, sought certified copies of Audit Report of Lodhi Club, Ludhiana for the Financial Years 2014-2015, 2015-2016 and 2016-2017. On receiving no information, he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 10.10.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for today.

2. Today, Shri Resham Singh, Superintendent, appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits a detailed reply from DRO-cum-PIO, Ludhiana vide letter No. 03/8292/PIO/RTI, dated 01.01.2018, which is taken on record. It has been stated by the
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PIO that the complainant has been informed vide letter No. 2926/8292/PIO/RTI, dated 28.06.2017 and letter No. 3280/8292/PIO/RTI, dated 03.08.2017 that Lodhi Club is a private body which does not fall under the jurisdiction of Deputy Commissioner Ludhiana and the matter regarding declaring Lodhi Club as a Public Authority is pending in the Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court and no orders have been passed by the Hon’ble Court as yet.

3. It has been checked from the record that Lodhi Club has not been declared as a Public Authority by the Commission as yet and no case is pending in the Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana . Accordingly, a copy of the reply filed by the respondent is handed over to the complainant to file a rejoinder, if he so desires. Besides, the complainant is directed to submit documentary evidence to prove that Lodhi Club is a Public Authority as per the judgement of Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in case titled Thalappalam Service Cooperative Bank Ltd. and others versus State of Kerala and others in Civil Appeal 9017 of 2013 arising out of SLP© No. 24290 of 12.

4. To come up on **21.02.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dates: 03-01-2018 (S. S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner**

**Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)**, Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Rajesh Gupta, President,

Social Reformers, Chamber No.5033,

Lawyers Chamber Complex,

District Courts, Ludhiana. ----------Complainant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o President, Surlej Club-cum-

Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

FAA-President, Sutlej Club-cum-

Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 1098 of 2017**

Present: Shri Rajesh Gupta, Complainant, in person along with his Counsel Shri Harjot Singh Bains, Advocate.

Shri Resham Singh, Superintendent, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

Shri Rajesh Gupta, Appellant, vide an RTI application dated 12.06.2017, addressed to PIO, sought certified copies of Audit Report of Sutlej Club, Ludhiana for the Financial Years 2014-2015, 2015-2016 and 2016-2017. On receiving no information, he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 10.10.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for today.

2. Today, Shri Resham Singh, Superintendent, appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits a detailed reply from DRO-cum-PIO, Ludhiana vide letter No. 05/8295/PIO/RTI, dated 01.01.2018, which is taken on record. It has been stated by the
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PIO that the complainant has been informed vide letter No. 2927/8292/PIO/RTI, dated 28.06.2017 and letter No. 3282/8295/PIO/RTI, dated 03.08.2017 that Sutlej Club is a private body which does not fall under the jurisdiction of Deputy Commissioner Ludhiana and the matter regarding declaring Sutlej Club as a Public Authority is pending in the Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court and no orders have been passed by the Hon’ble Court as yet. Accordingly, a copy of the reply filed by the respondent is handed over to the complainant to file a rejoinder, if he so desires.

3. To come up on **21.02.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dates: 03-01-2018 (S. S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner**

**Punjab**