PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975 Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Daman Pal Singh Gandhi, S/o Shri Kuldeep Singh Gandhi #317, Sector-53, Phase-3A, Mohali. PSiC Settle Mormation

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Greater Mohali Area Development Authority(GMADA), Mohali.

First Appellate Authority O/o Greater Mohali Area

Development Authority(GMADA), Mohali.

AC No.6312 of 2022

....Respondents

Present: (i) Smt. Sukhjit Kaur, Advocate for the Appellant-in person. (ii) Shri Gurwinder Singh, AEO/PIO, GMADA, Mohali- cisco webex.

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 17.05.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 14.09.2022 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 13.12.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 20.02.2023. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Smt. Sukhjit Kaur, Advocate comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the appellant, in person before the Bench and states that no information has been supplied to the appellant.

3. Shri Gurwinder Singh, AEO/PIO, GMADA, Mohali comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex and states that reply has been given to the appellant vide letter dated 14.02.2023 stating therein that the information sought by him is personal and third party information. As such, consent of the person concerned was sought who vide his letter dated 11.07.2022 has refused permission to share information regarding the property mentioned in the RTI application and that the same has been conveyed to the appellant earlier also.

4. The counsel appearing for the appellant states that the contention of PIO is not correct as the information has been sought by the appellant in respect of a property which belonged to his father S. Kuldeep Singh, which is now reported to be transferred in the name of Shri Jaspinder Paul Singh who is real brother of

Cont..P-2



AC No.6312/2022

the appellant and that the appellant wants to know on what basis the said property has been transferred in the name of Jaspinder Paul Singh. She states the owner of property Kuldeep Singh was an addict and the said Jaspinder Paul Singh must have succeeded in getting this property transferred in his name deceivingly. She also states that the appellant whom she is representing is under depression and of unstable mind.

5. After hearing the version of the counsel for the appellant and the PIO, the Bench agrees with the contention of the PIO that the information sought by the appellant is personal and third party information as the property stands in the name of Jaspinder Paul Singh as per GMADA records and Jaspinder Paul Singh has denied permission to share information relating to the property cited in the RTI application of the appellant.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the Bench is not inclined to proceed further in the matter. **The instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 20.02.2023

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab