



Sh. Naveen Goyal, (9872575008)

S/o Late Sh. Surinder Goyal,
Chamber No. 207, Bar Room, First Floor Gate No. 1,
Distt Court Complex, Moga.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SSP (Rural), Jagroan,
Distt Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o IGP, Ludhiana Range,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 3762 of 2022
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order / Reply, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
26.10.2021	25.11.2021	23.12.2021	06.01.2022	17.08.2022

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Nirmal Kumar (H.C), 9417849623

ORDER (First Hearing):

1. The Appellant filed above mentioned appeal case in the Commission. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. The respondent Sh. Nirmal Kumar submitted that vide the PIO reply dated 25.11.2021 the appellant was informed that the information sought by her relates to the personal information of a third party, the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest and would cause an unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual concerned and was, therefore, exempted from disclosure under Section 8 (1) (j) of the RTI Act. Moreover, the information sought was withheld under Section 11 of the RTI Act, as the third party (ASI Balvir Singh, SI Kamaldeep Kaur, PHG Surjit Singh, PHG Ranjeet Singh, S/CT Manjeet Singh) had expressed his unwillingness regarding the disclosure of the details. Hence, the information sought could not be furnished to the appellant.
3. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of the respondent authority and perusing the records, notes that the third party had expressed his unwillingness with respect to the disclosure of information pertaining to him under Section 11 of the RTI Act.

Appeal Case No. 3762 of 2022
Cisco Webex Proceedings

The Commission observes that the information sought by the appellant relates to the personal information of a third party, the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, and would cause an unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual concerned. Hence, its disclosure is exempted under Section 8 (1) (j) read with Section 11 (1) of the RTI Act. In view of this, the information sought by the appellant cannot be provided. Hence, no further intervention of the Commission is warranted in the matter.

With the above observations, the appeal is **disposed of.**

Chandigarh
13.12.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab