

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION  
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,  
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

**Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - [psic26@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic26@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

**Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)**



Shri Rakesh Kumar Jain, S/o Shri Mohan Lal Jain,  
R/o H.NO.175, Phase-3/B-1, Sector:60, Mohali.

.....Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o MC,  
Patiala.

**Remanded back to First Appellate Authority**

-cum-Commissioner,  
MC, Patiala.

....Respondents

**CC No. 115 of 2023**

**Present: i) Shri Rakesh Kumar Jain - Complainant – in person  
ii) None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 13.10.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. The complaint was filed in the Commission on 08.02.2023 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). The notice of hearing was issued for 03.05.2023.

2. The case has been heard today. As per complainant, he has not been provided any information so far.

3. The respondent is absent nor is represented. As per record, the PIO has denied the information vide letter dated 15.11.2022 stating that the information being personal and third party information and the third party having not given its consent to disclose the information, it cannot be provided.

4. Having gone through the record, the Bench observes that the complainant has directly approached the Commission by preferring complaint in the Commission. The complainant observes that he filed an appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) but was not heard. As such, the FAA has not been able to address the grievances of the complainant. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Information Commissioner and another Versus State of Manipur and another in para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the Hon'ble High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information."

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of First Appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant complaint case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

6. The instant matter is remanded back to the **First Appellate Authority-cum-Commissioner, MC Patiala**. The Commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the Complaint (enclosed herewith) as the First Appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to hear the complainant within ten days and decide the matter.

7. In case, the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal before Punjab State Information Commission Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

8. In view of the above, **the case is disposed off and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**25.04.2023**

**Sd/-**  
**(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**