

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



(Regd. Post) **Sh. Ravinder Singh Gill,**
986, Near Dev Hotel,
Main Bazaar, Moga 142001. Complainant

Versus

(Regd. Post) **Public Information Officer**
O/o Secy., Transport Department,
Transport Branch-3, Mini Secretariat,
Punjab Government, Sector-1,
Chandigarh

Remanded Back

(Regd. Post) **First Appellate Authority**
O/o Secy., Transport Department,
Transport Branch-3, Mini Secretariat,
Punjab Government, Sector-1,
Chandigarh

Encl. RTI application

Respondent

Complaint case No.: 848 of 2022
Hearing through CISCO Webex

Present: None for the parties.
ORDER

1. The RTI application dated 26.10.2022 vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. A complaint was filed in the Commission on 1.12.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing for 13.6.2023 through CISCO WEBEX application.
2. In today's hearing both the parties are not present. It is observed that an email dated 25.04.2023 and 13.06.2023 are received from the complainant stating therein that no information has been supplied to him. Both emails taken on record.
3. Respondent PIO is not present and it is observed that notices issued to him by the Commission returned to the Commission with remarks 'insufficient address' vide diary no. 502 dated 03.01.2023 and diary no. 11200 dated 09.05.2023, which are taken on record.
4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP @ No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

5. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Complaint case No.: 848 of 2022
Hearing through CISCO Webex

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.
7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.
8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties **through registered post**.

Date: 13.06.2023

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab