



**Advocate Tejinder Singh, (90410 04313)**

Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,

Backside SanjhKender,

Phillaur – 144410

....Appellant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**

O/oBlock Development &Pachayat Officer,

Sidhwan Bet,District Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/oDistrict Development &Pachayat Officer,

Ludhiana.

....Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2266 OF 2022**

**CISCO WEBEX PROCEEDINGS**

<b>Date of RTI Application</b>	<b>Date of Reply, if any of PIO</b>	<b>Date of First Appeal made, if any</b>	<b>Date of Order, if any of FAA</b>	<b>Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission</b>
26.11.2021	---	03.01.2022	--	09.05.2022

Present: Appellant:Adv. Tejinder Singh

Respondent: Sh. Baljit Singh, (BDPO), 8847446630

**ORDER (Second HEARING):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 04.10.2022.

**FACTS:**

2. Respondent, Sh. Baljit Singh pleaded that information pertaining to point no. 1 & 2 has already been supplied to the appellant only information of point no. 3 is pending. For this the appellant was duly informed through letter dated 01.11.2022 vide letter reference no. 3602 that the information pertaining to point no. 3 relates to seven PIO's and that the applicant should make separate applications to the concerned public authorities for obtaining information from them. The appellant, Adv. Tejinder Singh acknowledged the receiving of the said reply from the respondent.

**Observation & Decision**

3. *If a person makes an application to a public authority for information, a part of which is available with that public authority and the rest of the information is scattered with more than one other public authorities, in such a case, the PIO of the public authority receiving the*

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2266 OF 2022**  
**CISCO WEBEX PROCEEDINGS**

*application should give information relating to it and advise the applicant to make separate applications to the concerned public authorities for obtaining information from them. If no part of the information sought, is available with it but is scattered with more than one other public authorities, the PIO should inform the applicant that information is not available with the public authority and that the applicant should make separate applications to the concerned public authorities for obtaining information from them. However, if the details of public authorities who may have the information sought by the applicant are available with the PIO, such details may also be provided to the applicant.*

4. Keeping in view the facts of the case and going through the information/reply supplied by the respondent PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been **suitably replied** and the information has been supplied to the **best extent**. Moreover, **the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent for further query, if any.**

Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Chandigarh  
Dated: 21.11.2022

Sd/-  
(Maninder Singh Patti)  
State Information Commissioner, Pb.