PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt. Monika Rani W/o Sh. Naresh Gumber, # B-1864, Street No. 4 E, Kailash Nagar Tehsil & District, Fazilka 152123.

Versus

Complainant

(Regd. Post)	Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.	Respondent
	Complaint Case No.: 1131 of 2019	
Present	Sh. Manohar Singh, the representative of complainant present for he Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.	aring.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. Sh. Manohar Singh, the representative of complainant is absent for today's hearing and states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
- Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing, without any intimation to the Commission, and nor did he/she filed any reply in this context, which shows no regards to the notice of Commission. Respondent PIO was also absent on previous hearings dated 18.02.2019 and 25.03.2019.
- 3. After hearing the representative of complainant and going through the documents placed on record, <u>The respondent PIO O/o DEO (SE) Fazilka will Show Cause under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act</u>, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him/her for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI complainant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

In addition to his/her submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty and/or compensation be awarded to the complainant on him/her on the next date of hearing. He/she may note that in case he does not file his/her submission and does not avail himself/herself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he/she has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further ex-parte proceedings against him/her.

- 4. The respondent PIO is also directed to appear in person on next dated of hearing along with directions to provide pending information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application, to the complainant.
- 6. The subject matter is adjourned to **19.06.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order, be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 01.05.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com TH HUR OF ARTHING WOISSILL

Smt. Monika Rani W/o Sh. Naresh Gumber, # B-1864, Street No. 4 E, Kailash Nagar Tehsil & District, Fazilka 152123.

Versus

Complainant

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

Complaint Case No.: 1132 of 2019

Respondent

PresentSh. Manohar Singh, the representative of complainant present for hearing.
Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. Sh. Manohar Singh, the representative of complainant is absent for today's hearing and states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
- Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing, without any intimation to the Commission, and nor did he/she filed any reply in this context, which shows no regards to the notice of Commission. Respondent PIO was also absent on previous hearings dated 18.02.2019 and 25.03.2019.
- 3. After hearing the representative of complainant and going through the documents placed on record, <u>The respondent PIO O/o DEO (SE) Fazilka will **Show Cause** under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him/her for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI complainant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.</u>

In addition to his/her submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty and/or compensation be awarded to the complainant on him/her on the next date of hearing. He/she may note that in case he does not file his/her submission and does not avail himself/herself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he/she has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further ex-parte proceedings against him/her.

- 5. The respondent PIO is also directed to appear in person on next dated of hearing along with directions to provide pending information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application, to the complainant.
- 6. The subject matter is adjourned to **19.06.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order, be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated: 01.05.2019



Advocate Malkiat Singh (89685-80873) P/3992/2016, Civil Court Dasuya Hoshiarpur.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Naib Tehsildar Talwara, District Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority O/o SDM, Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur

Complaint Case No.: 1229 of 2018 Date of Institution: 22.11.2018 Date of decision: 01.05.2019

Present: Advocate Malkiat Singh, the complainant in person.

Sh. Madan Lal, Patwari (98764-64595) present as respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 19.03.2019.
- 2. Sh. Madan Lal, Patwari is handed over a copy of requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the complainant during the hearing.
- 3. Advocate Malkiat Singh the complainant is satisfied with the information received.
- 4. After hearing the both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that the requisite information stands supplied and the complainant is satisfied with it, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant complaint case is

disposed of and closed.

5. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 01.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Appellant

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Pushpinder Sharma (99156-55071)

S/o Sh. Kulwant Rai Sharma, C/o Royal Stationers Mehas Gate, Nabha, District Pariala.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, GSSS Dhangera, District Patiala.

THE PSIC uoissium

Appellant

Complaint Case No.: 1242 of 2018 Date of Institution: 04.12.2018 Date of decision: 01.05.2019

Present: Sh. Pushpinder Sharma, the complainant in person.

Sh. Gurmeet Singh (Retired Principal) present on the behalf of respondent PIO. **ORDER**

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 19.03.2019.
- Sh. Gurmeet Singh (Retired Principal who was PIO at the time of filling the RTI application) is handed over a copy of requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the complainant during the hearing.
- 3. Sh. Pushpinder Sharma, the complainant is satisfied with the information received.
- 4. After hearing the both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that the requisite information stands supplied and the complainant is satisfied with it, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 01.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Pushpinder Sharma (99156-55071)

S/o Sh. Kulwant Rai Sharma, C/o Royal Stationers Mehas Gate, Nabha, District Pariala.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, GSSS Dhangera, District Patiala.

THE PSIC Unissimute of the Information Contraction Con

Appellant

Complaint Case No.: 1246 of 2018 Date of Institution: 04.12.2018 Date of decision: 01.05.2019

Present: Sh. Pushpinder Sharma, the complainant in person.

Sh. Gurmeet Singh (Retired Principal) present on the behalf of respondent PIO. **ORDER**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 19.03.2019.

- Sh. Gurmeet Singh (Retired Principal who was PIO at the time of filling the RTI application) is handed over a copy of requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the complainant during the hearing.
- 3. Sh. Pushpinder Sharma, the complainant is satisfied with the information received.
- 4. After hearing the both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that the requisite information stands supplied and the complainant is satisfied with it, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant complaint case is disposed of and closed.
- 5. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 01.05.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appellant

Respondents

Advocate, Paramjit Singh Dhillon(Regd. Post)Chamber No. 89-C, District Courts
Amritsar. (7837550690).VersusPublic Information Officer/APIO(Regd. Post)O/o Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.: 2759 of 2018

Present: Advocate, Paramjit Singh Dhillon, the appellant present in person. Sh. Varinder Mohan, Head Draftsman cum PIO, present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 20.03.2019.
- 2. On previous hearing dated 20.30.2019, PIO O/o MC, Amritsar was given one opportunity along with directions to file a reply to the Show Cause notice issued to him but he failed to do so and neither Sh. Varinder Mohan, Head Draftsman was not able justify reasons and/or to give satisfactory reply in this regard.
- 3. After going through the documents on record, I am of the view that due to evasive attitude and irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information and/or getting it in time, therefore, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Advocate, Paramjit Singh Dhillon.
- 4. Hence, I award a compensation of Rs. 1500/- (Fifteen Hundred only) to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of **Paramjit Singh Dhillon** from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.
- 5. Advocate, Paramjit Singh Dhillon, the appellant states that requisite information demanded is still pending.
- 6. On this, Sh. Varinder Mohan, Head Draftsman states that appellant has demanded information related to site plan of Kundan Hotel, which is a third party information and cannot be supplied under RTI Act.



Appeal Case No.: 2759 of 2018

- 7. After hearing the both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, <u>it is found that requisite information is a third party information which cannot be provided to the appellant</u> but the respondent PIO is directed to provide the cheque or demand draft of compensation awarded to appellant and produce a copy of it before the Commission on the next date of hearing, failing to which further action under section 20(1) of RTI would be enhanced against the respondent PIO.
- 8. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 20.05.2019 at 11.00 AM
- 9. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 01.05.2019



Sh. Gurcharan Singh (93572-04340) S/o S. Dayal Singh 905, Urban Estate Phase-2, Jalandhar-144022

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o Commissioner of Police,

Commissionrate, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner of Police, Commissionrate Jalandhar

Appeal Case No.: 2968 of 2018 Date of Institution: 31.08.2018 Date of decision: 01.05.2019

Present: Nobody present on the behalf of appellant. Sh. Balwinder Singh, ASI (88727-00273) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 25.03.2019.
- 2. Sh. Balwinder Singh, ASI states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant through registered post as directed on previous hearing dated 25.03.2019. He also submitted a letter signed by PIO cum Deputy Commissioner of Police Jalandhar mentioning therein same as mentioned above in this order. This letter is taken on record.
- 3. Appellant is absent for today's hearing but an email has been received in the Commission form email id <u>gsingh12.arora@gmail.com</u> on 10.04.2019 mentioning therein that the appellant has received the requisite information and also requested the Commission to close this case. This email is taken on record
- 4. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that the requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 01.05.2019

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com TH HUNDRON CONSISTENT PUNJAD State Information

Smt. Monika Rani W/o Sh. Naresh Gumber, # B-1864, Street No. 4 E, Kailash Nagar Tehsil & District, Fazilka 152123.

Versus

Complainant

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.	
	O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

Appeal Case No.: 3473 of 2018

Respondent

PresentSh. Manohar Singh, the representative of appellant present for hearing.
Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. Sh. Manohar Singh, the representative of appellant is absent for today's hearing and states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
- Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing, without any intimation to the Commission, and nor did he/she filed any reply in this context, which shows no regards to the notice of Commission. Respondent PIO was also absent on previous hearings dated 05.02.2019 and 25.03.2019.
- 3. After hearing the representative of appellant and going through the documents placed on record, <u>The respondent PIO O/o DEO (SE) Fazilka will Show Cause under Section 20 (1) of the RTI</u> <u>Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him/her for willful delay/denial in supplying the</u> <u>information to the RTI appellant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-</u> <u>seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.</u>

In addition to his/her submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty and/or compensation be awarded to the appellant on him/her on the next date of hearing. He/she may note that in case he does not file his/her submission and does not avail himself/herself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he/she has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further ex-parte proceedings against him/her.

- 4. The respondent PIO is also directed to appear in person on next dated of hearing along with directions to provide pending information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application, to the appellant.
- 5. The subject matter is adjourned to **19.06.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
- 6. Announced in the Court; copy of the order, be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated: 01.05.2019



Appellant

Sh. Somnath Sharma S/o Sh. Ram Dass, House No. 1014, Street No. 16, New Ghuman Nagar, Sirhind Road, Patiala-147001.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Principal, DIET, Nabha-147201, District Patiala

First Appellate Authority O/o Director, SCERT, Education Dept., Vidya Bhawan, 6th Floor, Mohali.

Appeal Case No.: 3553 of 2018 Date of Institution: 18.10.2018 Date of decision: 01.05.2019

Present: Sh. Somnath Sharma, the appellant present in person. Sh. Tara Singh, Superintendent present as respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 13.03.2019.
- 4. Sh. Tara Singh, Superintendent states that requisite information and/or written reply has already been sent to the appellant but he again handed over copy of it to the appellant during the hearing.
- 5. Sh. Somnath Sharma, the appellant is satisfied with the information and/or written reply received during the hearing.
- 6. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that the requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 01.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Deepak Rai Garg (95011-13508)

S/o Sh. Subhash Chander R/o Gordhan Dass Phul Chand, Rama Street, Railway Road, Kotkapura, 151204.

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Matta, District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority O/o Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Matta, District Faridkot.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3605 of 2018

Present:Sh. Deepak Rai Garg the appellant in person.
Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 20.03.2019.
- An email has been received in the Commission form email id <u>garg2010g@yahoo.com</u> on 20.03.2019 evening where the appellant has pointed out the deficiencies in the information received, which is taken on record.
- 3. Sh. Deepak Rai Garg, the appellant, states as mentioned above in point no. 2 of this order.
- 4. Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing.
- 5. After hearing the appellant and going through the documents placed on record, the appellant is advised to sent the copy of deficiencies pointed out, in written/email to the respondent and the respondent PIO is also given one opportunity to remove the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant once they are received in their office from appellant by providing information and/or reply satisfying the RTI application, by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. Both the parties are also advised/directed to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on next date of hearing, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 01.05.2019



Sh. Sandeep Kumar S/o Sh. Sukhdev Kumar House No. 8, Mohalla-Boran Gate, Nabha-147201, District Patiala.

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, DIET, Nabha-147201, District Patiala

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (S), Education Dept., Vidya Bhawan, 4th Floor, Mohali.

Appeal Case No.: 3875 of 2018

Present: Sh. Ajit Singh, (93152-63000) the representative of the appellant. Sh. Tara Singh, Superintendent present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 13.03.2019.
- 2. Sh. Ajit Singh, the representative of the appellant present for today's hearing
- 3. Sh. Tara Singh, Superintendent, states and submits a letter signed by Principal, DIET, Nabha, Patiala that the appellant has not visited their office for inspection on 10.04.2019 nor any letter was received by the appellant sent by their office. It is further added that their office has no objection to provide the information to the appellant but requested the Commission to first ensure appellant's existence. A similar letter has also been received in the Commission vide diary no. 8563 dated 25.04.2019. These letters are taken on record.
- 4. After hearing the both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, the appellant is advised to visit respondent's office in person to inspect the record on 27.05.2019 along with a photo Id proof and/or an advocate may accompany the appellant failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis. The respondent is also directed to ensure that the appellant has inspected the record properly and provide the information specified by appellant during inspection on 27.05.2019, failing to which action under section to 20 (1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 6. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 01.05.2019

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gurbakshish Singh

14-A, Teacher Colony, Patiala Road, Sangroor.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), SAS Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (SE), SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Appeal Case No.: 4091 of 2018

Present:Sh. Gurbakshish Singh, the appellant in person.Sh. Bimal Dev, SA (94641-21137) present as respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 19.03.2019.
- 2. Sh. Bimal Dev, SA states that requisite information has already been provided to the appellant.
- 3. Sh. Gurbakshish Singh, the appellant states that he has already pointed out deficiencies in the information received. A letter in this regard has also been received in the Commission vide diary no. 6842 dated 02.04.2019 which has been taken on record.
- 4. After hearing the both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, the appellant is advised to send a copy of deficiencies pointed out to the respondent PIO through email or registered post. The respondent is also directed to remove the deficiencies satisfying the RTI application and sent it to the appellant through email or registered post by the next date of hearing, once deficiencies pointed out are received in their office as mentioned above in this order, failing to which action under section to 20 (1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 6. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 01.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Appellant



Smt. Geeta Rani (99148-58159)

House no. 906, Phase 9, Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), SAS Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (SE), SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Appeal Case No.: 4134 of 2018 Date of Institution: 03.12.2018 Date of decision: 01.05.2019

Present: Sh. C.K. Bansal, the representative of the appellant. Ms. Pardeep Bedi, APIO from O/o DEO (SE), Mohali (94651-16879) present along with Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh, Office Superintendent and Ms. Neena Singla, SA from O/o DPI (SE) (94174-28179) as respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 19.03.2019.
- 2. Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh, Office Superintendent, APIO, handed over partial requisite information to the appellant during the hearing.
- 3. Sh. C.K. Bansal, the representative of the appellant is satisfied with the partial information received.
- 4. Ms. Neena Singla, SA states and assures that partial requisite information will be provided to the appellant.
- 5. Sh. C.K. Bansal, the representative of the appellant mutually agreed on this and requested to close this case.
- 8. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, on the mutual consent of both the parties, it is found that partial requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it; and for the rest of information as respondent PIO assured the Commission to provide it to appellant and with the mutual consent of appellant on this and his request to close this case, I am of view, that no further action is required at this instant in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 9. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 01.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Appellant

A DIT HID AT ARTING

Sh. Kuldip Singh Sohiya Road, Razgarh Colony, Ward No. 7, Sangrur.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Principal, GSSS, Sakroundi, Dist. Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (SE), Sangrur.

Appeal Case No.: 4205 of 2018 Date of Institution: 06.12.2018 Date of decision: 01.05.2019

Present: Sh. Kuldip Singh, the appellant in person.

Sh. Gurmeet Singh, Principal (94176-78498), present as respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 19.03.2019.
- 2. Sh. Gurmeet Singh, Principal states and submits his written reply during the hearing to the Commission that the appellant has not submitted the documentation fee demanded of Rs 834/-. This reply is taken on record. He further stated that requisite information in available with him to hand it over to appellant after receiving the documentation fee as per RTI act.
- 3. Sh. Kuldip Singh, the appellant requested the Commission to hand over postal order of Rs 700/- and balance 134/- in cash to the respondent PIO to receive the requisite information.
- 4. Sh. Gurmeet Singh, Principal mutually agrees on this and handed over the requisite information to the appellant during the hearing.
- 5. Sh. Kuldip Singh, the appellant is satisfied with the information received.
- 6. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that the requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 01.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Appellant

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Satpal Khanna (98155-63490)

R/o House No. 105, Chandan Nagar, Sodal Road, Jalandhar

Public Information Officer O/o Police Commissioner, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Police Commissioner, Jalandhar

Appeal Case No.: 4244 of 2018

Versus

Present: Sh. Satpal Khanna, the appellant present with Advocate Sunil Mallan & Advocate Kundan Lal.

Sh. Balwinder Singh, ASI (88727-00273) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal in the Commission on 06.12.2018. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- Advocate Sunil Mallan, the representative of appellant states that requisite information is incomplete / irrelevant. He further added that appellant is satisfied with information that relates with point no. 1 on RTI application.
- 3. Sh. Balwinder Singh, ASI, HC states that available requisite information and/or written reply has already been supplied to the appellant and a reply/copy of it, which is already on record. He further added that partial information relates with enquiry under process which cannot be provided at this instant and also requested the Commission that the appellant may visit their office and inspect the record.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, on mutual consent of both the parties the appellant is advised to visit respondent's office on 02.06.2019 to inspect the record. The respondent PIO is directed to make sure that the appellant has inspected the records completely (that relates with RTI application). The appellant is also advised to inspect the record as mentioned above and point out deficiencies **specifically**, if any, in written to the respondent, and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis. The respondent is also directed to either remove the deficiencies and/or file a written reply satisfying the RTI application by the next date of hearing, failing to which further action under section 20(1) of RTI would be enhanced against the respondent PIO.
- 5. Both the parties are advised / directed to represent this case on next date of hearing.
- 6. The subject matter is adjourned to **04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 01.05.2019



Appellant