



Sh RamMurti Sharma,
Sandhu Colony, Jalandhar Road,
Mehta Chowk, District Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director
Social Security Women & Child Development
Punjab, Sector 34-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Social Security Women & Child Development
Punjab, Sector 34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2073 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Mrs.Indu Bala, APIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 09.08.2021 has sought information on 02 points regarding action taken on application dated 06.07.2021 relating to old aged pension form and action taken on the information provided on 16.03.2016 in appeal case No.7/2016as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director Social Security Women & Child Development Punjab, Sector 34-A, Chandigarh. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 24.09.2021, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 16.03.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that the matter relates to the old aged pension, which the appellant and his wife were drawing from the Social Welfare Department. However, during the inspection, it was found that the wife of the appellant is working in a school and drawing a salary of Rs.14700/- which was more than the criteria of income of Rs.3000/- fixed for getting an old age pension. Since the appellant had submitted false documents/statements in the department alongwith the application for getting the old-aged pension, his old-aged pension was stopped, and a notice for recovery of Rs.18000/- was issued, followed by reminders which the appellant has not yet deposited. The reply has already been sent to the appellant on 02.03.2022 and 27.06.2022. The Commission has also received a copy of the reply on 10.11.2022, which is taken on record.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the copy of the reply received from the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.11.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner