PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Trilok Nath Taneja, 1344/b-xi, Purana Civil Hospital Road, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, Zone-A, Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 3502 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)


PRESENT:

Trilok Nath Taneja (Appellant) 98154-00843 Gurjeet Singh, Junior Draftsman from Zone A (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 10.11.2022)

1. The RTI application is dated **31.8.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information:



as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **11.10.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **27.7.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.11.2022**.

Contd....2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 3502 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

- 2. Both parties are present. The appellant has joined this hearing via Video Conference despite the Commission's direction (on 10.11.2022) to attend this hearing in person at Chandigarh. The respondent PIO, represented by Sh. Gurjeet Singh, JDM, is present in person. He submitted that a reply along with available information was sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 263 Dated 4.11.2022. A copy of said reply accompanied by information has been submitted to the Commission vide PIOs email on 9.11.2022. The appellant once again raised objections that documents supplied to him are not certified.
- 3. The respondent PIO represented by Sh. Gurjeet Singh, JDM, states that all documents given to the appellant were certified. He has shown copy of said documents with due certification. However, in view of the objection raised by the appellant, the PIO is directed to provide another set of aforesaid documents, after due attestation.
- 4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 12.12.2022