

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**

**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100**

**Email: - [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



*(to be substituted for the order bearing same date)*

**(Regd. Post)**

**Sh. Pankaj Kumar (9988241285)**

S/o Sh. Nabh Singh  
MCB Zone-6, 03225,  
Gali No. 1 B, Surakhpeer Road,  
Bathinda

Complainant

Versus

**(Regd. Post)**

**Public Information Officer**

O/O SDM, Bathinda

**Remanded Back**

**(Regd. Post)**

**First Appellate Authority (By Name)**

O/o SDM, Bathinda

Encl. RTI application

Respondent

**Complaint case No.: 689 of 2022**

**Through CISCO Webex**

Present:

Complainant: Sh. Sanjeev Goyal on behalf of the complainant.

Respondent: Absent

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 25.2.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on 13.10.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 16.2.2023 at 11.00a.m. i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, representative of the complainant, Sh. Sanjeev Goyal states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
3. Respondent PIO is absent in spite of registered post notice issued to him by the Commission, which means he has no regard to the Notice issued to him by the Commission.
4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

*(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).*

**Complaint case No.: 689 of 2022**  
**Through CISCO Webex**

5. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.
6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.
7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.
8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties **through registered post**.

**Dated: 16.2.2023**

**(Anumit Singh Sodhi)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

**Note:** After the hearing was over, Respondent, Ms Amandeep Kaur, Steno to SDM (M: 7837647692) and Superintendent-cum-PIO Sh. Virender Pal (M: 8054007525) contacted the undersigned Bench and intimates that department was unable to attend hearing due to technical error. Respondent PIO is apprised with today's proceedings.

**Dated: 16.2.2023**

**(Anumit Singh Sodhi)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**