



Dr. K.N Makkar,  
Street NO-2, Bagh Colony,  
Jalandhar (West), Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Fazilka.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Fazilka.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2611 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Dr.K.N. Makkar for the Appellant**  
**Dr. Babita O/o Civil Hospital Fazilka for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 03.10.2021 has sought information 10 points regarding a copy of the service book from 01.07.1968 onwards part-1 & 2 – grade pay for revision of pension – action taken on application dated 25.07.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon Fazilka. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 30.11.2020, which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent information to the appellant on 10.03.2021, to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal in the Commission.

The case last came up for hearing on 05.10.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant claimed that the PIO has supplied information only on point-1 which is also incomplete.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, there was prima facie evidence that the RTI application had not been addressed adequately.

The PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and provide all information that is available in the record.

**On** the date of last hearing on **04.08.2022**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has only supplied a copy of the service book whereas the rest of the information has not been supplied by the PIO.

The respondent present pleaded that the available information has been supplied twice to the appellant.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the Commission directed the PIO to sort out the discrepancies in the provided information, after which, the PIO to file an affidavit duly attested by the Public Notary stating that the contents of the affidavit are true and correct and nothing has been concealed therein.

**Hearing dated 06.12.2022:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per respondent, the information available in the record has already been provided to the appellant and an affidavit in this regard has also been provided to the appellant with a copy to the Commission. The Commission has also received a reply of the PIO dated 18.08.2022 which has been taken on record.

The appellant is still not satisfied.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to bring the complete record relating to this case at the hearing at Fazilka for inspection of the record by the appellant.

The appellant is also directed to ensure his presence on the next date of hearing.

To come up for further hearing on **07.02.2023** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 06.12.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**