



Sh. Gaurav Gupta,
377, Aggar Nagar, Block-A,
Ludhiana.

...Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o PUDA,
Mohali..

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 57 of 2020

**PRESENT: None for the Complainant
 None for the Respondent**

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 26.11.2019 has sought information on 39 points regarding Dev Builders & Colonizers Pvt Ltd. Ludhiana – license issued, promoters/directors of the company at the time of issue of license - list of change of promoters – charges payable to PUDA – charges to be paid to other authority – compliance of all conditions – validity of license -extension granted to colonizer - - penalty leviable on the developer for not obtaining completion certificate - and other information concerning the office of PUDA Mohali. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 10.01.2020.

The case first came up for hearing on 24.06.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner Ludhiana. The complainant was absent and vides email sought exemption. The representative appeared on behalf of the complainant informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. Having gone through the file, the Commission observed that the complainant had come to the Commission without going to the First Appellate Authority. The case was remanded back to the First Appellate Authority and the First Appellate Authority was directed to consider this as an appeal and dispose off the same within a period of 30 days as per RTI Act.

The Commission further observed that there has been an enormous delay of more than six months in attending to the RTI application. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on an affidavit.**

Hearing dated 01.09.2020:

Both the parties are absent. The Commission has received a copy of letter from the Superintendent Grade-2, Coordination Branch, PUDA stating that since the information relates to GLADA Ludhiana, they have already transferred the RTI application to GLADA Ludhiana.

The PIO-PUDA has transferred the RTI application to GLADA after issuance of notice of the Commission. The PIO-GLADA is absent.

Complaint Case No. 57 of 2020

At the last hearing, the PIO-PUDA was issued a show cause notice for delay in attending to the RTI application and directed to file reply on an affidavit. The PIO-PUDA is absent nor has sent any reply to the show cause notice. The PIO-PUDA is given one last opportunity to appear personally before the Commission on the next date of hearing along with the reply to the show cause notice otherwise the Commission will be constrained to issue a bailable warrants against the PIO-PUDA under section 18(3) of the RTI Act.

The PIO-GLADA is also directed to look at the RTI application transferred by PUDA and provide the information to the complainant as per the RTI Act.

To come up for further hearing on **27.10.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The PIO-PUDA Mohali to appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated 01.09.2020

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to 1. First Appellate Authority,
PUDA, Mohali

2. PIO-GLADA Ludhiana

Sh. Gaurav Gupta,
377, Aggar Nagar, Block-A,
Ludhiana.

...Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o PUDA,
Mohali..

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 58 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 26.11.2019 has sought information on 38 points regarding Damini Resort & Builders Pvt Ltd. Ludhiana – license issued, promoters/directors of the company and other information concerning the office of PUDA Mohali. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 10.01.2020.

The case came up for hearing on 24.06.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The complainant was absent and vide email sought exemption. The representative appeared on behalf of the complainant informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. Having gone through the file, the Commission observed that the complainant has come to the Commission without going to the First Appellate Authority. The case was remanded back to the First Appellate Authority and the First Appellate Authority was directed to consider this as an appeal and dispose off the same within a period of 30 days as per RTI Act.

The Commission further observed that there has been an enormous delay of more than six months in attending to the RTI application. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and directed the PIO-PUDA to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing along with the written replies.

Hearing dated 01.09.2020:

Both the parties are absent. The Commission has received a copy of letter from the Superintendent Grade-2, Coordination Branch, PUDA stating that since the information relates to GLADA Ludhiana, they have already transferred the RTI application to GLADA Ludhiana.

The PIO-PUDA has transferred the RTI application to GLADA after issuance of notice of the Commission. The PIO-GLADA is absent.

Complaint Case No. 58 of 2020

At the last hearing, the PIO-PUDA was absent. Due to delay in attending to the RTI application, the PIO-PUDA was directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith the written reply.

The PIO-PUDA is absent nor has sent any written explanation. The PIO-PUDA is given one last opportunity to appear personally before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith the written reply otherwise the Commission will be constrained to issue a bailable warrants against the PIO-PUDA under section 18(3) of the RTI Act.

The PIO-GLADA is also directed to look at the RTI application transferred by PUDA and provide the information to the complainant as per the RTI Act.

To come up for further hearing on **27.10.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The PIO-PUDA Mohali to appear at Chandigarh.

**Chandigarh
Dated 01.09.2020**

**(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner**

**CC to 1. First Appellate Authority,
PUDA, Mohali**

2. PIO-GLADA Ludhiana



Sh. Gaurav Gupta,
377, Aggar Nagar, Block-A,
Ludhiana.

Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o PUDA,
Mohali..

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 59 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 26.11.2019 has sought information on 39 points regarding Dev Builders & Colonizers Pvt Ltd. Ludhiana – license issued, promoters/directors of the company and other information concerning the office of PUDA Mohali. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 10.01.2020.

The case came up for hearing on 24.06.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The complainant was absent and vide email sought exemption. The representative appeared on behalf of the complainant informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. Having gone through the file, the Commission observed that the complainant has come to the Commission without going to the First Appellate Authority. The case was remanded back to the First Appellate Authority and the First Appellate Authority was directed to consider this as an appeal and dispose off the same within a period of 30 days as per RTI Act.

The Commission further observed that there has been an enormous delay of more than six months in attending to the RTI application. The Commission had taken a serious view of this and directed the PIO-PUDA to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing along with the written replies.

Hearing dated 01.09.2020

Both the parties are absent. The Commission has received a copy of letter from the Superintendent Grade-2, Coordination Branch, PUDA stating that since the information relates to GLADA Ludhiana, they have already transferred the RTI application to GLADA Ludhiana.

The PIO-PUDA has transferred the RTI application to GLADA after issuance of notice of the Commission. The PIO-GLADA is absent.

Complaint Case No. 59 of 2020

At the last hearing, the PIO-PUDA was absent. Due to delay in attending to the RTI application, the PIO-PUDA was directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith the written reply.

The PIO-PUDA is absent nor has sent any written explanation. The PIO-PUDA is given one last opportunity to appear personally before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith the written reply otherwise the Commission will be constrained to issue a bailable warrants against the PIO-PUDA under section 18(3) of the RTI Act.

The PIO-GLADA is also directed to look at the RTI application transferred by PUDA and provide the information to the complainant as per the RTI Act.

To come up for further hearing on **27.10.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The PIO-PUDA Mohali to appear at Chandigarh.

**Chandigarh
Dated 01.09.2020**

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

**CC to 1. First Appellate Authority,
PUDA, Mohali**

2. PIO-GLADA Ludhiana



Sh. Gaurav Gupta,
377, Aggar Nagar, Block-A,
Ludhiana.

...Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o PUDA,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 60 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 26.11.2019 has sought information on 38 points regarding Damini Resort & Builders Pvt Ltd. Ludhiana – license issued, promoters/directors of the company and other information concerning the office of PUDA Mohali. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 10.01.2020.

The case came up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The complainant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. The representative appeared on behalf of the complainant informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. Having gone through the file, the Commission observed that the complainant has come to the Commission without going to the First Appellate Authority. The case was remanded back to the First Appellate Authority and the First Appellate Authority was directed to consider this as an appeal and dispose off the same within a period of 30 days as per RTI Act.

The Commission further observed that there has been an enormous delay of more than six months in attending to the RTI application. The Commission had taken a serious view of this and directed the PIO-PUDA to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing along with the written replies.

Hearing dated 01.09.2020:

Both the parties are absent. The Commission has received a copy of letter from the Superintendent Grade-2, Coordination Branch, PUDA stating that since the information relates to GLADA Ludhiana, they have already transferred the RTI application to GLADA Ludhiana.

The PIO-PUDA has transferred the RTI application to GLADA after issuance of notice of the Commission. The PIO-GLADA is absent.

Complaint Case No. 60 of 2020

At the last hearing, the PIO-PUDA was absent. Due to delay in attending to the RTI application, the PIO-PUDA was directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith the written reply.

The PIO-PUDA is absent nor has sent any written explanation. The PIO-PUDA is given one last opportunity to appear personally before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith the written reply otherwise the Commission will be constrained to issue a bailable warrants against the PIO-PUDA under section 18(3) of the RTI Act.

The PIO-GLADA is also directed to look at the RTI application transferred by PUDA and provide the information to the complainant as per the RTI Act.

To come up for further hearing on **27.10.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The PIO-PUDA Mohali to appear at Chandigarh.

**Chandigarh
Dated 01.09.2020**

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

**CC to 1. First Appellate Authority,
PUDA, Mohali**

2. PIO-GLADA Ludhiana



Deepak Mudgil,
R/o Military Station Road,
Opp Chanakya School,
Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, MC,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Urban Local Bodies,
Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 444 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Deepak Mudgil as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 16.09.2019 has sought information regarding property tax collected against the properties falling under the jurisdiction of NC Fazilka, number of divisions for collecting property tax, rate of tax and other information concerning the office of EO-MC Fazilka. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.10.2019 which directed the PIO to supply information by 05.12.2019 and appear before the First Appellate Authority on 06.12.2019..

The case came up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent.

It was observed that the PIO had neither contacted to the appellant nor attended the hearing of the First Appellate Authority as seen in the order of the First Appellate Authority and neither made himself/herself present at the Commission's hearing.

Having gone through the record on the file, it was found that there has been constant break down of the implementation of the RTI Act. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on an affidavit**. The PIO was again directed to provide the information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order.

Hearing dated 01.09.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

At the last hearing, the PIO was issued a show cause notice for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act and constant breakdown of the implementation of the RTI Act. The PIO was directed to file written reply to the show cause notice on an affidavit. The PIO was also directed to provide the information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order.

Appeal Case No. 444 of 2020

The PIO is absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor has complied with the order of the Commission.

Keeping the above-mentioned facts of the case, it is clear that the PIO-EO-MC, Fazilka is flouting the spirit of the RTI Act continuously. The PIO has not only shown utter disregard for the Commission's repeated orders to provide the information but has shown willful stubbornness in not replying to the Show Cause and not appearing before the commission despite various orders of the Commission.

To secure an erring PIO's presence before the commission the Information Commission is empowered to issue warrants to Under Section 18(3) of the RTI Act. Aailable Warrant of the PIO-Estate Officer, MC Fazilka is hereby issued through Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka for his presence before the Commission on **13.10.2020**.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **13.10.2020 at 11.00 PM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka. The PIO to appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated 01.09.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

**BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION
BEFORE
SHRI KHUSHWANT SINGH
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB
AT CHANDIGARH**

In case: Deepak Mudgil V/s Public Information Officer-Executive Officer-
MC Fazilka

APPEAL CASE NO.444/2020

UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Next Date of Hearing :13.10.2020

To

**The Senior Superintendent of Police,
Fazilka**

Whereas PIO-Executive Officer, MC Fazilka has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner, Punjab despite the issuance of notice/summon in the above mentioned appeal case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this bailable warrant to the PIO-Executive Officer, MC Fazilka to appear before the undersigned at Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh on **13.10.2020 at 11.00 A.M.**

**Chandigarh
Dated: 01.09.2020**

**(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Lakhwinder Singh, S/o Sh Gurjant Singh,
VPO Patti Sadiq, Tehsil Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3006 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 07.03.2019 has sought information regarding total number of farmers of village Patti Sadiq whose loan has been waived under Loan waiver scheme from 01.01.2017 to 07.01.2019 and other information concerning the office of Tehsildar Abohar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.05.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 18.12.2019. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

The case was again heard on **24.02.2020**. Both the parties were absent. The PIO-cum-Tehsildar Abohar vide email informed that since the information relates to the office of Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Department, Abohar, they have transferred the RTI application to them under section 6(3) vide letter dated 12.03.2019 with a copy to the appellant.

The PIO, O/o Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Department, Abohar was impleaded in the case and directed to look at the RTI application as transferred by the Tehsildar Abohar on 12.03.2019 and provide the information to the appellant. The PIO- Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Department, Abohar was also directed to be present on the next date of hearing alongwith the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the prescribed time as per the RTI Act.

The case was last heard on 07.07.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. The respondent present from the office of Registrar Cooperative Societies pleaded that the information is in the custody of SDM Abohar since the password and login is with the office of SDM Abohar.

Appeal Case No. 3006 of 2019

The PIO-SDM Abohar was impleaded in the case and directed to provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI application. A copy of RTI application was attached with the order for the PIO-SDM Abohar.

The Commission observed that the since the RTI application was moving from one desk to another, The Commission declared the PIO-SDM Abohar as the deemed PIO and directed him/her to procure the information even if in the custody of other department and provide to the appellant.

Hearing dated 01.09.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. Both the parties are absent. The Commission has received an email from the PIO stating that since all staff of DC office alongwith staff of SDM & Tehsil office has been found corona positive, he cannot attend the hearing and has requested for adjournment.

The earlier order stands. The case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **13.10.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka.

Chandigarh
Dated 01.09.2020

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

**CC to :1. PIO-Assistant Registrar,
Cooperative Department,
C/o District Central Cooperative Bank,
Abohar.**

2. PIO-SDM, Abohar



Sh H.S Hundal,
203, Judicial Courts, Sector-76,
Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 514 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 31.10.2019 has sought information regarding register maintained by patwaris as per section 6(3) of Punjab Transparency and Accountability in delivery of public service Act 2018 area of Salina Moga Mehla Singh, Daulatpur Niwan and Ghal Kalan villages of Moga Tehsil and other information concerning the office of Tehsildar Moga. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.12.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case came up for hearing on 07.07.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 06.07.2020. The appellant was absent and vide email informed that he has received a photo copy of reply on his whatsapp from the PIO, which was incorrect and wrong facts had been mentioned in the reply.

The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any in writing to the PIO with a copy to the Commission. The PIO was directed to remove the discrepancies.

Hearing dated 01.09.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. Both the parties are absent. The appellant vide email has informed that the discrepancies in the information has been pointed out to the PIO O/o Tehsildar Moga vide email dated 16.07.2020 with a copy to the Commission. The appellant has further informed that he contacted Mr. Mangaljit Singh, Reader to the Tehsildar Moga who informed that the entire staff had been on strike and had requested for some more time to provide the information. The appellant states that he has no objection if the Hon'ble Court grants additional time to the PIO to provide the complete point-wise information.

The earlier order stands. The case is adjourned. The PIO is directed to sort out the discrepancies as pointed out by the appellant via email dated 16.07.2020.

To come up for further hearing on **21.10.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, **Moga**. The appellant may appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated 01.09.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh H.S Hundal,
203, Judicial Courts, Sector-76,
Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 515 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 31.10.2019 has sought information regarding details of amendment/change in jamabandis, procedure for change of jamabandi by the patwaris- relating to villages of Mehla Singh, Daulatpur Niwan and Ghal Kalan villages of Moga Tehsil and other information concerning the office of Tehsildar Moga. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.12.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case came up for hearing on 07.07.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 06.07.2020. The appellant was absent and vide email has informed that he had received a photo copy of reply on his whatsapp from the PIO, which was incorrect and wrong facts had been mentioned in the reply.

The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any in writing to the PIO with a copy to the Commission. The PIO was directed to remove the discrepancies.

Hearing dated 01.09.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. Both the parties are absent. The appellant vide email has informed that the discrepancies in the information has been pointed out to the PIO O/o Tehsildar Moga vide email dated 16.07.2020 with a copy to the Commission. The appellant has further informed that he contacted Mr.Mangaljit Singh, Reader to the Tehsildar Moga who informed that the entire staff had been on strike and had requested for some more time to provide the information. The appellant states that he has no objection if the Hon'ble Court grants additional time to the PIO to provide the complete point-wise information.

The earlier order stands. The case is adjourned. The PIO is directed to sort out the discrepancies as pointed out by the appellant via email dated 16.07.2020.

To come up for further hearing on **21.10.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, **Moga**. The appellant may appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated 01.09.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. H.S Hundal,
1, Dutt Road, Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3615 of 2018

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER: This case should be read in continuation to the earlier order.

On the date of hearing of 30.07.2019, Sh.Prince Kumar, Clerk O/o SDM Moga appeared and pleaded that the information regarding point-1 relates to them and they have already sent reply to the appellant that the information is 3rd party information, it cannot be provided. The information regarding points-2 & 8 relates to Tehsildar Moga and remaining information relates to PWD(B&R) Moga. The respondent further pleaded that the information has also been provided to the appellant by the PIO-PWD and PIO-Tehsildar concerning them.

Having gone through the record, and hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

- | | | |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Point-1, 5, 6 & 7 | - | The information pertains to 3 rd party. The concerned 3 rd parties are impleaded in the case and directed to appear before the commission and plead why their information be not provided. |
| Point-2 & 8 | - | Relates to Tehsildar Moga. The Tehsildar Moga is directed to provide the information as per RTI application |
| Point-3 | - | PIO-SDM Moga to procure from PIO-PWD and send to the appellant. |

The appellant was also directed to file written submission to establish in writing that the disclosure of information has a larger public interest as he had made allegation of corruption while disbursing money.

On the date of hearing on **19.12.2019**, the respondent present from the office of SDM-Moga informed that the information regarding point-3 has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 06.11.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The PIO-Tehsildar, Moga and both the 3rd parties were absent. The appellant was absent and vide email had submitted his written submission which was taken on the file of the Commission.

The PIO-Tehsildar, Moga was given one last opportunity to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and provide the information on Points-2 & 8. The PIO was also directed to be present on the next date of hearing. Both the 3rd parties were also given one last opportunity to appear before the Commission and plead their case.

On the next date of hearing on **24.02.2020**, the appellant was absent and vide email has informed that he has not received the information regarding point-3 from the office of SDM Moga as recorded in the last order. The appellant further informed that he has not received information on other points also. A copy of the information regarding point-3 submitted by the respondent at the hearing on 19.12.2019 was attached with the order for the appellant.

The PIO-SDM Moga was absent. The PIO-Tehsildar Moga was also absent and vide email has sought adjournment on the plea that they have been deputed by the SDM to attend Mahatma Gandhi Sarbat Vikas Yojna camp to be organized on 24.02.2020 at Ghal Kalan.

Sh.Gurmukh Singh, the 3rd party was present and pleaded that his file relating to his assessment be not provided. The case was adjourned.

On the last date of hearing on **07.07.2020, which** was held through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The respondent present from the office of SDM pleaded that the information regarding point-3 has already been provided. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that he has received the information on point-3 but the information on points-2 & 8 has not been supplied.

The PIO-Tehsildar Moga was absent. The respondent present from the office of Tehsildar Moga sought some more time and assured to supply the information on points-2 & 8 within 10 days.

The PIO-Tehsildar Moga was directed to supply the information on points-2 & 8 within 10 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 01.09.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. Both the parties are absent. The appellant vide email has informed that the discrepancies in the information has been pointed out to the PIO O/o Tehsildar Moga vide email dated 16.07.2020 with a copy to the Commission. The appellant has further informed that he contacted Mr.Mangaljit Singh, Reader to the Tehsildar Moga who informed that the entire staff had been on strike and had requested for some more time to provide the information. The appellant states that he has no objection if the Hon'ble Court grants additional time to the PIO to provide the complete point-wise information.

The earlier order stands. The case is adjourned. The PIO is directed to sort out the discrepancies as pointed out by the appellant via email dated 16.07.2020.

To come up for further hearing on **21.10.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, **Moga**. The appellant may appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated:01.09.2020

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to : 1. PIO-Tehsildar Moga

2. Sh.Gurmukh Singh s/o Sh.Nahar Singh
Village Landeke (Moga)

3. Sh.Amarjit Singh s/o Sh.Jiwan Singh
Village Landeka (Moga)