

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Surjit Singh Gurditpura**  
Opposition Front, PB,  
Village Todarwal, PO Babarpur,  
Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala.

### **Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
Patiala  
**First Appellate Authority**  
o/o IGP, Patiala Range,  
Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 2417 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)


#### **PRESENT:**

Raj Singh (for the Appellant) 98142-41257  
Amandeep Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 97791-28209

#### **ORDER:**

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 5.7.2022)*

1. The RTI application is dated **9.12.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information:



as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.1.2022** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.5.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **5.7.2022**.

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## **Appeal Case No. 2417 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)**

2. Both parties are present. As per this Commission's Order of 5.7.2022, the respondent PIO, submitted a pointwise reply along with requested information vide Letter No. 2002-2003 Dated 18.8.2022. The appellant has contended that some of the record pertaining to enquiry conducted by the SP, Headquarters, Patiala on a notice from the National SC/ST Commission, has not been provided. The respondent however contends that all the information requested in this RTI application, as available in the record, has been provided to the appellant and the information cited as "deficient" by the appellant, has been sought in a fresh RTI application.

3. In view of the above, the respondent is directed to state his contention at today's Hearing, in writing to the appellant viz. that all the information as available in the record has been provided to the appellant and there is no pending information in his records.

4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
3.11.2022