

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION  
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,  
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - [psic26@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic26@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975

(Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00PM on working days))



Shri Suresh Kumar Sharma,  
R/o New Sharma Furniture House,  
Pratap Bazar, Chheharta,  
District Amritsar.

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar.

....Respondents

**AC No. 2773 of 2022**

**Present:**

- (i) Shri Suresh Sharma, appellant, in person.
- (ii) Shri Karan Kumar, SDO, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar- in person.
- (iii) Shri Manmeet Singh, Urban Designer (on contract ) and Shri Rajat Dhawan, Junior Engineer (on contract) from Amritsar Smart City - in person.

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with the reference of the order dated 29.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The case has been heard today in the Commission's office at Chandigarh. The Appellant, Shri Suresh Kumar Sharma comes present in person before the Bench and states that partial information has been supplied to him and deficiencies have been pointed out to the respondent.
3. Shri Karan Kumar, SDO, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar comes present in person before the Bench and produces before the Bench authority letter which is taken on record. He states that the information, after removing deficiencies, has been brought along for handing over to the appellant.
4. On the asking of this Bench, he explains to the Bench information provided on each point of the RTI application. The appellant, however, expresses dis-satisfaction on certain points. Then the Bench directs Shri Karan Kumar and the appellant to sit together in the adjoining Court room. Shri Karan Kumar is directed to show and explain information brought on each point to the appellant and then to report back to the Bench.
5. Post meeting by the appellant and respondents, the appellant points out that information is not attested and copies of MBs are not legible and that only blank tender notice has been provided whereas the tender submitted by the contractor should have been provided. He also points out that bank guarantee has not been provided.
6. The respondent states that tender notice, as asked for, has been provided and that the copy of bank guarantee cannot be provided. Then the appellant states that the amount of bank guarantee as also whether it has been realised or not be apprised to him.

Cont..P-2

7. Post deliberations, the Bench directs the PIO concerned to supply attested copy of the information, legible copies of MBs as also to intimate the amount of Bank guarantee and date of its realisation. He is also directed to appear in person before the Bench on the next hearing.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 08.12.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh  
14.11.2022

Sd/-  
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab