

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Kuldeep Singh,
453 a, Model Town Extension,
Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Superintendent, House Tax Branch, Zone B
Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer,
o/o Superintendent, Establishment Branch
Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer,
o/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 3130 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Kuldeep Singh (Appellant) 93562-11555

Rajeev Bhardwaj, PIO-cum-Superintendent (Respondent) 96460-08499

Rohit Thakur, Clerk (for the Respondent) 70095-15793

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 10.11.2022)

1. The RTI application is dated **4.4.2022** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding his application (Diary No. 2185/PS/R Dated 4.9.2020 and 2485/PS/R Dated 13.10.2020) and the action thereon till date**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **9.5.2022** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **4.7.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.11.2022**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO-cum-Superintendent House Tax Branch Zone B, has submitted an affidavit, as directed by the Commission at para 2 of its Order of 10.11.2022. He had also transferred point no. 3 and 4 of the RTI application under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005, to the PIO-cum-Superintendent Establishment (*Amla*) Branch, whereupon the PIO-cum-Superintendent Establishment, has submitted a reply vide Letter No. 316 Dated 25.11.2022 with a reply to point no. 3 and 4 of the RTI application and the information pertaining to point no. 3. The appellant Sh. Kuldeep Singh, however, insists that the information provided is not what he has sought. He states that instead of providing copies of the orders upon which *Amla* Clerk Sh. Diya Ram, made a report regarding the writ petition cited in the RTI application, the PIO-cum-Superintendent Establishment Branch has only provided a copy of *Amla* Clerk's report and the application submitted by the petitioner, as cited in this RTI application.

Contd....2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 3130 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

3. The PIO-cum-Superintendent Establishment Branch, is hereby directed to submit a written reply to point no. 3 stating whether the aforesaid orders upon which *Amla* Clerk Sh. Diya Ram's report was made, are available in his record. If available, a copy must be provided to the appellant under intimation to this Commission. If not available, this must be stated in writing to the appellant under intimation to the Commission.

4. **Next hearing on 12.1.2023 at 11.30 am via Video Conference Facility at DC Office, Ludhiana.**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
15.12.2022