



Advocate Tejinder Singh, (9041004313)
Chamber No. 602, Civil Court Tehsil Complex,
Backside SanjhKender, Phillaur-144410

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Municipal Corporation,
Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Municipal Council,
Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3537 OF 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Tejinder Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Sukhwinder Singh, JE for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 12.04.2021 has sought information on 05 points regarding action taken against the owner of the vehicles being parked near Elite Cinema – rule for charging advertising fee alongwith name of contractor and income received from advertisements in the last two years – action taken against digging/putting illegal submersible bore in compliance of instructions of National Green Tribunal Act alongwith area inspected - number of trees grown by the forest department in compliance of instruction of National Green Tribunal as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Municipal Corporation, Phagwara, District Kapurthala. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 31.05.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 05.07.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present informed that the information relating to points 1 & 2 has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 07.05.2021 and 04.07.2022 whereas the information relating to points 3 & 4 has to be provided by the Sh.Gurvinder Pal Singh, Xen-Water Supply and Sewerage. Regarding point-5, regular checking is being done and challans are being issued against the erring person/shopkeepers having/using plastic bags.

The appellant was absent and vide email sought exemption. In the said email, the appellant informed that the PIO has not supplied the information and requested an adjournment.

Having gone through the RTI application and reply of the PIO, the Commission found that the information on points 1 & 2 stands provided. The information sought in point 5 appears to be vague, unpecific and in question form, hence sufficiently replied.

Regarding point-3 & 4, Sh.Gurvinder Pal Singh, Xen-Water Supply and Sewerage Board O/o MC Phagwara was directed to provide information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 29.11.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the complete information as per order of the Commission.

APPEAL CASE NO. 3537 OF 2021

The respondent present pleaded that since this department has not granted any approval for installation of submersible pumps, hence the department has no jurisdiction to take action against the persons who have installed the submersible pumps and reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 15.07.2022 with a copy to the Commission through email.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.11.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to Sh.Gurvinder Pal Singh,Xen-
Water Supply and Sewerage Board, O/o MC Phagwara.