

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, [Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975](https://www.punjab.gov.in/cisco-webex)
(Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00PM on working days))



Shri Kartar Singh,
S/o Shri Nirmal Singh,
VPO : Kurala Kalan Urmur,
District Hoshiarpur.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Jalandhar Development Authority,
Jalandhar.

Assistant Public Information Officer

Superintendent O/o E.O. Regulatory,
Jalandhar Development Authority,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Jalandhar Development Authority,
Jalandhar.

....Respondents

AC No. 566 of 2022

Present: (i) **Shri Surinderpal Singh, on behalf of the Appellant-in person.**
(ii) **Ms. Ravneet Kaur, Junior Engineer (Horticulture, J.D.A. Jalandhar- cisco webex.**

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of order dated 08.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today in the Commission's office at Chandigarh. Shri Surinderpal Singh comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the appellant. He states that correct information has not been supplied and the information supplied is irrelevant. He further states that letter dated 01.08.2022 has been received by the appellant after one month on 03.09.2022.

3. Ms. Ravneet Kaur, Junior Engineer, Horticulture, J.D.A. Jalandhar comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO through cisco webex. She states that point-wise information has been given to the appellant and he has also been informed that the NOC is to be issued by the A.D.C. Urban Development, Hoshiarpur.

4. The representative of PIO is contacted on mobile phone and is made to talk to Shri Surinderpal Singh, representative of appellant, to whom she explains the details of information supplied. The representative of appellant is, however, not agreeable with the version of the respondent and states that the appellant needs the information as to why NOC has been delayed whereas in similar case, the same had been issued to one Smt. Gurmeet Kaur whose case was the same as of the appellant.

5. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of PIO O/o J.D.A. Jalandhar states that the information as available in the official records has been supplied to the appellant.

Cont.P-2

6. Post deliberations, the Bench directs the PIO to file an affidavit on e-Stamp paper, within 21 (Twenty one) days, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as existing in the official records in AC No.566/2022 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. The original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

7. The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant is held by the APIO of Regulatory Branch and a direction be issued to him to file an affidavit. Upon this, it was clarified to her that the affidavit will have to be filed by the PIO and not the APIO.

8. With the aforesaid directions, **the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
17.10.2022**

**Sd/-
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**