PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh Harbans Lal, R/o Romana Street, Jaito, Tehsil Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public I nformation Officer, O/o EO, MC, Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority, O/o ADC, Urban Development, Faridkot.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5017 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant

Sh.Naib Singh, Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 26.08.2021 has sought information on 09 points regarding proceedings done against Naib Singh, Clerk Davinder Kumar, Ajay Singh, Gurnderpal Singh, Komal Sharma Gurpreet Singh, Ram Karan and other related employees in FIR No.80/2019 - details of Eos, JEs, AMEs, MEs inspector joined and reliving their duty from 01.09.2021 including orders – detail of the clerk in charge house tax branch, work branch, building branch, license branch etc. as enumerated in the RTI application(copy of RTI application attached) from the office of EO-MC Jaito. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.09.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 07.06.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. As per the appellant, the PIO did not supply the information.

The respondent had brought the information.

The PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant.

The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies to the PIO and the PIO was directed to sort out the same. If the information on any point does not exist in the record, to give in writing on an affidavit.

Hearing dated 23.11.2022 :

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot.

Both parties are present.

The appellant states that he has provided the discrepancies to the PIO, but the same was returned. The appellant has sent a copy of the same to the Commission, which is taken on record.

The respondent says that no discrepancy has been provided by the appellant.

Appeal Case No. 5017 of 2021

Since the appellant continuously files numerous RTI applications with office of EO-MC Jaito, from the of it, there appears to be a face personal tussle between the appellant and staff members of the public authority, which in turn making the provision of the information a is troublesome affair in cases related to Lajpat Rai versus MC Jaito.

by the appellant, his slew of cases very long RTI applications, authority, acerbic the rigid stand the public and the behaviour of of both parties hearings is only vitiating decorum of at not the the courtroom but is also leading to unnecessary delay clogging and of the appellant system of the RTI Act.

Keeping these factors in view, I am marking these cases to the Deputy mechanism Commissioner, Faridkot, to put in а for resolving these cases by ensuring that the sought information is provided to the appellant.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 23.11.2022 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

CC to : Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.