



**Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)**

Sh Kunal Kohli, S/o Sh Ravinder Kohli,  
# 352, Shaheed Udham Singh Nagar,  
Jalandhar. (Application NO-45186).

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o PUDA,  
Sector-68, Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o PUDA,  
Sector-68, Mohali.

....Respondents

**AC No. 1974 of 2023**

**Present: i) Appellant – Absent**  
**ii) Shri Pawan Kumar, SDO-cum-PIO O/o JDA Jalandhar – in person**

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 30.12.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 01.02.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 21.03.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. The case has been heard today. As per respondent, the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated in an earlier appeal case No.595 of 2023 which was filed by the appellant for seeking exactly the same information and disposed off on 06.06.2023 by this Bench.
3. The appellant has not come present either in person or through cisco-webex.
4. Having gone through the record, the Bench observes that the appellant had earlier filed a similar appeal case No.595 of 2023 for seeking exactly the same information which was disposed off by this Bench on 06.06.2023 whereas in a certificate submitted along with AC No.1974/2023 filed in the Commission, the appellant has undertaken that the matter under appeal or complaint has not been previously filed or pending or decided by any of the State Information Commissioners.
5. Since the information stands provided in earlier appeal case, no further cause of action is left. The case is **disposed off and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**07.06.2023**

**Sd/-**  
**(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))**  
**State Information Commissioner**



**Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)**

Sh Surjit Singh, s/o Sh Uttam Singh,  
VPO Ladhuka, Distt Fazilka.

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o SSP,  
Fazilka.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o SSP,  
Fazilka.

....Respondents

**AC No. 1964 of 2023**

**Present: i) Shri Surjit Singh - Appellant – in person**  
**ii) Shri Surinder Singh, ASI O/o SSP Fazilka – in person**

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 19.12.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 17.01.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.03.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. The case has been heard today. As per respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 30.12.2022 and 29.05.2023 through registered post and a copy of the same has been sent to the Commission also.
3. The appellant is not satisfied and raises certain issues regarding an injury report. As per respondent, information as available in the official records has been provided and no additional information is available.
4. Having gone through the RTI application and copy of information/reply received from the PIO, the Bench finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference of Bench is needed.
5. The case is **disposed off and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**07.06.2023**

**Sd/-**  
**(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION  
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,  
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

**Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - [psic26@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic26@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

**Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)**



Sh Jagir Singh, S/o Sh Mahinder Singh,  
Village Thara Singh Wala,  
Teshil Jalalabad, Distt Fazilka.

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DC,  
Fazilka.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DC,  
FAzilka.

....Respondents

**AC No. 1963 of 2023**

**Present: i) Appellant – Absent  
ii) Shri Jaskaran Singh, Naib Sadar Kanugo O/o DC Fazilka – in person**

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 09.09.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 02.12.2022 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.03.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. The case has been heard today. As per respondent, since the enquiry is pending with SDM, Jalalabad, the information sought cannot be provided and reply to this effect has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 25.01.2023. The Bench has also received reply of the PIO through email which is taken on record.
3. The appellant is absent nor is represented.
4. Having gone through the RTI application and copy of reply received from the PIO, the Bench finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference of Bench is needed.
5. The case is **disposed off and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh  
07.06.2023**

**Sd/-  
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))  
State Information Commissioner**



**Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)**

Sh Rajat Singh, S/o Sh Prithi Singh,  
VPO Sohana, Tehsil & Distt SAS Nagar.  
Application NO-55435.

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o PUDA, Sector-68,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o PUDA, Sector-68,  
Mohali.

....Respondents

**AC No. 1962 of 2023**

**Present: i) Appellant – Absent**  
**ii) Smt.Bhupinder Kaur, ADO-cum-PIO O/o GMADA Mohali – in person**

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 10.03.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 14.03.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.03.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. The case has been heard today. As per respondent, the completion certification has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 05.06.2023. Regarding drawing/building plan, the appellant was asked to visit the office of PIO on any working day and inspect the record and get the relevant drawing but the appellant did not turn up.
3. The appellant is absent nor is represented. The notice of hearing sent to the appellant at the given address has been received back with the remarks of postal authorities “insufficient address”
4. Having gone through the RTI application and copy of information/reply received from the PIO, the Bench finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference of Commission is needed.
5. The case is **disposed off and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**07.06.2023**

**Sd/-**  
**(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION  
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,  
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

**Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - [psic26@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic26@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

**Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)**



Sh Manjit Singh, S/o Sh Santa Singh,  
Narang Colony, Street NO-3, H No-10330,  
Near Gurmukh Dholi, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN,  
Water Supply & Sanitation, Div-2,  
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SE,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Circle,  
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

....Respondents

**AC No. 1942 of 2023**

**Present: i) Appellant – Absent**  
**ii) Shri Jagdish Kumar, Senior Assistant O/o Water Supply & Sanitation Division**  
**No.2, Sri Mukatsar Sahib for the PIO – in person**

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 03.06.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 03.07.2022 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 17.03.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. The case has been heard today. As per respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 05.06.2023 through registered post and a copy of same has been sent to the Commission also. The respondent has also sent the information to the appellant on his whatsapp.
3. The appellant is absent nor is represented.
4. Having gone through the RTI application and copy of information/reply received from the PIO, the Bench finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference of Commission is needed.
5. The case is **disposed off and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh  
07.06.2023**

**Sd/-  
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))  
State Information Commissioner**



**Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)**

Shri Surjit Singh Aujla,  
Village Aujla Dhak,  
P.O Liddar Kalan, Distt Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Water Supply & Sanitation Deptt,  
Phase-2, Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Water Supply & Sanitation Deptt,  
Phase-2, Mohali.

....Respondents

**AC No. 1918 of 2023**

**Present: i) Shri Surjit Singh Aujla - Appellant – in person**  
**ii) Shri Chetan Saini, SDO, and Shri Vijay Sigh, Assistant Engineer O/o Water Supply Division-2 Mohali for the PIO**

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 26.09.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 11.11.2022 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 17.03.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The case has been heard today. As per appellant, he has not been provided any information so far.

3. As per respondents, the information concerning them (relating to Division-2) has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 06.06.2023. The respondent has also brought a copy of information along and hands over the same to the appellant during the hearing itself. The respondent further states that the information is voluminous and it involves multiple PIOs since the appellant has sought information relating to all divisions and there are 60 divisions which have their individual PIOs. Further the information has to be created which will disproportionately divert the resources of the public authority.

4. The Bench further observes that the information asked for by the appellant has to be collected, collated and compiled, thereby resulting in wastage of time of multiple PIOs involved. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in matter of CBSE vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay, (2011) 8 SCC 497, held as under:-

“67. Indiscriminate and impractical demands or directions under the RTI Act for disclosure of all and sundry information (unrelated to transparency and accountability in the functioning of public authorities and eradication of corruption) would be counterproductive as it will adversely affect the efficiency of the administration and result in the executive getting bogged down with the non-productive work of collecting and furnishing information. The Act should not be allowed to be misused or abused, to become a tool to obstruct the national development and integration, or to destroy the peace, tranquility

and harmony among its citizens. Nor should it be converted into a tool of oppression or intimidation of honest officials striving to

**AC No. 1918 of 2023**

do their duty. The nation does not want a scenario where 75% of the staff of public authorities spends 75% of their time in collecting and furnishing information to applicants instead of discharging their regular duties. The threat of penalties under the RTI Act and the pressure of the authorities under the RTI Act should not lead to employees of a public authorities prioritizing “information furnishing”, at the cost of their normal and regular duties.

5. In view of the above, it does not seem to be appropriate for the appellant to seek information which may entail engaging 75% of the employees of a public authority to collect and compile the information for furnishing the same to the appellant. The information regarding division No.2 stands provided. Regarding information relating to other divisions, the Bench advises the appellant to go for seeking information by filing separate RTI application before a specific public authority who has its separate PIO.

6. With the aforesaid observations and order, , **the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh  
07.06.2023**

**Sd/-  
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))  
State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION  
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,  
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

**Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - [psic26@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic26@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

**Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)**

Shri Gurinder Pal Singh, S/o Late Sh Jaswant Singh,  
H No-2988, Jandiala Guru, Old Gate, Amritsar-1,  
Tehsil & Distt Amritsar. (Application No-50020).



Versus

.....Appellant

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DCP,  
Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Amritsar.

....Respondents

**AC No. 1910 of 2023**

**Present: i) Appellant – Absent  
ii) Shri Hira Singh, Sub Inspector O/o DCP Amritsar for the PIO**

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 03.02.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 06.03.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 17.03.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. The case has been heard today. As per respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 21.02.2023 and 29.05.2023. The respondent further states that the complaint was filed by one Shri Sanjeev Kumar s/o Shri Chaman Lal against Shri Rajiv Kumar Sharma s/o Shri Satpal Sharma. Shri Rajiv Kumar Sharma has been declared proclaimed offender by the Court of Shri Joginder Singh, JMIC Amritsar. In compliance with the order of the Court, Shri Sham Singh, ASI, Police Station Jandiala Guru conducted a raid at the house of Shri Rajiv Kumar Sharma. Shri Satpal Sharma father of Shri Rajiv Kumar Sharma was available who stated that he has already disowned his son. The appellant has no relationship with the complainant. The respondent also submits a copy of reply to the Bench which is taken on record.
3. The appellant has not come present in person or through cisco-webex. The appellant is also not available on mobile.
4. Having gone through the RTI application and reply of the PIO, the Bench finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference of the Commission is needed.
5. The case is **disposed off and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh  
07.06.2023**

**Sd/-  
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))  
State Information Commissioner**



**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION  
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,  
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

**Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - [psic26@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic26@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

**Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)**

Shri Harmit Singh, Rojana Desh Bhagat,  
C/o Baba Kala Finance Co,  
Phul Road, Rampura Phul,  
Bathinda.



.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Trust Service cell,  
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,  
Pb, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Joint Deputy Director,  
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,  
Pb, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

**AC No. 1909 of 2023**

**Present: i) Shri Harmit Singh Sidhu - Appellant – in person**  
**ii) Shri Harpal Singh, Senior Assistant (Trust Service Cell) O/o Director Local Govt.**  
**for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 20.09.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 17.01.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 17.03.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. The case has been heard today. As per respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 01.11.2022. The respondent has also brought a copy of information along and hands over the same to the appellant during the hearing itself.
3. The appellant examines the documents and confirms the receipt of information to his satisfaction.
4. Since the information stands provided, no further cause of action is left. The case is **disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh  
07.06.2023**

**Sd/-  
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))  
State Information Commissioner**