PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Sangeet Majhotra,

S/o Sh. Tarsem Lal, Mohalla Cool Wala, Sarna, # S15/715, Near Ex. Fauji Tilak Raj, Sarna, Pathankot – 145 025.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o DR ITM Company, Plot No.4, ITC Park, Sector-67, Mohali. First Appellate Authority, D R ITM Company, Plot No.4, ITC Park, Sector-67, Mohali.

Appeal Case No. 2119 of 2022


PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Arvind, Operation Cell (for the Respondent) 90411-11100

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 23.9.2022 and 17.8.2022)

1. The RTI application is dated **6.1.2022** vide which the appellant has sought information as under:-




PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2119 of 2022



enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.2.2022**, and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.4.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **17.8.2022**.

- 2. The appellant is absent. The representative of the respondent DR ITM Company has verbally informed the Office of this Bench that the Company is a private entity and therefore not in the purview of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 3. At the last hearing on 17.8.2022, the appellant had sought details regarding his own wife Geeta Rani. He was asked to make a written submission demonstrating the larger public interest in disclosure of the information requested by him. The appellant has failed to make any submission.
- 4. It is evident that this RTI application has been incorrectly addressed to a private firm, which is not covered by the RTI Act. Furthermore, the appellant is seeking the personal details regarding his wife Geeta Rani and the Commission is of the view that there is no larger public interest involved herein. The requested information is personal to the appellant.
- 5. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 19.10.2022