

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



(Regd. Post)

Sh. Mittar Sain Meet, Advocate (9855631777)

297, Street No. 05, Upkar Nagar,
Civil Lines, Ludhiana.

Complainant

Versus

(Regd. Post)

Public Information Officer,

O/o Principal Secretary,
Cultural Affairs, Govt. Of Punjab,
Sector 38-A, Chandigarh.

REMANDED BACK:-

(Regd. Post)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Principal Secretary,
Cultural Affairs, Govt. Of Punjab,
Sector 38-A, Chandigarh.

Encl. RTI application

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 40 of 2023

Hearing through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

(i) Complainant: Absent.

(ii) Respondent: Sh. Anshuman Garg (ACFA) along with Ms. Jaswinder Kaur, Clerk

ORDER:

1. The RTI application dated 02.11.2022 vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application and complaint was filed in the Commission on 03.01.2023 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 10.05.2023 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
3. In today's hearing, the complainant is absent in spite of registered post notice issued to him by the Commission. It is also observed that no communication is received from him after filing case in the Commission till today.
4. Respondent, Sh. Anshuman Garg states that the information has already been supplied to the complainant.
5. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint Case No.: 40 of 2023
Hearing through CISCO WEBEX

6. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.
7. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.
8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.
9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties **through registered post**.

Dated: 10.05.2023

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab