

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100**

**Email: - [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



**Sh. Amarjit Singh(7009976854)**

H.No.708, Sector 56,  
Chandigarh.

Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o GM, Punjab Roadways, Chandigarh

**Remanded Back:**

**First Appellate Authority**

(Regd. Post) O/o GM, Punjab Roadways, Chandigarh

**Encl. RTI application**

Respondent

**Complaint case No.: 763 of 2022**  
**Hearing through CISCO Webex**

**Present:**

Appellant: absent.

Respondent: Sh. Rajnish, Supdt.(7988809268)

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 12.12.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. A complaint was filed in the Commission on 9.11.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 16.3.2023 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Rajnish states that RTI application was received on 12.12.2019 was received in the office vide diary no. 4066 dated 12.12.2019 and reply was sent to the complainant vide letter no. 265 dated 09.01.2020. He adds that requisite information relates to 3<sup>rd</sup> party and consent was not given by the third party to disclose his information. He further adds that a reply vide letter no. 11355 dated 15.12.2022 was sent to the Commission, which is received and taken on record.
3. Complainant is not present but two letters dated 30.01.2023, which is received in the Commission vide diary no. 2744 dated 30.01.2023 and taken on record.
4. **After examining the case file, it is observed that demanded information is not a third party information and could be supplied as per RTI Act, 2005.**
5. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

*(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).*

**Complaint case No.: 763 of 2022**  
**Hearing through CISCO Webex**

6. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.
7. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.
8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.
9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties **through registered post**.

**Date: 16.03.2023**

**(Anumit Singh Sodhi)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**