



Sh Nitin Kumar Tayal,  
SCO-1-3-B, Swami Vivekanand Vridhashram Market,  
B-Block, Model Town, Extension, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Punjab State Consumer Disputes  
Redressal Commission,  
Sector-37-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate authority,  
O/o Punjab State Consumer Disputes  
Redressal Commission,  
Sector-37-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 980 of 2022**

**PRESENT: Sh.Nitin Kumar Tayal as for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Raminder Goyal, Suptd. for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 18.10.2021, has sought information on regarding a copy of comments/noting/proceedings/action taken on the email dated 14.03.2022 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Punjab State Consumer Redressal Commission, Pb Chandigarh. Since the appellant did not send the ID proof as asked by the PIO vide letter dated 28.10.2021, the information was not provided, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate authority on 08.11.2021 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

Since the ID proof has already been attached with the notice of the Commission issued to the PIO, the PIO is directed to provide the information to the appellant.

The information be provided within 15 days of the receipt of the order with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 07.12.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**